# NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING <br> CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND CONVENTION AND VISITORS ADVISORY BOARD 

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE CONVENTION AND VISITORS ADVISORY BOARD OF THE CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND, TEXAS WILL HOLD A REGULAR MEETING ON:

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 27, 2018<br>9:00 A.M. AT THE MUNICIPAL BUILDING,<br>CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS, $2^{\text {ND }}$ FLOOR<br>4601 PADRE BOULEVARD, SOUTH PADRE ISLAND, TEXAS

1) Call to order.
2) Pledge of Allegiance.
3) Public announcements and comments: This is an opportunity for citizens to speak to the Convention and Visitors Advisory Board relating to agenda or non-agenda items. Speakers are required to address the Convention and Visitors Advisory Board at the podium and give their name before addressing their concerns. (Note: State law will not permit the Advisory Board to discuss, debate or consider items that are not on the agenda. Citizen comments may be referred to Convention and Visitors Bureau staff or may be placed on the agenda of a future Convention and Visitors Bureau Advisory Board meeting).
4) Consent Agenda:
a. Approval of minutes May 23, 2018 regular meeting.
b. Approval of minutes June 6, 2018 workshop meeting.
c. Approve excused absence for Board Member George Block and Vice-Chairman Paul Curtin for June 15, 2018 workshop.
5) Presentation regarding University of Texas Rio Grande Valley: (Flores/Arnold)
a. Presentation of synopsis of survey research methodology.
b. Discussion and action regarding the renewal of the University of Texas Rio Grande Valley (UTRGV) research contract.
6) Discussion to establish a formal representative relationship between the South Padre Island Economic Development Corporation, South Padre Island Chamber of Commerce, Shoreline Task Force Committee and Convention and Visitors Advisory Board for consistent exchange of information at monthly meetings. (Jones)
7) Discussion and action to allow the CVB Director to research and identify firms/consultants that specialize in visitor product development initiatives for destinations. (Arnold)
8) Update regarding Marketing Subcommittee meeting. (Arnold)
9) Update and discussion of the CVB 1st generation dashboard. (Arnold)
10) Discussion and action to approve CVA Board marketing and events marketing workshop on Monday, July 16, 2018 at 9:00 am and regular board meeting for Tuesday, July 24th, 2018 at 9:00am. (Jones)
11) Adjournment.

## DATED THIS THE 22nd DAY OF JUNE 2018.

Rosa Zapata, CVB Executive Services Specialist
I, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE NOTICE OF MEETING FOR THE CONVENTION AND VISITORS ADVISORY BOARD OF THE CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND, TEXAS IS A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF SAID NOTICE AND THAT I POSTED A TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF SAID NOTICE ON THE BULLETIN BOARD AT THE CITY HALL/MUNICIPAL BUILDING ON_June 22, 2018, at/or before 5:00 P.M. AND REMAINED SO POSTED CONTINUOUSLY FOR AT LEAST 72 HOURS PRECEDING THE SCHEDULED TIME OF SAID MEETING.

Rosa Zapata, CVB Executive Services Specialist

THERE MAY BE ONE OR MORE MEMBERS OF THE SOUTH PADRE ISLAND CITY COUNCIL ATTENDING THIS MEETING, AND IF SO, THIS STATEMENT SATISFIES THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE OPEN MEETINGS ACT.

THIS FACILITY IS WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBLE AND ACCESSIBLE PARKING SPACES ARE AVAILABLE. REQUESTS FOR ACCOMMODATIONS OR INTERPRETIVE SERVICES MUST BE MADE 48 HOURS PRIOR TO THIS MEETING. PLEASE CONTACT BUILDING OFFICIAL DAVID TRAVIS; ADA RESPONSIBLE PARTY AT (956) 761-8103.

## Item No. 4

## CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND ADVISORY BOARD CONSENT AGENDA

MEETING DATE: June 27, 2018

## ITEM DESCRIPTION

NOTE: All matters listed under Consent Agenda are considered routine by the Advisory Board of the City of South Padre Island and will be enacted by one motion. There will not be separate discussion of these items, unless discussion is desired, in which case that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered separately.

Items to be considered are:
a. Approval of minutes May 23, 2018 regular meeting.
b. Approval of minutes June 6, 2018 workshop meeting.
c. Approve excused absence for Board Member George Block and Vice-Chairman Paul Curtin for June 15, 2018 workshop.

RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS
Approve Consent Agenda

# CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND ADVISORY BOARD MEETING AGENDA REQUEST FORM 

MEETING DATE: June 27, 2018
NAME \& TITLE: Wally Jones, CVA Board Chairman
DEPARTMENT: Convention and Visitors Advisory Board

ITEM
Approve minutes of May 23, 2018 Regular Meeting.

ITEM BACKGROUND

BUDGET/FINANCIAL SUMMARY

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL

LEGAL REVIEW

Sent to Legal:
YES: $\qquad$ NO: $\qquad$
Approved by Legal:
YES: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Comments:

RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS
Approve meeting minutes.

## MINUTES

## CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND

 CONVENTION AND VISITORS ADVISORY REGULAR MEETINGWEDNESDAY, MAY 23, 2018

## I. CALL TO ORDER.

The Convention and Visitors Advisory Board of the City of South Padre Island, Texas held a Regular Meeting on Wednesday, May 23, 2018 at the Municipal Complex Building, 2nd Floor, 4601 Padre Boulevard, South Padre Island, Texas. Chairman Wally Jones called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. A full quorum was present: Vice-Chairman Paul Curtin, CVA Board Members Jimmy Hawkinson, Arnie Creinin, Daniel Salazar, Tom Goodman, and George Block. Also present was Ex-officio Jose Mulet, and Bryant Walker.

City Council Member Ken Medders, Jr. was present.
Staff: City Manager Susan Guthrie, CVB Director Keith Arnold, Executive Services Specialist Rosa Zapata, Director of Marketing, Research and Analytics Michael Flores, Office Manager/Accountant I Lori Moore, Senior Marketing and Communications Manager Alisha Workman, and Events Development \& Packaging Manager Marisa Amaya .

## II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:

Chairman Jones led the Pledge of Allegiance.

## III. PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENTS AND COMMENTS:

No public comments were given.

## IV. CONSENT AGENDA:

a. Approval of minutes April 25, 2018 regular meeting.
b. Approval of minutes May 9, 2018 workshop meeting.
c. Approve excused absence for Board Member George Block for May 9, 2018 workshop.

Vice-Chairman Curtin made the motion, seconded by Board member Creinin to approve the Consent Agenda. Board Member Block abstain from voting on agenda item 3c. Motion carried unanimously.

## V. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING RGV REEF FUNDING REQUEST.

Chairman Jones made the motion, seconded by Board Member Creinin for CVA Board approve a recommendation to the SPI City Council for them to approve a Budget Amendment from the CVB Excess Reserve for a onetime payment to the Friends of the RGV Reef of $\$ 50,000$ for the development of fishing infrastructure.

These revenues would be used for the sole purpose of transporting "structure material" by barge to the Reef for sustaining and expanding it further.
Minutes: May 23, 2018 CVA Board Regular Meeting

Payments to the Friends of the RGV Reef will only be in response to receipts from the vendor or direct billing from the vendor that specifically detail the services rendered as stated in the motion.

In order to receive payment, an explicit statement must be drafted and signed by a Friends of The RGV Reef authorized party that gives the South Padre Island CVB unlimited rights to refer to the Reef as the RGV Reef at SPI or RGV Reef at South Padre Island, strictly for the CVB's own marketing purposes of the Reef and our destination.

Board Member Block recommended to amend the motion to include South Padre Island signage.
Chairman Jones amended his motion to include South Padre Island signage, seconded by Board Member Creinin. Motion carried unanimously.

## VI. DISCUSSION AND POSSIBLE ACTION REGARDING SETTING NEW MEETING DATE FOR YOUNG STRATEGIES FINAL STUDY WORKSHOP AND REGULAR BOARD MEETING IN JUNE 2018.

Board Member Goodman made the motion, seconded by Board Member Creinin to have the workshops on Wednesday, June 6, 2018 and Wednesday, June 27, 2018. Motion carried unanimously.

## VII. UPDATE REGARDING MARKETING SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING. <br> Update was given by CVB Director Keith Arnold.

## VIII. DISCUSSION AND ACTION TO APPROVE THE POST EVENT REPORTS FROM THE FOLLOWING SPECIAL EVENTS FUNDING REQUESTS:

## a. Open Water Planet

b. Splash
c. Sand Crab Run
d. Run the Jail Break
e. National Weather Conference

Post reports were presented by Director of Marketing Research \& Analytics Michael Flores and Events Development \& Packaging Manager Marisa Amaya.

Chairman Jones made the motion, seconded by Board Member Block to approve staff recommendations regarding post reports. Motion carried unanimously.

## IX. DISCUSSION AND ACTION TO APPROVE THE JJ ZAPATA FISHING TOURNAMENT FUNDING REQUEST FOR MARKETING.

Board Member Block made the motion, seconded by Chairman Jones to approve the $\$ 2,500$ for marketing. Motion carried unanimously.

## X. UPDATE AND DISCUSSION OF THE CVB 1ST GENERATION DASHBOARD.

Update was given by CVB Director Keith Arnold.

## XI. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, Chairman Jones adjourned the meeting at 10:27 a.m.

Approved this ___23_day of ___May_, 2018.

Wally Jones, CVA Chairman
Attest:

Rosa Zapata, CVB Executive Services Specialist

# CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND ADVISORY BOARD MEETING AGENDA REQUEST FORM 

MEETING DATE: June 27, 2018
NAME \& TITLE: Wally Jones, CVA Board Chairman
DEPARTMENT: Convention and Visitors Advisory Board

ITEM

Approve minutes of June 6, 2018 workshop.

ITEM BACKGROUND

BUDGET/FINANCIAL SUMMARY

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL

LEGAL REVIEW

Sent to Legal:
YES: $\qquad$ NO: $\qquad$
Approved by Legal:
YES: $\qquad$
$\qquad$
Comments:

RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS
Approve meeting minutes.

MINUTES
CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND CONVENTION AND VISITORS ADVISORY WORKSHOP

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 6, 2018

## I. CALL TO ORDER.

The Convention and Visitors Advisory Board of the City of South Padre Island, Texas held a Workshop on Wednesday, June 6, 2018 at the South Padre Island Convention Centre, Room 101, 7355 Padre Boulevard, South Padre Island, Texas. Chairman Wally Jones called the meeting to order at 9:00 a.m. A quorum was present: Wally Jones, Paul Curtin, Arnie Creinin, Tom Goodman, and Daniel Salazar. Absent was Board Member George Block and Jimmy Hawkinson. Also present was ExOfficio Bryant Walker.

Staff: City Manager Susan Guthrie, CVB Director Keith Arnold, Executive Services Specialist Rosa Zapata, Business Development Director Michael Flores, Senior Marketing and Communications Manager Alisha Workman, Office Manager/Accountant I Lori Moore.

## II. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE.

Chairman Wally Jones led the Pledge of Allegiance.
III. PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENTS AND COMMENTS: This is an opportunity for citizens to speak to the Convention and Visitors Advisory Board relating to agenda or non-agenda items. Speakers are required to address the Convention and Visitors Advisory Board at the podium and give their name before addressing their concerns. (Note: State law will not permit the Advisory Board to discuss, debate or consider items that are not on the agenda. Citizen comments may be referred to Convention and Visitors Bureau staff or may be placed on the agenda of a future Convention and Visitors Bureau Advisory Board meeting).

No public comments were given at this time.
IV. Facilitated discussion regarding the final draft of a research project and strategic plan for the Convention and Visitors Bureau prepared by Young Strategies.

Berkeley Young with Young Strategies, gave an overview on the final study regarding research project. A lengthy discussion was held on the strategic plan for the Convention and Visitors Bureau.

## V. ADJOURNMENT.

There being no further business, Chairman Jones adjourned the meeting at 12:50 p.m.
Approved this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ June_, 2018.

Attest:

Rosa Zapata, CVB Executive Services Specialist
DATED THIS THE 9th DAY OF MAY 2018.

# CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND ADVISORY BOARD MEETING AGENDA REQUEST FORM 

MEETING DATE: June 27, 2018
NAME \& TITLE: Wally Jones, Convention and Visitors Advisory Board Chairman
DEPARTMENT: Convention and Visitors Advisory Board

## ITEM

Approve excused absence for Board Member George Block and Vice-Chairman Paul for June 15, 2018 workshop.

## ITEM BACKGROUND

BUDGET/FINANCIAL SUMMARY

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL

LEGAL REVIEW

Sent to Legal:
YES: $\qquad$ NO: $\qquad$
Approved by Legal:
YES: $\qquad$
NO: $\qquad$

Comments:

RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS

Approve excused absence.

## CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND ADVISORY BOARD MEETING AGENDA REQUEST FORM

MEETING DATE: June 27, 2018
NAME \& TITLE: Keith Arnold, CVB Director and Michael Flores, Director of Research, Marketing \& Analytics

DEPARTMENT: South Padre Island Convention and Visitors Bureau

ITEM

Presentation regarding University of Texas Rio Grande Valley: (Flores/Arnold)
a. Presentation of synopsis of survey research methodology.
b. Discussion and action regarding the renewal of the University of Texas Rio Grande Valley (UTRGV) research contract.

ITEM BACKGROUND

BUDGET/FINANCIAL SUMMARY

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL

LEGAL REVIEW

Sent to Legal:
YES: $\qquad$ NO: $\quad$ X
Approved by Legal:
YES: $\qquad$
NO: $\qquad$

Comments:

RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS

## CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND ADVISORY BOARD MEETING AGENDA REQUEST FORM

MEETING DATE: June 27, 2018
NAME \& TITLE: Keith Arnold, CVB Director and Michael Flores, Director of Research, Marketing \& Analytics

DEPARTMENT: South Padre Island Convention and Visitors Bureau

ITEM

Presentation regarding University of Texas Rio Grande Valley synopsis of survey research methodology.

ITEM BACKGROUND

BUDGET/FINANCIAL SUMMARY

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL

LEGAL REVIEW

| Sent to Legal: | YES: | NO: $\ldots \mathrm{X}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approved by Legal: | YES: |  |

Comments:

RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS

## T TR GT Business \& Tourism Research Center
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CVB investment
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Average spent per household
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Average age 35
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## Event Economic Impact Report
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Robert C. Vackar College of Business and Entrepreneurship 1201 West University Drive Edinburg, TX 78539-2999 USA
Tel: 956-665-2829 Cell: 956.240.0627
penny.simpson@utrgv.edu

## Executive Summary and Survey Highlights

This report details the measured economic impact of the 2018 Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape held on Saturday morning, May $5^{\text {th }}$. Promoted by RunTheJailbreak.com with $\$ 30,000$ funding support from the SPI Convention and Visitors Bureau (CVB), organizers reported expecting about 8,000 people with about $47 \%$ of them spending the night on South Padre Island, although past Jailbreak events had experienced $2,000+$ room nights. To examine the spending of the Padre Jailbreak participants on SPI, a short survey incentivized with the opportunity to enter a drawing to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort was conducted. The survey was administered onsite to 348 contacts resulting in 322 useable responses from unique households on the Island specifically for Padre Jailbreak.

Demographically, the Padre Jailbreak study sample had an average age of 35 years, was predominately female (62.6\%), many were married (49.5\%), with at least some college education (88.5\%), works full-time (85.2\%) and was primarily Hispanic (87.3\%). In terms of household income, $60.5 \%$ of the survey sample reported an income above $\$ 50,000$. Survey respondents were primarily from the US (93.8\%) with $5.9 \%$ from Mexico. On average, survey participants traveled an average of 86 miles with an average of 2.26 people and spent 1.02 nights on SPI during the event. A large percentage (91.8\%) of survey respondents are considered promoters of the Island to others, resulting in an excellent net promoter score of 90.5 and are likely to return to SPI for a future vacation (90.7\%). Most respondents were satisfied with their SPI stay experience (97.9\%) and with the event (93.5\%).

Importantly, the survey analysis found that the 1,685 household groups attended the Padre Jailbreak event and spent an estimated average of $\$ 372$ per household while on the Island for a total spending of $\$ 627,070$. Of this spending, lodging is the highest per household expenditure category with $62 \%$ of study respondents spending at least one night on the Island and staying an average of 1.02 nights. This resulted in about 1,057 total room nights, most of which were spent in hotels (47.8\%) and condominiums or beach houses (25.6\%). With the average
weighted lodging expenditure of $\$ 159$ per household that spent the night on the Island, a total of $\$ 267,727$ was reportedly spent on lodging. Of this amount, $17 \%$ or $\$ 38,900$ was for the Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT), and $10.5 \%$, or about $\$ 24,027$, is the City's share of the HOT. Moreover, the estimated total spending on food and beverages of $\$ 129,188$ included about $\$ 9,846$ in taxes at the $8.25 \%$ rate or $\$ 2,387$ at the City $2 \%$ tax rate. Other types of expenditures, such as clothing, night life, and entertainment amounted to $\$ 230,155$, of which $\$ 17,541$ was sales taxes, with $\$ 4,252$ the City's share. In total, the $\$ 627,070$ spent during the Padre Jailbreak resulted in $\$ 66,287$ in tax revenue with $\$ 30,666$ the City's share. This represents a gain of $\$ 666$ or a $2.2 \%$ return on the $\$ 30,000$ cash investment by the CVB in the SPI Padre Jailbreak event.

## Summary of Key Performance Indicators (KPI)

| KPI | Result | Description of KPI | Page |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CVB investment | $\$ 30,000$ | Amount of funding provided by CVB to event <br> promoter | p2 |
| Total spending | $\$ 627,070$ | Total spent by event households | Table 1, p9 |
| Average spent per <br> household | $\$ 372$ | Weighted average spent per household | Table 1, p9 |
| Number of <br> households | 1,685 | Number of households at event | p6 |
| Number in household | 2.26 | Number of people in household group at event | Figure 5, p7 |
| Nights on SPI | 1.02 | Average number of nights spent on SPI | Figure 5, p7 |
| Lodging tax | $\$ 24,027$ | City share of HOT revenue: 10.5\% of 17\% HOT | p10-11 |
| F\&B sales tax | $\$ 2,387$ | City share of total tax collected from F\&B <br> spending: 2\% of 8.25\% of total sales tax | p10 |
| Other sales tax | $\$ 4,252$ | City share of total sales tax revenue | Table 2, p11 |
| Total City tax share | $\$ 30,666$ | Total City tax revenue from event | Table 2, p11 |
| Total tax ROI | $2.2 \%$ | Return on CVB investment considering all taxes | Table 2, p11 |
| Lodging only ROI | $-19.9 \%$ | Return on CVB investment considering HOT only | Table 2, p11 |
| Net Promoter Score | 90.5 | Measure of customer loyalty; calculated as <br> identified promoters less detractors | Figure 8, p13 |
| Likely to return | $90.7 \%$ | Percent somewhat or extremely likely to return <br> to SPI | Figure 9, p13 |
| Satisfied with SPI | $97.9 \%$ | Percent somewhat or extremely satisfied with SPI | Figure 10, p14 |
| Satisfied with event | $93.5 \%$ | Percent satisfied with event | Figure 11, p14 |
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## Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape: Economic Impact

## Introduction

The Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape was held on the SPI beach on Saturday morning, May 5, 2018 at Clayton's Beach Bar on South Padre Island. The Jailbreak is a 5K run on the beach with 20 obstacles and is billed as "a Texas sized beach party!" The race course is shown in Figure 1. The run begins and ends at Clayton's and race participants could pick up their race packets on race day at Clayton's beginning at 7:30am. The race start times were to proceed in waves of 30 minute increments beginning at 9:00am and ending with the last wave at 12 noon.


Figure 1. Padre Jailbreak Escape course map

The Jailbreak was organized by Tim Scrivner who received $\$ 30,000$ to help fund the event. The sponsor expected to create five to seven press releases, spend \$5,000 on radio, $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 5,000$ on TV, and $\$ 20,000$ plus on website and social media. Marketing efforts were expected to reach Texas residents, primarily in the Rio Grande Valley, San Antonio, Austin, Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, and Corpus Christi areas.

According to the sponsor, past Jailbreak events yielded for 2015, 2016 and 2017 an estimated $2,000+, 2,000+$, and 2,300 hotel rooms, respectively. For this year's event, the organizer expected to attract more than 8,000 attendees with about 47\% of those staying in SPI lodging.


## Method

To estimate the economic impact of the 2018 Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape, UTRGV researchers conducted a survey (see Appendix A) among Jailbreak attendees on SPI on May, $5^{\text {th }}$ during registration and during the race from 8:00am to noon. As an incentive, survey respondents were offered a chance to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort and were also offered other promotional products which substantially helped to recruit respondents. Respondents were asked to complete the survey by paper on clipboards although event participants were also given note cards (see Figure 2), as they entered the registration area, inviting online survey participation.

## UTRioGrande Valley

## Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape

For a chance to win 2 nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort, complete a short survey at www.utrgv.edu/PadreJailbreak
Or scan the QR code to access


Deadline May 8th.

Note: The one person most able to report on spending for all people in your
household at the event should complete this survey.

Figure 2. Hard copy note cards used to encourage online survey COMPLETION

## Interviews

A total of nine trained interviewers, the project manager, a supervisor, and a drone operator attended the Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape held at the Clayton's Beach Bar beginning at 8:00am. All interviewers were highly visible by wearing bright orange t-shirts and visors. Interviewers randomly approached potential respondents in a professional manner and
 administered the paper survey on clipboards to facilitate survey administration. Then later, data from hard copy surveys was entered into the online link, for analytical purposes. Event attendees were also given a note card (1,000 were distributed) with a link to the online survey as shown in Figure 2. This methodology yielded 348 responses with 20 of them online. However, 26 questionnaires were discarded for being completed by multiple households, for not being on the Island specifically for the event, or for being under 18. The result is 322 useable questionnaires for analysis.


## Estimated attendance

Knowing the number of people attending any event is crucial to estimating the economic impact of the event. Accordingly, the event organizer provided a listing of the names and zip codes of event registrants. The listing provided by event organizer included 3,193 names with 1899 zip codes; however, the organizer noted that an additional 40 registered onsite and 51 kids registered for a total number of 3,284 registered event participants. Additionally, drone pictures were taken of the race start and finish line at about 30 minute intervals to correspond


Figure 3. Drone photos of start and finish lines
with 30 minutes race start waves from 8:00am to 12 noon. As shown above in Figure 3, the average count was 465 people with a range of 406 to 532 at the peak time with a total race count of almost 2,800 people.

Finally, the interview team manually counted 4,000 people entering Clayton's Beach Bar deck where event participants checked in between the hours of 8:00am and 12:00noon. However, some of those counts were duplicates-people who
 left then returned--according to the people counter.

Using the organizer's report of 3,284 registered participants and applying the distribution of attendee participant types found in the survey (Figure 4), the number of people who specifically attended Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape is estimated at 3,810. As Figure 4 shows, $85.7 \%$ of respondents indicated being a registered runner, $11.2 \%$ were spectators, $2.5 \%$ were event volunteers or staff and the $.6 \%$ were not at Clayton's Beach Bar for the Jailbreak event.


Figure 4. Event participant type
To determine the number of households at the event, the total number of attendees $(3,810)$ is divided by the average number of household as found in the survey ( 2.26 , see Figure 5, p7). Therefore, the total number of households is estimated at 1,685.

## Results

In all, the onsite interview team completed 328 questionnaires and 20 questionnaires were completed online. After deleting 26 responses as from duplicate households, for age, or for not being on the Island for the event, 322 responses were included in the analysis. Given the estimate in this study of 1,685 households attending the Padre Jailbreak, the interview response rate was $20.6 \%$, which is sufficient to be at least $95 \%$ confident that the results vary by plus or minus 5.0\%.

## Survey participants and SPI stay characteristics

The following results are for all 322 unduplicated survey respondents who specifically came to SPI specifically to attend the Padre Jailbreak Escape event

## Miles traveled, group size and stay characteristics

Survey respondents were asked to indicate the number of miles traveled to the event, how many people were in their household, how many nights they spent on SPI, and where they spent the night while at Padre Jailbreak. Data featured in Figure 5 shows that, on average, study participants traveled 86 miles to attend the event, although distances traveled ranged from 1 to 1,300 miles.

Figure 5 also shows that the average household size was 2.26 people although the


Figure 5. Average miles traveled, group size and nights SPENT number per household ranged from 1 to 11 . The average number of nights spent on SPI for Jailbreak is 1.02 nights with a range of 0 to 7 nights.

Figure 6 breaks down the number of nights spent on SPI and shows that most respondents spent one (29.4\%) or two (27.4\%) nights on the Island and that $38.3 \%$ did not spend the night on the Island for Padre Jailbreak.

## Percent by nights spent on SPI



Figure 6. Percentage spending the night on SPI
For those respondents who spent the night on the Island, Figure 7 shows the types of lodging used. Most of the Island stayers spent the night in a hotel/motel room (47.8\%), while 25.6\% rented a condominium or beach house, $5.9 \%$ rented a room and $6.4 \%$ stayed at their own SPI residence.

Lodging on SPI


Figure 7. Type of lodging

## Estimated spending

Study respondents were asked to identify how much money they spent in various expenditure categories. However, lodging was assumed to be stated without HOT so was adjusted upward by $17 \%$ to add in HOT. All other expenditure amounts were assumed to be stated with sales taxes. The total average reported expenditure by category was then multiplied by the percentage of respondents who reported spending in that expense category to arrive at the average weighted spending per expense category. For example, the results, shown in Table 1, indicate that the average amount spent on lodging for the stay duration was $\$ 257$ with a weighted average of $\$ 159$ when considering that $62 \%$ of respondent households spent money on lodging. Average spending on food and beverages was $\$ 89$ with a weighted average of $\$ 77$, including sales taxes. In total, Jailbreak attendees spent a total average of $\$ 627,070$ with the sum of the weighted average of spending at $\$ 372$ per household for the time they were on South Padre Island for the 2018 Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape.

Table 1. Total average weighted spending

| Expenditure <br> category | Total <br> average | spending <br> in <br> category | Weighted <br> spending | Total <br> spending |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Food \& Beverages | $\$ 89$ | 0.86 | $\$ 77$ | $\$ 129,188$ |
| Night life | $\$ 79$ | 0.36 | $\$ 29$ | $\$ 48,238$ |
| Lodging | $\$ 257$ | 0.62 | $\$ 159$ | $\$ 267,727$ |
| Attraction <br> entertainment | $\$ 90$ | 0.20 | $\$ 18$ | $\$ 29,808$ |
| Retail | $\$ 64$ | 0.29 | $\$ 18$ | $\$ 30,928$ |
| Transportation | $\$ 42$ | 0.63 | $\$ 26$ | $\$ 44,511$ |
| Parking | $\$ 22$ | 0.07 | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 2,669$ |
| Admission fees | $\$ 45$ | 0.11 | $\$ 5$ | $\$$ |
| Clothing | $\$ 60$ | 0.25 | $\$ 15$ | $\$ 25,228$ |
| Groceries | $\$ 64$ | 0.32 | $\$ 20$ | $\$ 34,273$ |
| Other | $\$ 57$ | 0.07 | $\$ 4$ | $\$ 6,506$ |
| Total | $\$ 868$ |  | $\$ 372$ | $\$ 627,070$ |

The total spending on South Padre Island that is specifically attributable to Padre Jailbreak is determined by multiplying the 1,685 identifiable households (see p6) by the total weighted \$372 per household spending while on South Padre. The result is a total, direct spending by Padre Jailbreak attendees of $\$ 627,070$ on South Padre Island (see Table 1, p9).

> The estimated direct spending on South Padre Island as attributed to the 2018 Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape is $\mathbf{\$ 6 2 7 , 0 7 0}$, within a confidence interval of plus or minus $\$ 31,353$ given the assumptions of a random sample selection. This spending resulted in total taxes of about $\mathbf{\$ 6 6 , 2 8 7}$, with $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 , 6 6 6}$ as the City's share. With a CVB investment of $\$ 30,000$ in the event, this represents a $\mathbf{2 . 2 \%}$ return to the City's investment in the event but a $\mathbf{1 9 . 9 \%}$ loss if only the City's $\mathbf{1 0 . 5 \%}$ share of HOT is considered.

## Spending on food \& beverage and lodging

The survey results indicated $86 \%$ of respondents spent an average of $\$ 89$ per household for food and beverages (F\&B) (see Table 1, p9). This means that Jailbreak attendees spent a total weighted average of $\$ 129,188$ on F\&B. With an $8.25 \%$ tax rate, this amount resulted in about $\$ 9,846$ in total sales tax collected from F\&B spending, of which $\$ 2,387$ is the City's $2 \%$ share.

The survey results also indicated that $62 \%$ of respondents spent an average of $\$ 227$ for a weighted average spending of $\$ 159$ per household on lodging over an average of 1.02 nights (see Figure 5, p7) spent on SPI for Padre Jailbreak. These statistics indicate that the event generated about 1,057 room nights ( 1,685 households $\times 1.02$ nights spent $\times 62 \%$ spending the night in paid lodging) for a total of about $\$ 267,727$ spent on lodging, inclusive of HOT. This amount results in $\$ 38,900$ for the $17 \%$ HOT revenue, of which $\$ 24,027$ is the City of South Padre Island 10.5\% share of the HOT collected.

|
Padre Jailbreak attendees accounted for 1,057 room nights and spent $\$ 267,727 \pm \$ 13,386$ on lodging while on the Island for the event.

The CVB provided $\$ 30,000$ cash to the Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape organizer. As summarized in Table 2, for this investment, the city of South Padre Island should recover 10.5\% of the HOT tax or $\$ 24,027$, which represents a $19.9 \%$ loss on the investment. Other spending by event attendee households on the Island includes $\$ 129,188$ on $F \& B$ and $\$ 230,155$ on other items. Thus, the total return in taxes to the City as a result of the Padre Jailbreak is estimated at $\$ 30,666 ; \$ 666$ above the $\$ 30,000$ invested in the event for a net return on investment of $2.2 \%$.

Table 2. Spending, tax revenue and ROI

| Spending <br> category | Amount <br> spent | Tax <br> rate | Total <br> sales tax | City's $\%$ <br> share | City's \$ <br> share | ROI on <br> $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lodging | $\$ 267,727$ | $17 \%$ | $\$ 38,900$ | $10.5 \%$ | $\$ 24,027$ | $-19.9 \%$ |
| Food \& Beverage | $\$ 129,188$ | $8.25 \%$ | $\$ 9,846$ | $2 \%$ | $\$ 2,387$ |  |
| All other | $\$ 230,155$ | $8.25 \%$ | $\$ 17,541$ | $2 \%$ | $\$ 4,252$ |  |
| Totals | $\$ 627,070$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 6 6 , 2 8 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 , 6 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 \%}$ |

## In summary, the taxes accrued to the City of South Padre Island as a result of the 2018 Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape is estimated at $\$ 30,666 \pm 5 \%$ for a gain on the $\$ 30,000$ investment of $\$ 666$.



## The SPI Experience

The next section of the survey asked Padre Jailbreak respondents about their stay on SPI. In this section, the "net promoter" question was used to determine how likely survey respondents are to recommend SPI as a place to visit to friends or colleagues. The results, shown in Figure 8 indicate that most study respondents ( $91.8 \%$ ) are promoters of SPI while only $1.3 \%$ are detractors. This yields a net promoter score (NPS) of 90.5, which is excellent. For example, the hotel industry has a NPS of

Net promoter score


Figure 8. Net promoter score 39
(www.netpromoter.com/compare).

Respondents also indicated how likely they are to return to SPI for a future vacation (Figure 9) and how satisfied overall they were with their SPI experience (Figure 10) and with the event (Figure 11). Most respondents are somewhat likely or extremely likely to return to the Island


Figure 9. Likelihood of returning to SPI in the future
( $90.7 \%$ ) in the future and were extremely or somewhat satisfied (97.9\%) with their SPI experience and most were satisfied with the Padre Jailbreak event (93.5\%).


Figure 10. Satisfaction With the SPI experience


[^0]NOTE: Respondents were invited to provide suggestions for improving their stay on SPI. The unedited comments are as follows:

- "More obstacles, more pictures!"
- Add ropes to all wooden walls to traverse so short people can accomplish. Honor/recognize oldest and youngest team participants
- Better obstacles more
- Better parking
- Give a space for spectators
- Less obstacles
- More handicap parking please and enforce tags on violaters
- More obstacles on the jailbreak run
- More obstacles, or bring back some old ones like the foam slide and shower run. Distance can be the same
- More obstacles. Pictures to share on web. Lower beer prices
- More Sponsors
- More stuff
- N/A
- Needs more obstacles. More challenging obstacles
- On the climbing obstacles have modified versions for people who aren't as advanced
- Padre needs to start competing price wise with Mexico's beach packages. You spend too much and get too little
- Parking
- Tell people to pick up their trash. Not enough trash bins
- They keep taking away obstacles only did it because a group paid and invited me. I'm a trainer and fitness instructor.
- Try evening runs. maybe start at 4pm or so



## Respondent Demographics

The remainder of the study assessed respondent demographic characteristics.
Targeted survey respondents were those $18+$ years and the average age of all respondents was 35 years-of-age although ages ranged from 18 to 73 . Most respondents were female ( $62.6 \%$ ), a plurality were married (49.5\%) and most had at least some college (88.5\%) as shown in

Figures 12 through 14, respectively.


Figure 13. Marital status


Figure 14. Educational attainment

Most study respondents work full-time (85.2\%), although 8.2\% work part-time and almost 2\% are retired as seen in Figure 15.


Figure 15. Employment status
Most study participants of Padre Jailbreak reported having a higher-than-average household income level; $60.5 \%$ indicated an annual household income above $\$ 50,000$ (Figure 16).


Figure 16. Household income

Respondents were also asked to indicate their ethnicity, but could select as many ethnicities as appropriate. Results in Figure 17 show that $87.3 \%$ of respondents considered themselves Hispanic while $8.9 \%$ indicated being white.

Ethnicity


Figure 17. Ethnicity

Finally, respondents were asked to indicate their home country and current residence zip/postal code. Most respondents reported the United States as their home country (93.8\%). About 5.9\% indicated being from Mexico and one respondent was from Peru as shown in Figure 18.


Figure 18. Home country

The specific zip or postal codes of event registrants as provided by the event organizer as well as the zip codes of study respondents are listed in Appendices B and C.

## Lodging manager's report

An email was sent to a listing of SPI lodging owner/managers, as provided by the SPI CVB. This email requested a response to the questions shown in Table 3 about Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape guests at their facility. In total, nine owner/managers responded to the survey and the results and averages of those reporting statistics are shown in the Table.

TABLe 3. SPI Lodging owner/manager responses

| QUESTION | AVERAGE | RANGE | COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To the best of your knowledge, about how many different rooms did you rent to Jailbreak attendees? | 54 | 9 to 30 | 6 |
| To the best of your knowledge, about how many nights did most Jailbreak attendees stay at your lodging facility? | 2.5 | 1 to 9 | 6 |
| On average, how many people attending the Jailbreak stayed in one room? | 6 | 2 to 15 | 6 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person attending the Jailbreak spent per day at your lodging facility on the following (round to the nearest dollar): - Average room rate per night | \$171 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 125 \text { to } \\ \$ 280 \end{array}$ | 6 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person attending the Jailbreak spent per day at your lodging facility on the following (round to the nearest dollar): - Food per day | \$352 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \text { to } \\ \$ 2000 \end{array}$ | 9 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person attending the Jailbreak spent per day at your lodging facility on the following (round to the nearest dollar): - Beverages | \$181 | O to \$75 | 9 |
| In total, how many rooms does your facility have to rent? | 93.9 | 9 to 216 | 8 |

The results indicate that an average of six of the nine responding managers rented rooms to Jailbreak guests. Of those, the average number of rooms rented was 54.8, ranging from nine to 250. The same six managers reported having Jailbreak guests stay for an average of 2.5 nights (most reported one night stays but one had a guest stay for nine nights) with an average room
rate of $\$ 171$. The average spending on food and beverages as reported by the same six managers was $\$ 352$ and $\$ 181$ respectively. Notably, one manager reported food spending of $\$ 2,000$ and beverage spending of $\$ 1,000$.

The responding lodging managers may not be representative of SPI lodging units. Only nine hotels responded to the survey and, given the small number and uniqueness of responses, no conclusions can be made from the lodging managers' survey results other than that one hotel experienced significant food and beverage expenditures by the event attendees.

Three lodging managers provided comments about the event as follows:

- this particular event draws more day trippers than overnight stays (even when we have been host hotel)
- Good event but mostly locals
- advertise??


## STR Report

Additional data to provide evidence about the impact of an event on the SPI economy comes from the STR Destination Report provided to the SPI CVB. STR is a "global data benchmarking, analytics and marketplace insights" firm that gathers, analyzes and reports data from hotel owners/operators for benchmarking purposes. The Report includes data regarding hotel occupancy, average daily rate (ADR), revenue per available room (RevPAR), supply, demand, and revenue as provided by reporting SPI hotel owner/operators for last year as compared to this year. This data may be viewed in two ways. One way is to examine the trends over the past month to determine whether the hotel metrics changed because of Padre Jailbreak as compared to the rest of the month and the other way is to compare the metrics during the event time period to those of the same time period in the previous year.

The following figures show the hotel metrics for each day from April $8^{\text {th }}$ through May $5^{\text {th }}$ (the month trend) for this year as well as for the same time period as last year (the year trend).

The occupancy rate for the Padre Jailbreak evening of Friday, May $4^{\text {th }}$, the night before the event on Saturday morning is $74.8 \%$. This rate is only slightly lower than last year's rate of $74.9 \%$ for the same day last year but is much higher than the month-long occupancy rate of 57.7\% for this year and 63.7\% for last year as seen in the trends Figure 19.

Occupancy trends by day and by year


Figure 19. STR occupancy rates by day and year

The average daily rate (ADR) of rooms for the Padre Jailbreak night are higher than rates for most days in the month-long period for both this year and last year as shown in Figure 20. The ADR for the night in 2018 averages $\$ 117.09$, significantly higher than the ADR average of

## Average daily rate trends by day and by year



Figure 20. ADR trends by day and year
$\$ 108.97$ for the same day last year, higher than the month-long average ADR of $\$ 100.80$ this year but not of last years' month-long ADR of \$109.57.

Next, Figure 21 shows the revenue per available room (RevPAR) for the same month-long time period. The average RevPAR for the night before Padre Jailbreak is $\$ 87.61$, which is above the average rate of $\$ 81.65$ experienced during the same day last year and substantially above the month-to-date rate of $\$ 60.40$ for this year and $\$ 69.51$ for last year.


Figure 21. RevPar by day and year

For the month examined, Figure 22 shows the room demand trend. The average room demand for the night before Padre Jailbreak is 2,717 , which is almost exactly the same as the same day


Figure 22. Demand trends by day and year
last year. The room demand for the night before Padre Jailbreak exceeded the month-long average daily room demand of 1,569 and last year's average daily demand rate of 1,729 rooms.

The average lodging revenue during the Padre Jailbreak night was $\$ 238,035$, about $7.3 \%$ above the average revenue of $\$ 221,756$ for the same night last year. Moreover, the revenue for the Friday night before Padre Jailbreak was the second highest Friday night of the month as seen in Figure 23.

Revenue per available room trends by day and year


Figure 23. Revenue trends by day and year

Figure 24 summarizes the percent change in hotel occupancy, ADR, RevPAR, demand and revenue for May $4^{\text {th }}$, the night Padre Jailbreak participants would have been spending the night on the Island.


Figure 24. STR hotel trend data 3-day comparison
In summary, while ADR, RevPar and Revenue were all much higher than the same time last year, occupancy and demand rates were slightly lower for the evening before the 2018 Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape. Because of the large attendance of the event, these higher metrics are likely a direct result of Padre Jailbreak although other factors, such as the weather or other events could have played a role in affecting the metrics.

> To summarize the STR data, all results indicate an increase in ADR, RevPar, and revenue for Friday, May $4^{\text {th }}$, the evening before the morning of 2018 Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape.

Note: The STR data is derived from 11 hotel owner/operator reporting data for this year and last year. This represents $35.5 \%$ of the census of 31 open hotels listed in the STR Census and 48.4\% of the hotel rooms listed, thus all results should be interpreted accordingly without a high degree of assurances of generalizability.

## Concluding remarks

This report has detailed the amount of money spent on South Padre Island by people associated with the 2018 Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape held on Saturday, May 5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$. The results of the study were obtained by administering a short onsite survey, which offered respondents an incentive to enter a drawing to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort. A total of 348 completed survey resulted in 322 useable responses for the analysis for about a 20.6\% response rate from all estimated event attendee households.

Demographically, the study sample was comprised of predominately married females who were an average of 35 years-of-age, had at least some college education, were employed full-time, had a household income above $\$ 50,000$, and identify ethnically as Hispanic. Geographically, almost all respondents were from the US (93.8\%). The average household came to the event with 2.26 people having traveled an average of 86 of miles. Almost $62 \%$ of event attendees spent the night on SPI and spent an average of 1.02 nights.

By combining the actual number of people registered to participate in the Padre Jailbreak with survey results, Padre Jailbreak is estimated to have generated 1,057 SPI room nights. With an average total weighted lodging expenditure per household of $\$ 159$, event attendees spent about $\$ 267,727$ for lodging in total including tax, resulting in about $\$ 38,900$ in total Hotel Tax with $10.5 \%$, or $\$ 24,027$, the City's share of the Hotel Occupancy Taxes. Moreover, spending on food and beverages also contributed significantly to the taxes generated by the event attendees. The F\&B spending estimates of $\$ 129,188$ should have yielded $\$ 9,846$ in sales tax at the $8.25 \%$ rate or $\$ 2,387$ for the City at a city tax rate of $2 \%$. Total spending in other expenditure categories of $\$ 230,155$ provided $\$ 17,541$ in total sales tax revenue with the City's share being $\$ 4,252$. Altogether, considering only the hotel tax revenue, the loss to the City on their $\$ 30,000$ investment is $\$ 5,973$ or a net loss of $-19.9 \%$. Considering all spending, the City of SPI should have received $\$ 30,666$ in taxes for a gain of $\$ 666$ or a $2.2 \%$ return on the $\$ 30,000$ cash investment provided to the event organizer.

While it is impossible to know the actual number of lodging rooms booked as a result of Padre Jailbreak, the lodging manager's survey and STR data somewhat support the study's finding that the event did have a considerable effect on the number of rooms booked during the event night and on food and beverage spending.

By far, most Padre Jailbreak survey participants are "promoters" in recommending SPI to others, are likely or extremely likely to return to SPI for a future vacation and are satisfied with their overall SPI experience during the event. While the spending of Padre Jailbreak attendees did not cover the CVB-provided funding, the overall SPI experience of the event attendees will likely result in many event attendees returning to the Island for future vacations.

## Appendix A: Survey

## Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape, May 5, 2018

This survey is to understand your household experience and spending during the Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape. The one person, older than 18 , best able to report on spending for all people in your household at the Jailbreak should complete this survey. Responses are very important to planning future events. As a thank you, you may enter a drawing for a 2 -night stay at the Schlitterbahn Beach Resort. Responses are confidential and individual information will not be included in survey results or shared with others. Contact the Business and Tourism Research Center at UTRGV at businessresearch@utrgv.edu or call 956.665.2829 for questions.

1. Have you or someone else in your household already completed this survey? YYes No
If yes, please return this survey. We thank you!
2. Did you come to South Padre Island specifically for Padre Jailbreak? Yes No: If no, return this survey. Thanks!
3. About how many miles did you travel to attend the Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape? miles
4. Which of the following best describes your participation in the Padre Jailbreak (Check all that apply)?
Registered participant Event volunteer/staff
Spectator
Other
5. Including yourself, how many people from your household attended Padre Jailbreak? - Number in household
6. How many nights did you (or will you) spend on South Padre Island while attending Padre Jailbreak?
nights
7. Where are you staying (or did stay) while on South Padre Island for Padre Jailbreak?

- Hotel/motel (1) Rented condo/beach house (2)
$\square$ Campground/RV park (3) Rented a room (4)
Friend/family's home (5) My own SPI residence (7) Other (please specify) $\qquad$ (6)

8. For each of the following types of expenses, please give your best estimate of the total amount you and your household spent (or will spend) during your entire time on South Padre Island for Padre Jailbreak. (List only the total dollar amounts spent on SPI)
Food \& beverages (restaurants, concessions,
snacks, etc.)

| Night clubs, lounges \& bars (cover charges, <br> drinks, etc.) | $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lodging expenses (hotel, motel, condo, room) | $\$$ |
| Local attractions \& entertainment <br> (fishing, snorkeling, kayaking, etc.) | $\$$ |
| Retail shopping (souvenirs, gifts, film, etc.) | $\$$ |
| Transportation (gas, oil, taxi, etc.) | $\$$ |
| Parking fees | $\$$ |
| SPI Admission fees | $\$$ |
| Clothing or accessories | $\$$ |
| Groceries | $\$$ |
| Other (please specify) | $\$$ |
| Total | $\$$ |

9. On a scale from 0-10, how likely are you to recommend South Padre Island as a place to visit to a friend or colleague?
Not at
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\begin{array}{l}\text { Not at } \\ \text { all likely }\end{array} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & \begin{array}{c}\text { Extremely } \\ \text { likely }\end{array}\end{array}$
Extremely
10. How likely are you to return to South Padre Island for a vacation at some time in the future?
$\square$ Extremely likely Somewhat likely Neutral $\square$ Somewhat unlikely Extremely unlikely
11. How satisfied are you with your overall South Padre Island experience?
$\square$ Dextremely satisfied somewhat satisfied Neutral Isomewhat dissatisfied Extremely dissatisfied
12. How satisfied are you with the Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape?
$\square$ Extremely satisfied I somewhat satisfied Neutral Isomewhat dissatisfied I Extremely dissatisfied
13. What suggestions do you have for improving Padre Jailbreak or your stay on South Padre Island? (write on back)
14. What is your home zip or postal code? $\qquad$ code
15. What is your home country?

IUS Mexico Canada Other
16. What is your age? $\qquad$ (years of age)
17. What is your gender? Male Female
18. What is your marital status?
$\square$ Married DSingle Didowed Divorced/separated
19. What is your highest educational attainment?
DLess than high school $\quad$ Associate's degree

- High school graduate
Q Bachelor's degree college, no degree

20. What is your current employment status?

Whork full-time Retired within past year
-Work part-time Retired more than 1 year
UUnemployed (looking for a job) DOther (Please specify)
21. What is your combined annual household income?

| Dless than $\$ 20,000$ | $\square \$ 60 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 69,999$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square \$ 20 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 29,999$ | $\square \$ 70 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 79,999$ |
| $\square \$ 30 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 39,999$ | $\square \$ 80 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 99,999$ |
| $\square \$ 40 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 49,999$ | $\square \$ 100 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 149,999$ |
| $\square \$ 50 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 59,999$ | $\square 150,000$ or more |

22. What is your ethnicity? (Select all that apply)
$\square$ White Hispanic Mixed
Black Asian Other
Enter the drawing for a 2 -night stay at the Schlitterbahn Beach Resort Contact information is confidential and will be deleted after the drawing. Name
Phone number:
Email:
Winners will be notified no later than 2 weeks after event.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH!!!

## Appendix B: Respondent's zip or postal code and map

| 32137 | 78521 | 78582 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 66270 | 78526 | 78583 |
| 75570 | 78537 | 78584 |
| 75889 | 78539 | 78585 |
| 76179 | 78541 | 78586 |
| 76262 | 78542 | 78589 |
| 77034 | 78543 | 78590 |
| 77054 | 78550 | 78591 |
| 78041 | 78551 | 78595 |
| 78109 | 78552 | 78596 |
| 78210 | 78553 | 78597 |
| 78221 | 78557 | 78599 |
| 78230 | 78559 | 78705 |
| 78247 | 78564 | 78763 |
| 78248 | 78566 | 78877 |
| 78332 | 78569 | 78880 |
| 78411 | 78570 | 79772 |
| 78414 | 78572 | 79821 |
| 78415 | 78573 | 79932 |
| 78501 | 78574 | 87400 |
| 78503 | 78575 | 88500 |
| 78504 | 78576 |  |
| 78505 | 78577 | 78578 |
| 78516 | 78580 |  |



## Appendix C: Padre Jailbreak Beach Escape registrants' current zip

 or postal codes and map| 6070 | 77377 | 78227 | 78363 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14424 | 77381 | 78229 | 78363 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 |
| 22401 | 77471 | 78229 | 78363 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 |
| 44262 | 77471 | 78230 | 78380 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 |
| 46268 | 77479 | 78240 | 78408 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 |
| 60013 | 77515 | 78240 | 78411 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 |
| 60326 | 77530 | 78240 | 78411 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 |
| 60326 | 77531 | 78240 | 78412 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 |
| 64790 | 77581 | 78244 | 78413 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 |
| 66270 | 77591 | 78245 | 78413 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 |
| 75056 | 77954 | 78245 | 78413 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 |
| 75063 | 78013 | 78245 | 78414 | 78501 | 78501 | 78502 |
| 75063 | 78022 | 78245 | 78414 | 78501 | 78501 | 78502 |
| 75080 | 78023 | 78249 | 78414 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 75081 | 78026 | 78250 | 78414 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 75701 | 78041 | 78250 | 78414 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 75701 | 78041 | 78251 | 78414 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 76006 | 78043 | 78251 | 78414 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 76179 | 78045 | 78251 | 78414 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 76248 | 78045 | 78254 | 78414 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 76262 | 78045 | 78254 | 78414 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 76310 | 78045 | 78254 | 78415 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 77025 | 78045 | 78254 | 78418 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 77030 | 78045 | 78254 | 78418 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 77034 | 78045 | 78258 | 78418 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 77034 | 78045 | 78258 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 77076 | 78046 | 78258 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 77080 | 78109 | 78332 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 77080 | 78130 | 78332 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 77080 | 78210 | 78332 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 77081 | 78210 | 78332 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 77099 | 78216 | 78332 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 77099 | 78217 | 78363 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 77318 | 78218 | 78363 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |
| 77365 | 78227 | 78363 | 78501 | 78501 | 78501 | 78503 |


| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78521 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78503 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |
| 78504 | 78504 | 78504 | 78516 | 78520 | 78520 | 78521 |


| 78521 | 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78539 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78523 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78537 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78538 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78538 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78538 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78538 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78538 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78538 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78538 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78539 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78539 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78539 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78539 | 78539 |
| 78521 | 78521 | 78526 | 78526 | 78526 | 78539 | 78539 |


| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78543 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78543 | 78550 | 78550 | 78551 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78543 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78543 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78543 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78544 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78547 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78547 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78539 | 78541 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78540 | 78542 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78540 | 78542 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78541 | 78542 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |
| 78541 | 78542 | 78542 | 78550 | 78550 | 78550 | 78552 |


| 78552 | 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 78552 | 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78572 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78572 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78553 | 78559 | 78566 | 78572 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78559 | 78566 | 78572 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78559 | 78566 | 78572 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78559 | 78566 | 78572 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78559 | 78566 | 78572 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78559 | 78566 | 78572 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78559 | 78566 | 78572 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78559 | 78566 | 78572 | 78572 | 78572 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78560 | 78566 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78560 | 78566 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78560 | 78566 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78561 | 78566 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78562 | 78569 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78563 | 78569 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78565 | 78569 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78565 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78565 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78565 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78557 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |
| 78552 | 78559 | 78566 | 78570 | 78572 | 78572 | 78573 |


| 78573 | 78574 | 78576 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78576 | 7857 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78576 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78576 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78576 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78576 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78584 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78576 | 78577 | 78578 | 78580 | 78584 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78576 | 78577 | 78578 | 78580 | 78584 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78576 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78584 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78584 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78584 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78584 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78585 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78573 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78578 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78579 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78574 | 78577 | 78577 | 78579 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78575 | 78577 | 78577 | 78579 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78575 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78575 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78582 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78575 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78575 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78575 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78575 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78575 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78575 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78575 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78575 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78575 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78575 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 | 78586 |
| 78574 | 78576 | 78577 | 78577 | 78580 | 78583 | 78586 |


| 78586 | 78589 | 78589 | 78596 | 78596 | 78599 | 78741 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78589 | 78596 | 78596 | 78599 | 78744 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78589 | 78596 | 78596 | 78599 | 78748 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78589 | 78596 | 78597 | 78599 | 78748 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78589 | 78596 | 78597 | 78599 | 78751 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78589 | 78596 | 78597 | 78599 | 78840 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78589 | 78596 | 78597 | 78599 | 79772 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78589 | 78596 | 78599 | 78599 | 79821 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78590 | 78596 | 78599 | 78599 | 79821 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78592 | 78596 | 78599 | 78599 | 79843 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78592 | 78596 | 78599 | 78599 | 79843 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78592 | 78596 | 78599 | 78599 | 79843 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78592 | 78596 | 78599 | 78599 | 79843 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78592 | 78596 | 78599 | 78599 | 79932 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78592 | 78596 | 78599 | 78599 | 79932 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78592 | 78596 | 78599 | 78599 | 85283 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78593 | 78596 | 78599 | 78599 | 85607 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78593 | 78596 | 78599 | 78599 | 87560 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78593 | 78596 | 78599 | 78599 | 87560 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78593 | 78596 | 78599 | 78599 | 88048 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78593 | 78596 | 78599 | 78599 | 88650 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78593 | 78596 | 78599 | 78609 | 88660 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78593 | 78596 | 78599 | 78610 | 88680 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78594 | 78596 | 78599 | 78610 | 88700 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78595 | 78596 | 78599 | 78620 | 88707 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78595 | 78596 | 78599 | 78620 | 88730 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78595 | 78596 | 78599 | 78624 | 88730 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78595 | 78596 | 78599 | 78634 | 88730 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78596 | 78596 | 78599 | 78634 | 88746 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78596 | 78596 | 78599 | 78640 | 88746 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78596 | 78596 | 78599 | 78644 | 88746 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78596 | 78596 | 78599 | 78644 | 96818 |
| 78586 | 78589 | 78596 | 78596 | 78599 | 78644 |  |
| 78589 | 78589 | 78596 | 78596 | 78599 | 78660 | 78666 |



## SPI Kite Fest 2018

# \$22,150 

 CVB Investment\$1,118,921 TOTAL SPENDING
\$595
Average spent per household

## City tax

 share
## Attendance

7,787 3-day attendees 1,880 households 620 room nights $-1.8 \%$ change in event days YoY occupancy


## DEMOGRAPHICS

Average age 62
Average Income: 65\% $\$ 50,000$ or more

## SPI Experience <br> NET PROMOTER SCORE <br> likely to recommend South Padre Island <br> 
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## Executive Summary and Survey Highlights

This report details the measured economic impact of the 2018 South Padre Island (SPI) Kite Fest held on February $1^{\text {st }}-3$ rd. Promoted by B\&S Kites with $\$ 22,100$ funding support from the SPI Convention and Visitors Bureau (CVB), organizers expected to attract 300 plus (547 in 2017) rooms for 2 to 3 nights. To examine the spending of SPI Kite Fest participants on SPI, a short survey incentivized with the opportunity to enter a drawing to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort was conducted. The survey was administered online and onsite with 77.5\% completed onsite. Although 489 respondents attempted the survey, 18 were duplicated household responses and 100 were not on the Island specifically for Kite Fest.

Demographically, the study sample has an average age of 62 years, is predominately female (67.3\%), married ( $80.3 \%$ ), with some college education ( $76.7 \%$ ), is retired ( $76.2 \%$ ), has a household income above $\$ 50,000(65 \%)$, and is white ( $83.1 \%$ ). Survey respondents are primarily from the US ( $88.7 \%$ ) although $10.4 \%$ came from Canada and $0.8 \%$ came from Mexico. On average, survey participants traveled with an average of 2.5 people for an average of 271 miles. A large percentage (89.8\%) of survey respondents are considered promoters of the Island to others, with $95.7 \%$ likely to return to SPI for a future vacation and $98.9 \%$ satisfied with the overall SPI experience.

Importantly, the survey analysis found that each household group attending the 2018 SPI Kite Fest event spent an estimated average of $\$ 595$ per household while on the Island for a total spending of $\$ 1,118,900$. This total spending resulted in $\$ 100,934$ in total sales tax revenue with $\$ 36,825$ the City's share, a $66.3 \%$ return on the $\$ 22,150$ cash invested by the CVB in the event.

Separately, lodging is the highest per household expenditure category with $28.7 \%$ of study respondents spending at least one night on the Island and staying an average of 1.15 nights, generating 620 total room nights, most of which were in hotels (56.1\%). With the average
lodging expenditure of $\$ 121$ per household that spent the night on the Island, revenue from lodging was a total of $\$ 226,644$. Of the total lodging expenditure, $17 \%$ or $\$ 32,931$ was for the Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT), and $10.5 \%$ of that, or $\$ 20,340$ goes toward the $10.5 \%$ City HOT. Moreover, the estimated total spending on food and beverage of $\$ 434,683$, resulted in about $\$ 33,128$ in taxes at the $8.25 \%$ rate or $\$ 8,031$ at the City $2 \%$ tax rate. The $\$ 457,594$ spent on other SPI purchases resulted in tax revenue of $\$ 34,874$ or $\$ 8,454$ for the City's share. In all, the City's share of all tax revenue is $\$ 36,825$, which represents a $66.3 \%$ return on the $\$ 22,150$ cash provided to the SPI Kite Fest organizer as seen in the table below.

## Summary of Key Performance Indicators (KPI)

| KPI | Result | Description of KPI | Page |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CVB cash investment | $\$ 22,150$ | Amount of funding provided by CVB to event <br> promoter | P1 |
| Total spending | $\$ 1,118,921$ | Total spent by event households | Table 2, P12 |
| Average spent per <br> household | $\$ 595$ | Weighted average spent per household | Table 2, P12 |
| Number of <br> households | 1,880 | Number of households at event | P7 |
| Number in household | 2.5 | Number of people in household group at event | Figure 12, P10 |
| Nights on SPI | 1.15 | Average number of nights spent on SPI | Figure 13, P10 |
| Lodging tax | $\$ 20,340$ | City share of HOT revenue: $10.5 \%$ of 17\% HOT | Table 3, P14 |
| F\&B sales tax | $\$ 8,031$ | City share of total tax collected from F\&B <br> spending: $2 \%$ of 8.25\% of total sales tax | Table 3, P14 |
| Other sales tax | $\$ 8,454$ | City share of total sales tax revenue | Table 3, P14 |
| Total City tax share | $\$ 36,825$ | Total City tax revenue from event | Table 3, P14 |
| Total tax ROI | $66.3 \%$ | Return on CVB investment considering all taxes | Table 3, P14 |
| Lodging only ROI | $-8.2 \%$ | Return on CVB investment considering HOT only | Table 3, P14 |
| Net Promoter Score | 89 | Measure of customer loyalty; calculated as <br> identified promoters less detractors | Figure 16, p15 |
| Likely to return | $95.7 \%$ | Percent somewhat or extremely likely to return <br> to SPI | Figure 17, p16 |
| Satisfied with SPI | $98.9 \%$ | Percent somewhat or extremely satisfied with SPI | Figure 17, p16 |
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## SPI Kite Fest Economic Impact

## Introduction

The South Padre Island (SPI) Kite Fest consisted of three days of scheduled events:

- Thursday, February $1^{\text {st. }}$ an indoor kite performance from 6:30pm to 8:30pm in the SPI Convention Center;
- Saturday, February $3^{\text {rd. }}$ : banquet and silent auction at 6:30pm at the Isla Grand Beach Resort, and;
- Friday February $2^{\text {nd }}$ and Saturday, February $3^{\text {rd }}$ from 10am to 4 pm : two days of outdoor kite flying on the 'the Flats' next to the SPI Convention Center.

The SPI Kite Fest was organized by B\&S Kites with an estimated cost of the event at $\$ 43,062$. The organization had originally requested, $\$ 22,150$ from the SPI Convention and Visitors Bureau (CVB) as well as an in-kind use of the CVB Exhibition Hall at a value of $\$ 3,000$. About $\$ 4,600$ of the funding was to cover promotional expenses with the remaining funds to offset travel and other demonstration expenses. In addition to the CVB funding, the organizer expected that $86 \%$ of the total event costs would be covered by Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT). In terms of attendance, the event organizer expected to attract about 8,000 people with about 300+ staying in SPI lodging for two to three nights. At the 2017 Kite Fest event, about 547 rooms were rented during by event registrants. For 2018, an estimate 300 or more people would rent rooms on the Island for 2.5 nights for an expected 750 SPI room nights attributable to the 2018 SPI Kite Fest.

To promote the event, the organizer had expected to create 26 media press releases, combined with promotional spending on radio, TV, and newspaper ads, banners, festival buttons, and shirts, as well as online investments with a website and social media design and monitoring. The primary regions of promotional efforts were to be in Texas,
including the Rio Grande Valley, Austin, San Antonio, Houston, Lubbock and Dallas-Fort Worth, as well as other states in the US and in Canada.

## Method

To estimate the economic impact of the 2018 SPI Kite Fest, UTRGV researchers conducted a survey (see Appendix A) among Kite Fest attendees on SPI on Friday and Saturday, February $2^{\text {nd }}-3^{\text {rd }}$. As an incentive, survey respondents were offered a chance to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort and respondents on Saturday were also offered SPI promotional products which substantially helped to recruit respondents. Respondents were asked to complete the survey via online
 and offline methods. Most respondents (380) were approached by onsite interviewers while others were given note cards (see Figure 1) inviting online survey participation.

# URRioGrandeValley 

## SPI Kite Fest Survey

For a chance to win 2 nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort, complete a short survey at: www.utrgv.edu/kitefest

OR scan the QR code to access

Please complete this survey by Feb 5, 2018

Figure 1. Survey note cards

## Interviews

A total of 19 trained interviewers and two supervisors attended the SPI Kite Fest Outdoor Kite Festival held at the Andie Bowie Flats area during the performance hours from 10am to 4pm. To get a representative sample of attendees on both days of the Kite Fest, seven interviewers were deployed on February $2^{\text {nd }}$ and 12 on February $3^{\text {rd }}$ to randomly select and interview event attendees. As a home base for the survey team, a tent was located just inside the Flats access point, which enabled potential respondents to be aware of the survey team when entering the event grounds and/or passing the tent. Also, the team of interviewers were made highly visible by wearing bright orange t-shirts and visors. Interviewers approached potential respondents in a professional manner and used iPads to electronically record survey responses onsite. Respondents were also able to complete a hard copy of the questionnaire, if preferred. Attendees not responding to an onsite interview were given a note card with a link to the


## Estimated attendance

Knowing the number of people attending any event is crucial to estimating the economic impact of the event. To determine the number of attendees, one person was tasked with counting the number of people attending the outdoor Kite Fest performances. The estimate of the people attending Kite Fest 2018 was achieved in multiple ways:

- Manual people count
- Digital vehicle count
- Drone footage on crowd size

A specific count of the number of people entering Bay Access Point February 2nd and 3rd was conducted with a manual people counter. As Table 1 shows, the number of people entering is much higher for Saturday, February 3 as compared to Friday, February 2. While February 3 was the main event day and hence achieved much higher attendance, Friday, February 2 was a cold and windy day, which enabled the larger kites to be flying but was not necessarily comfortable for those attending. Observations on February 2 noted many entering and then exiting about 30 minutes later while others chose to stay in their cars, honking the car horn in response to kite stunts and announcements on the PA system. Contrastingly, on February 3, the temperature was more comfortable but the lack of wind did not sustain the larger kites consistently throughout the day and therefore many attendees expressed disappointment. The larger attendance recorded on February 3 also included many elderly and many people with disabilities who enquired on entry regarding parking. The implication is that disabled parking needs to be an extra-large allocation for this event.

Table 1 shows the number of vehicles entering via Bay Access Point on February 2 and February 3 , as measured via digital camera recording and Camlytics software. However, the total attendance figures are not reflective of the number of people present at any one time. Therefore, a third estimation of crowd size using a drone was conducted on an hourly basis on February 3 only. Table 1 also shows the overall attendance by count method. The February 3 hourly crowd size measured via drone footage is shown in Figures 2-7.

Table 1. Crowd size by counting method

| Count method | Friday, February 2 (10am-4pm) | Saturday, February 3 (8:30am-3:30pm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manual people count | 2,340 | 4,822 |
| Camlytics vehicle count | 920 enter 1098 exit | 1562 enter 1392 exit |
| Drone |  | Crowd size estimate/Related figure |
| 10am |  | 290/Figure 2 |
| 11am |  | 694/Figure 3 |
| 12noon |  | 1,446/Figure 4 |
| 1 pm |  | 1,391/Figure 5 |
| 2 pm |  | 1,149/Figure 6 |
| 3 pm |  | 708/Figure 7 |
| Average crowd size |  | 946 |



Figure 3. Drone footage 10am crowd size


Figure 5. Drone footage 12noon crowd size


Figure 4. Drone footage 11am crowd size


Figure 6. Drone footage 1pm crowd size


Figure 8. Drone footage 2pm crowd size


Figure 7. Drone footage 3pm crowd size

A total of almost 7,800 people were physically counted as attending the Kite Fest events; however, the survey indicated that $24.6 \%$ of respondents were not on the Island specifically for Kite Fest. Also, an estimated $20 \%$ of Kite Fest attendees are considered duplicates, having visited events on multiple days. By subtracting duplicate attendees and attendees who were not on SPI because of Kite Fest, an estimate of the number of people on the Island because of Kite Fest was determined as 4,700 individuals or 1,880 households ( 4,700 divided by 2.5 , the average number of people per household).


Figure 9. Convention center

## Results

A total of 489 respondents were recruited for this study. Most survey completions (77.5\%) were obtained through onsite interviews while $22.5 \%$ of respondents participated in the survey individually online as shown in Figure 9.

The questionnaire contained several filter questions designed to eliminate nonqualified study participants. The first filter question was to eliminate multiple responses from a single household. This filter eliminated only 18 respondents. The next filter question was designed to eliminate potential respondents who had not visited SPI because of the SPI Kite

## Source of respondents



Figure 10. Source of study respondents Fest, thus their Island expenditures would not be directly attributable to the event. This questionnaire filter eliminated 100 respondents or $21.2 \%$ of surveys attempted. Other than being at SPI for the Kite Fest reasons given for being on the Island included:

## Winter Texans, vacation, biking, warm weather, relaxing weekend, just

 passing by, camping at Isla Blanca Park and to see the Painted Marlin. The final filter was designed to eliminate respondents who live on the Island and would not likely be spending money solely because of the event. In total, the filter process left 355 of the 471 unduplicated responses or $75.4 \%$ of respondents who had come to SPI specifically for Kite Fest from outside of the immediate SPI area.
## Survey participants and SPI stay characteristics

The following results are for all 471 unduplicated survey respondents but, more specifically, 355 respondents who came to SPI specifically to attend the SPI Kite Fest 2018. The results in this section are grouped into three response-type categories:

- All data results;
- results from onsite interviews only; and
- results from online responses only.


## SPI Kite Fest participation: Survey versus actual

In this study, attendees of the SPI Kite Fest were classified according to their attendance status.
As seen in Figure 10, by far, most attendees were spectators on Friday and or Saturday (93.2\%). A total of 7\% of respondents attended the indoor performance or banquet and (4.2\%) were volunteers, staff or kite flyers.


Figure 11. Survey response to Kite Fest participation type

## Miles traveled, group size and stay characteristics

Survey respondents were asked to indicate the number of miles traveled to the event, how many people were in their household, how many nights they spent on SPI, and where they spent the night while at Kite Fest. distance traveled by onsite respondents is

Average miles traveled
by response type


Figure 12. Average miles traveled significantly greater than distances traveled by online respondents.


Figure 14. Average group size
A total of 147 of the unduplicated respondents (31.2\%) reported spending an average of 1.15 nights on SPI for Kite Fest, as shown in Figure
13. The figure also shows that onsite respondents spent significantly more nights on SPI than did online respondents.

Figure 12 shows the average number of people per household traveling to Kite Fest as 2.5, although the reported number of household members ranges from 1 to 13 . Note that several buses were observed to attend the event.

## Average number of nights

 spent by respondent type

Figure 13. Average number of nights spent on SPI

Figure 14 breaks down the number of nights spent on SPI and shows that most respondents did not spend the night on the Island (62.2\%). Of those who did, most spent one night (51 respondents or $10.8 \%), 5.5 \%$ spent two nights, and $4 \%$ spent three nights on the Island. A total of 51 respondents reported spending more than three nights on the Island.


Figure 15. Percentage spending the night on SPI
Figure 15 shows the types of lodging used by Kite Fest attendees while on the Island. A total of 142 respondents reported paying for their lodging with most renting a hotel/motel room (56.1\%), a condominium or beach house (19.6\%), or staying at a campground/RV park (18.9\%).


Figure 16. LODGING TYPE USED

## Estimated spending

Study respondents were asked to identify how much money they spent in various expenditure categories. The total average reported expenditure by category was then multiplied by the percentage of respondents who reported spending in that expense category to arrive at the average weighted spending per expense category. For example, the results, shown in Table 2, indicate that the average amount spent on lodging for the stay duration was $\$ 421$ with a weighted average of $\$ 121$ when considering that only $28.7 \%$ of respondent households spent the night on the Island. Note that the $17 \%$ HOT rate was added to the amount reported by respondents for lodging while all other spending is assumed to have taxes included. In total, Kite Fest attendee groups spent a total average of \$1,756 with the weighted average of \$595 per household for the time they were on South Padre Island for the 2018 SPI Kite Fest.

Table 2. Total average weighted spending

| Expenditure category | Total average | \% spending in category | Weighted spending | Total weighted spending |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food \& Beverages | \$ 337 | 68.6\% | \$231 | \$ | 434,683 |
| Night life | \$ 169 | 24.8\% | \$ 42 | \$ | 78,872 |
| Lodging | \$ 421 | 28.7\% | \$121 | \$ | 226,644 |
| Attraction entertainment | \$ 176 | 20.2\% | \$ 36 | \$ | 66,918 |
| Retail | \$ 117 | 42.5\% | \$ 50 | \$ | 93,297 |
| Transportation | \$ 80 | 45.6\% | \$ 36 | \$ | 68,414 |
| Parking | \$ 33 | 1.3\% | \$ 0 | \$ | 798 |
| Admission fees | \$ 48 | 7.6\% | \$ 4 | \$ | 6,909 |
| Clothing | \$ 107 | 24.8\% | \$ 27 | \$ | 49,914 |
| Groceries | \$ 206 | 23.4\% | \$ 48 | \$ | 90,495 |
| Other | \$ 62 | 1.7\% | \$ 1 | \$ | 1,976 |
| Total | \$ 1,756 |  | \$595 |  | 1,118,921 |

The total spending on South Padre Island that is specifically attributable to the SPI Kite Fest is determined by multiplying the 1,880 unduplicated event attendee households (see p7) by the
total weighted $\$ 595$ per household spending while on South Padre (see Table 2). The result is a total, direct spending by Kite Fest attenders of about $\$ 1,118,900$ on South Padre Island.


#### Abstract

The estimated direct spending on South Padre Island as attributed to the 2018 SPI Kite Fest event is $\$ \mathbf{1 , 1 1 8 , 9 0 0}$ within a confidence interval of plus or minus $5 \%$ given the assumptions of a random sample selection and the estimate of $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ attendee duplications. This resulted in a total City tax revenue of $\$ \mathbf{3 6}, 825$, a $\mathbf{6 6 . 3} \%$ return on the $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 , 1 5 0}$ cash invested in the event.


## Spending on food \& beverage and lodging

The survey results indicated $68.6 \%$ of respondents spent an average of $\$ 337$ per household on food and beverages (F\&B). This means that Kite Fest households spent a total weighted average of $\$ 434,683$ ( $\$ 231$ per household $\times 1,880$ households) on F\&B. With an $8.25 \%$ tax rate, this amount resulted in about $\$ 33,128$ in sales tax collected from F\&B spending, of which about $\$ 8,031$ is the City's $2 \%$ tax rate share. In addition, attendee households spent $\$ 457,594$ on other purchases, resulting in $\$ 34,874$ in total tax revenue of which $\$ 8,454$ is the City's share.

The survey results also indicated that $28.7 \%$ of respondents spent an average of $\$ 421$ for a weighted average spending of $\$ 121$ per household on lodging over an average of 1.15 nights (see Figure 13) spent on SPI for the Kite Fest. These statistics indicate that Kite Fest generated 620 room nights (1,880 households x $28.7 \%$ household spending the night $\times 1.15$ average nights spent) for a total of about $\$ 226,644$ spent on lodging, inclusive of HOT. This resulting HOT revenue is $\$ 32,931$ at the $17 \%$ HOT rate and, of that amount, South Padre Island should receive about $\$ 20,340$ at the $10.5 \%$ HOT rate.

> SPI Kite Fest attenders accounted for 620 room nights and spent \$226,644 $\pm \$ 9,400$ on lodging while on the Island for the event.

The CVB provided $\$ 22,150$ cash and $\$ 3,000$ in-kind value for a total of $\$ 25,150$ to the SPI Kite Fest organizer. For the cash-only investment, the city of South Padre Island should recover $10.5 \%$ of the HOT or $\$ 20,340$. The F\&B spending of $\$ 434,680$ generated a City return in tax revenue of $2 \%$ or $\$ 8,031$ and the $\$ 457,594$ spent on other purchases generated an additional $\$ 8,454$ for the City. Including all tax revenue from all sources, the total return in tax revenue to the City as a result of the SPI Kite Fest is estimated at $\$ 36,825$, which fully cover the $\$ 22,150$ cash the CVB invested in the event for a $66.3 \%$ return on investment as seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Spending, tax revenue and ROI

| Spending <br> category | Amount <br> spent | Tax <br> rate | Total sales <br> tax | City's <br> $\%$ <br> share | City's $\$$ <br> share | ROI on <br> $\mathbf{\$ 2 2 , 1 5 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lodging | $\$ 226,644$ | $17 \%$ | $\$ 32,931$ | $10.5 \%$ | $\$ 20,340$ | $-8.2 \%$ |
| Food \& Beverage | $\$ 434,683$ | $8.25 \%$ | $\$ 33,128$ | $2 \%$ | $\$ 8,031$ |  |
| All other | $\$ 457,594$ | $8.25 \%$ | $\$ 34,874$ | $2 \%$ | $\$ 8,454$ |  |
| Totals | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 1 1 8 , 9 2 1}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 9 3 4}$ |  | $\$ 36,825$ | $\mathbf{6 6 . 3 \%}$ |

In summary, the taxes accrued to the City of South Padre Island as a result of 2018 SPI Kite Fest is estimated at $\$ \mathbf{3 6 , 8 2 5} \pm \mathbf{5} \%$ for a return on the $\$ \mathbf{2 2 , 1 5 0}$ cash investment of 66.3\%.

## The SPI Experience

The next section of the survey asked respondents about their stay on SPI. In this section, the "net promoter" question was used to determine how likely survey respondents are to recommend SPI as a place to visit to friends or colleagues. The results, shown in Figure 16, indicate that most study respondents (89.8\%) are promoters of SPI while $.08 \%$ are detractors. This yields a net promoter score (NPS) of 89, which is very good. For example, the hotel industry has a NPS of 39 (www.netpromoter.com/compare). Recommendation likelihood varied by response type, however. Onsite respondents were much more likely to recommend SPI to others as promoters than were online respondents (NPS $=91.4$ versus 72.7 , respectively) and were less likely to be detractors ( 0.5 versus 3.0 ).


Figure 17. Net promoter score

Respondents also indicated how likely they are to return to SPI for a future vacation (Figure 17) and how satisfied overall they were with their SPI experience (Figure 18). Most respondents are likely or highly likely to return to the Island (95.7\%) in the future and were satisfied or very satisfied (98.9\%) with their SPI experience. Only one respondent reported having an unsatisfactory SPI experience.


Figure 18. Likelihood of returning to SPI in the future


Figure 19. Satisfaction with the SPI experience

NOTE: Some respondents had suggestions for improving their stay on SPI and that feedback appears in Appendix B.

## Respondent Demographics

The remainder of the study assessed respondent demographic characteristics.

The average age of all respondents was 62.2 years, as shown in Figure 19, although ages ranged from 18 to 83 years of age. The figure also shows that the age of online respondents was significantly lower than the age of onsite respondents.

## Gender

by response type


Figure 22. Gender


Figure 20. Age

Most respondents are female (67.3\%), married (80.3\%), and have at least some college (76.7\%) as shown in Figures 20 through 22, respectively. No differences between onsite versus online respondents were statistically significant for any of these characteristics.


Figure 23. Marital status


Figure 24. Educational attainment
In all, most study respondents were retired (76.2\%) although 15.8\% had full-time employment and 4.5 worked part-time as indicated in Figure 23. Onsite versus online respondents were statistically significantly more likely to be retired.


Figure 25. Employment status

Study participants in the SPI Kite Fest, in general, have higher household income levels than the general US population. Most (65\%) had a reported household income above \$50,000 (Figure 24). While online respondents tended to have a higher household income, differences by respondent type are not statistically significant.


Figure 26. Household income

Respondents were asked to indicate their ethnicity, but could select as many ethnicities as appropriate. Most respondents considered themselves to be White (83.1\%), with 10.7\% indicating an Hispanic ethnicity, and 3.4\% black as seen in Figure 25. Online respondents were more likely to be self-classified as white than were onsite respondents.


Figure 27. Ethnicity

Finally, respondents were asked to indicate their home country and current residence zip/postal code. Most respondents reported the United States as their home country (88.7\%). About 10.4\% of the respondents reported being from Canada and $0.8 \%$ indicated being from Mexico as shown in Figure 26.


[^1]The specific zip or postal codes of study respondents are listed in Appendix C, however, a map with the zip codes are plotted Figure 27.


Figure 29. Zip/postal codes of Kite Fest attendees

## Lodging manager's report

An email was sent to a listing of SPI lodging owner/managers, as provided by the SPI CVB. This email requested a response to the questions shown in Table 4 about SPI Kite Fest guests at their facility. In total, six owner/managers responded to the survey and the results and averages of those reporting statistics are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. SPI Lodging owner/manager responses

| QUESTION | AVERAGE | RANGE | COUNT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| To the best of your knowledge, about how many different <br> rooms did you rent to SPI Kite Fest attendees? | 5.5 | 0 to 15 | 6 |
| On average, how many people attending the SPI Kite Fest <br> stayed in one room? | 2.67 | 0 to 10 | 6 |
| To the best of your knowledge, about how many nights did <br> most SPI Kite Fest attendees stay at your lodging facility? | 2.67 | 0 to 10 | 6 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person <br> attending the SPI Kite Fest spent per day at your lodging <br> facility on the following (round to the nearest dollar): - <br> Average room rate per night | $\$ 62.00$ | 0 to \$69 | 6 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person <br> attending the SPI Kite Fest spent per day at your lodging <br> facility on the following (round to the nearest dollar): - Food <br> per day | $\$ 8.00$ | 0 to \$30 | 5 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person <br> attending the SPI Kite Fest spent per day at your lodging <br> facility on the following (round to the nearest dollar): - <br> Beverages | $\$ 3.83$ | 0 to \$10 | 6 |
| In total, how many rooms does your facility have to rent? | 72.33 | 10 to 150 | 6 |

The results indicate that an average of 5.5 rooms were rented per lodging facility, that Kite Fest attendees spent 2.67 nights with an average of 2.67 people per room. The average cost per room was $\$ 62$ and guests spent an average of $\$ 11.83$ on food and beverages. However, the responding lodging managers do not appear to be representative of SPI lodging units. For example, three of the six response came from facilities that reporting having a total of 19 or fewer rooms for rent. Thus, given the small number and uniqueness of responses, no conclusions can be made from the lodging managers' survey results.

Three lodging managers provided comments about the event for SPI officials, which are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. SPI lodging owner/managers

## PLEASE PROVIDE MORE INFORMATION TO HOTELS FOR THE EVENT

We don't receive many reservation specifically for kitefest but I'm sure majority of our winter texans enjoy the Kite Fest

Kite Fest is a wonderful event for Winter Visitors too!
Weather was not the greatest

## STR Report

Additional data to provide evidence about impact of an event on the SPI economy comes from the STR Destination Report provided to the SPI CVB. STR is a "global data benchmarking, analytics and marketplace insights" firm that gathers, analyzes and reports data from hotel owners/operators for benchmarking purposes. The Report includes data regarding hotel occupancy, average daily rate (ADR), revenue per available room (RevPAR), supply, demand, and revenue as provided by reporting SPI hotel owner/operators for last year as compared to this year. This data may be viewed in two ways. One way is to examine the trends over the past month to determine whether the hotel metrics changed during the Kite Fest event as compared to the rest of the month and the other way is to compare the metrics during the event time period to those of the same time period in the previous year.

The following figures show the hotel metrics for each day from January 7 to February 3 (the month trend) for this year as well as for the same time period as last year (the year trend).

The occupancy rates for the Kite Fest weekend from Thursday, February 1 through Saturday, February 3 are $69.7 \%, 68.9 \%$ and $74.3 \%$, respectively, for an average rate of $71.0 \%$. This rate is


Figure 30. STR occupancy rates by day and year
lower than last
year's rate of $72.5 \%$
for the same day period but is much higher than the month-long occupancy rate of 54.3\% for this year and 55.5\% for last year as seen in the trends Figure 28.

Overall, the average daily rate (ADR) of rooms during the Kite Fest period are higher than rates for most days in the month-long period for both this year and last year as shown in Figure 29. The ADR for the days of Kite Fest in 2018 averages $\$ 77.10$, lower than the ADR of $\$ 78.20$ for the same day period last year, but much Average daily rate trends by day and by year higher than the month-long average ADR of \$71.61 this year and of last years' month-long ADR of
 $\$ 70.43$.

Figure 31. ADR trends by day and year

Next, Figure 30 shows the revenue per available room (RevPAR) for the same month-long time period. The average RevPAR for the three days of Kite Fest is $\$ 50.61$, which is higher than the month average of $\$ 48.20$ experienced during the same day-period last year. The Kite Fest

|  | Revenue per available room trends by day and year |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 70.00 \\ & 60.00 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |
| 50.00 |  |
| 40.00 |  |
| 30.00 |  |
| 20.00 |  |
| 10.00 |  |
| 0.00 |  |
|  |  $\qquad$ -This Year $\qquad$ Last Year | RevPAR is also substantially above the month-to-date rate of $\$ 38.89$.

Figure 32. RevPar by day and year

For the time period examined, Figure 31 shows the room demand trend. The average room demand for the three nights of Kite Fest is 5,783 , which is $5.2 \%$ less than demand during the same three-day period last year. However, the room demand for each day of Kite Fest


Figure 33. Demand trends

The lodging revenue during the three days of Kite Fest was $\$ 445,969$, about $3.4 \%$ below the same 3-day total revenue of $\$ 463,159$ for the same period last year. Nevertheless, the average revenue for the Kite Fest days was higher than all but one other day for the month-long period and for all days in the prior year except For February $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $3^{\text {rd }}$.

Revenue trends by day and year


Figure 36. Revenue trends by day and year

Figure 33 summarizes the percent change in hotel occupancy, ADR, RevPAR, demand and revenue for the three-day period when SPI Kite Fest participants would have been spending the night on the Island.

## STR hotel trend data Comparison of same day this year to last



Figure 37. STR hotel trend data 3-day comparison

In summary, all metrics on Thursday, February 1st of the 2018 SPI Kite Fest were much improved over February $1^{\text {st }}$ of the prior year but were down for Friday and Saturday lodging comparisons. Kite Fest began on Thursday, February $2^{\text {nd }}$ in 2017 and all the STR results suggest that the 2017 event generated higher metrics than did the 2018 event, although other factors may have accounting for the spike in 2017. For example, in 2017 there was a groundbreaking for the Sea Turtle Education Center and other events on the Island that may have accounted for the differences.

To summarize the STR data, all results indicate a significant increase in occupancy, RevPar, demand and revenue only for Thursday, February 1 ${ }^{\text {st }}$, the first day of 2018 SPI Kite Fest.

Note: The STR data is derived from hotel owner/operator reporting from 10 SPI hotels for this year and 11 for last year. This represents 32.2 \% of the census of 31 open hotels listed in the STR Census and $45 \%$ of the hotel rooms listed, thus all results should be interpreted accordingly without a high degree of assurances of generalizability.

## Concluding remarks

This report has detailed the amount of money spent on South Padre Island by people associated with the 2018 South Padre Island (SPI) Kite Fest held on February $1^{\text {st }}$ through February 3rd. The results of the study were obtained by administering a short survey, both online and onsite, which offered respondents an incentive to enter a drawing to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort. A total of 489 surveys were attempted but 18 responses were eliminated because of household duplication as were responses for people who were not specifically on the Island for Kite Fest, resulting in 355 viable survey respondents.

A majority (77.5\%) of survey completions came from people interviewed onsite at the Kite Fest outdoor performances on Friday, February 2nd and Saturday, February 3rd. The remaining 100 responses came from online survey takers. Demographically, the study sample was comprised of predominately married females who were an average of 62 years of age, had at least some college education, were retired, had a household income above $\$ 50,000$, and identify ethnically as white. Geographically, a large majority of respondents were from the US (88.7\%) although about 10.4\% were from Canada. The average number of miles traveled by survey participants to attend the event was 271 miles, with $28.7 \%$ spending an average of 1.15 nights on SPI.

By combining the actual number of people observed to attend the combined 3-day Kite Fest events with survey results, the SPI Kite Fest likely generated about 620 SPI room nights. With an average total lodging expenditure per household of $\$ 421$, the Kite Fest households who spent the night on the Island, spent about $\$ 226,644$ for lodging in total, resulting in about $\$ 32,931$ in total Hotel Tax with $10.5 \%$, or $\$ 20,340$, the City's share. While it is impossible to know the actual number of lodging rooms booked as a result of the SPI Kite Fest, the lodging manager's survey and the STR Destination Report data for the period supports the study's finding that the event did significantly affect rooms booked during the event weekend.

Moreover, spending on food and beverage also contributed significantly to the taxes generated by the event attendees. The F\&B spending estimates of $\$ 434,683$ should have yielded $\$ 33,128$
in sales tax at the $8.25 \%$ rate or $\$ 8,031$ for the City at a City tax rate of $2 \%$. Other spending of $\$ 457,594$ generated $\$ 34,874$ in tax revenue with $\$ 8,454$ as the City's share. In all, the City of SPI should have received a total of $\$ 36,825$ in tax revenue, a $66.3 \%$ return on the $\$ 22,150$ cash investment provided to the event organizer.

Gladly, most SPI Kite Fest survey participants are "promoters" in recommending SPI to others, are likely or extremely likely to return to SPI for a future vacation, and are satisfied with their overall SPI experience during Kite Fest. This suggests that while the SPI Kite Fest resulted in significant direct spending during the event weekend, the overall SPI experience of the event attenders will likely result in many returning to the Island for future vacations.

## Appendix A: Survey

## SPI Kite Fest Survey, February 1- 3, 2018

This survey is designed to understand your household experience and spending during the South Padre Island Kite Fest. Only the one person, older than 18 , best able to report on spending for all people in your household who are at the Kite Fest should complete this survey. The responses are very important to planning events in the future. As a thank you for your cooperation, you will have a chance to enter a drawing for a 2-night stay at the schlitterbahn Beach Resort. Only one entry per household. All responses are confidential and individual information will not be included in survey results or shared with others. For questions about this survey, contact The Business and Tourism Research Center at The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley at businessresearch@utrgv.edu or call 956.665.2829.

1. Have you or someone else in your household already completed this survey? QYes No
If yes, please return this survey. We thank you!
2. Did you come to South Padre Island specifically for the SPI Kite Fest? DYes No: if not, why did you come to the Island? ___ If no, please return this survey. We thank you!
3. About how many miles did you travel Just to attend the SPI Kite Fest?
miles
4-5. Which of the following best describes your participation in the SPI Kite Fest (check all that apply)?
$\square$ Attended indoor performance Attended banquet $\square$ Outdoor spectator Kite Fest volunteer/staff $\square$ Did not attend Kite Fest other (Specify)
4. Including yourself, how many people from your household attended the SPI Kite Fest?

Number in household
7. How many nights did you (or will you) spend on South Padre Island while attending the SPI Kite Fest? $\qquad$ nights
8. Where are you staying (or did stay) while on South Padre Islan for the SPI Kite Fest?
$\square$ Hotel/motel (1) Rented condo/beach house (2)

- Campground/RV park (3) Rented a room (4) - Friend/family's home (5) My own SPI residence (7) - Other (please specify) $\qquad$ (6)

9. For each of the following categories of expenses, please give your best estimate of the total amount you and your household have (or will) spend during your entire time on South Padre Island for the Kite Fest. (List only the total dollar amounts spent while on SPI)
Food \& beverages (restaurants, concessions, snacks, etc.)
Night clubs, lounges \& bars (cover charges, drinks, etc.)
Lodging expenses (hotel, motel, condo, room)
Local attractions \& entertainment
(fishing, snorkeling, kayaking, etc.)
Retail shopping (souvenirs, gifts, film, etc.)
Transportation (gas, oil, taxi, etc.)
Parking fees
SPI Admission fees
Clothing or accessories
Groceries
Other (please specify)
Total
$\$$
$\$$
$\$$
$\$$
$\$$
$\$$
$\$$
$\$$
$\$$
$\$$
$\$$
\$
10. On a scale from 0-10, how likely are you to recommend South Padre island as a place to visit to a friend or colleague?
Not at
all likely
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10\end{array}$
Extremely
likely
11. How likely are you to return to South Padre Island for a vacation at some time in the future?
Dextremely likely somewhat likely Neutral -somewhat unlikely Extremely unlikely
12. How satisfied are you with your overall South Padre Island experience?
$\square$ Extremely satisfied Somewhat satisfied Neutral ISomewhat dissatisfied Extremely disgatisfied
13. Hnw caticfied are vnil with the Kite Fect event?

Dextremely satisfied I Somewhat satisfied I Neutral DSomewhat dissatisfied Extremely dissatisfied
14. What suggestions do you have tor improving either the Kite Fest or your stay on South Padre Island? (write on back page)
15. What is your home zip or postal code? $\qquad$ code
16. What is vour home countrv?

IUS Mexico Canada Other $\qquad$
17. What is your age? $\qquad$ (years of age)
18. What is your gender? Male Female
19. What is your marital status?
-Married Isingle ■widowed IDivorced/separated
20. What is your highest educational attainment?
$\square$ Less than high school Dassociate's degree
$\square$ High school graduate DBachelor's degree
$\square$ Some college, no degree Graduate/professional degree
21. What is your current employment status?
WWork full-time $\quad$ Retired within past year
WWork part-time $\quad$ Retired more than 1 year
Unemployed (looking for a job)
-other (Please specify)
22. What is your combined annual household income?

| Dless than $\$ 20,000$ | $\square \$ 60 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 69,999$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square \$ 20 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 29,999$ | $\square \$ 70 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 79,999$ |
| $\square \$ 30 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 39,999$ | $\square \$ 80 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 99,999$ |
| $\square \$ 40 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 49,999$ | $\square \$ 100 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 149,999$ |
| $\square \$ 50 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 59,999$ | $\square \$ 150,000$ or more |

23. What is your ethnicity? (Select all that apply)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { White Hispanic Mixed } \\
& \text { Black Asian } \quad \text { other }
\end{aligned}
$$

To enter the prize drawing for a 2 -night stay at the Schlitterbahn Beach Resort, please provide contact information. This contact information is confidential, will not be shared, and will be deleted after the prize drawing Name
Phone number:
Email:
Winners will be notified no later than $\mathbf{2}$ weeks after event.

## Appendix B: Respondent suggestions for improving stay on SPI

Another bridge
Arts and crafts vendors
Awesome as is
Bad weather
Bayside bike lanes
Beach access
Beer vendors
Beer vendors
Beer vendors
Better parking
Better parking for beach access.
Better parking options for the
festival
Better sanitary in restrooms
Better Staff
Bleachers for kite fest
Calendar of all events on spi
Can't say now
Casino
Chairs for rent
Cheaper food prices
Circulating vendors
Clean out more of the seaweed
Construction
Construction
Difficult to hear announcer from where we were seated.
Doesn't like paying for beach access
Easier access to the visitor center
Easier beach access
Fix roads
Fix roads
Free Stuff
Good so far
Good weather
Hand sanatizer
Hand sanitizer on toilets
Have a more accessible website so we can know what night clubs are doing.
Have more food vending tents Have signs to help navigate

Helicopter rides
Horseback riding too expensive Improvements on shuttle, taxi, etc. transportation. Loging updates. it's good now
Keep the beach houses.
Keep the flats at better conditions
Keeping these flats nice.
Kite workshops to learn how to fly 2 \& 4 string kites
Limit the development on beaches
Love SPI!!
Make kite fest bigger
maybe set up a few rows of
handicap parking at the outdoor kite
festival
More advertising throughout valley. There wasn"t anything in The Monitor or local news stations. N/A
Usually weatherman will mention N/A
how weather will affect events and Na
it was not mentioned. I was looking Na for it on South Padre site.
More bathrooms
More beach access roads.
More big kites-very few kites 2nd day
More coffee places \& more organic store.
More coffee shops $\quad \mathrm{Na}$
More coupons
More events
More events
More Events such as sailing and kite fest
More family events No
More food No
More Food trucks No
More food vendors No
More free giveaways No
More horseback riding No
More interaction for public who No
wants to fly their kites No

More music events
More nightly entertainment
More organized parking
More parking options for RV
More places to stay
More RV rental space
More sun
More sunshine
More vendors and organized parking
More wheel chair accessible to the beaches
More wildlife protection
N/a
N/a
N/A
N/A
N/a

## Na

Na
NA
NA
Na

## Na

Needs better organization as where
to sit and where to park
No
No
No

No

| No | None | Purse hangers at bars |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No | None | Rent kayaks. |
| No | None | Sanitizers in porta potties |
| No | None | Shorter surveys. More alcohol, food |
| No | None | trucks |
| No | None | Snorkeling |
| No | None | Some water |
| No | None | Stop having the police pull people |
| No | None | over for the slightest offense and |
| No | None | the speed limits are just an excuse |
| No | None | to pull people over and make money |
| No | None | off the tourist. Nothing says we are |
| No wind | None | just trying to fleece money from you |
| None | None | like being pulled over by the police |
| None | None | and issued tickets for such minor |
| None | None | Super amazing |
| None | None | The fee to enter the beach |
| None | None | They can use better signs to locate |
| None | None | the kite fest |
| None | none it was great to have directed | Warmer |
| None | parking | Warmer weather |
| None | Nonr | Warmer weather |
| None | Parking by condo | Weather |
| None | Parking could be better organized. | Weather |
| None | Parking fee | Weather |


| Appendix C: Kite Fest respondents' current zip or postal codes |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16145 | 54868 | 62626 | 75826 | 78516 | 78578 | 98908 |
| 18512 | 54942 | 64803 | 75872 | 78520 | 78579 | 99133 |
| 19975 | 55057 | 65072 | 75882 | 78521 | 78582 |  |
| 38017 | 55069 | 65275 | 76073 | 78523 | 78583 |  |
| 43081 | 55113 | 65625 | 76634 | 78525 | 78586 |  |
| 44281 | 55303 | 65658 | 77077 | 78526 | 78589 |  |
| 45123 | 55345 | 65672 | 77541 | 78527 | 78593 |  |
| 45331 | 55349 | 65775 | 77620 | 78537 | 78596 |  |
| 47620 | 55447 | 67209 | 77864 | 78538 | 78597 |  |
| 48039 | 56031 | 68116 | 78071 | 78539 | 78599 |  |
| 48071 | 56222 | 68154 | 78083 | 78541 | 78641 |  |
| 48359 | 56277 | 70600 | 78133 | 78542 | 78654 |  |
| 48706 | 56342 | 72719 | 78237 | 78550 | 78704 |  |
| 49913 | 56379 | 73130 | 78258 | 78552 | 78758 |  |
| 50036 | 57014 | 73132 | 78382 | 78553 | 78834 |  |
| 50112 | 57104 | 74006 | 78404 | 78559 | 78859 |  |
| 50671 | 57719 | 74017 | 78418 | 78561 | 79005 |  |
| 52650 | 59718 | 74019 | 78501 | 78570 | 80516 |  |
| 53066 | 60073 | 74330 | 78502 | 78572 | 81005 |  |
| 53188 | 60404 | 75032 | 78503 | 78573 | 87104 |  |
| 53590 | 61013 | 75248 | 78504 | 78574 | 97230 |  |
| 54467 | 61356 | 75671 | 78507 | 78577 | 98003 |  |

## SPI Marathon 2017

## \$40,000

## CVB investment

\$789
Average spent per household
\$579,700
Total spending

## City tax

 share
## Attendance

1,890 attendees
735 households
1,194 room nights
$12.1 \%$ change in event days YoY occupancy
2.57 visitors per household
2.14 nights on SPI

Total tax ROI =-38.3\%
Lodging only ROI = -56.1\%

## SPI Experience

NET PROMOTER SCORE
69.2
likely to recommend South Padre Island

## $94.6 \%$ Likey to return

Average age 40
Average Income:
75.9\%
$\$ 50,000$ or more
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## Executive Summary and Survey Highlights

This report details the measured economic impact of the 2017 South Padre Island (SPI) Marathon held on November $10^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$. Promoted by Jailbreak Race Events with $\$ 40,000$ funding support from the SPI Convention and Visitors Bureau (CVB), organizers expected to attract 3,850 total room nights. To examine the spending of SPI Marathon participants on SPI, a short survey incentivized with the opportunity to enter a drawing to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort was conducted. The survey was administered online and onsite with $76.1 \%$ completed onsite. Although 409 respondents attempted the survey, 368 were considered SPI Marathon attendees so were used in the analysis. Of those responses, $84 \%$ were completed by marathon registrants.

Demographically, the study sample has an average age of 40 years, is predominately female ( $60.7 \%$ ), married ( $64.3 \%$ ), college educated ( $66.2 \%$ ), works full-time ( $81.2 \%$ ), has a household income above $\$ 50,000$ ( $75.9 \%$ ), and is Hispanic (67\%). They are primarily from the US (91.8\%) although 8\% came from other countries, especially Mexico. On average, survey participants traveled with an average of 2.57 people for an average of 300 miles. A large percentage ( $74 \%$ ) of survey respondents are considered promoters of the Island to others with $94.6 \%$ likely to return to SPI for a future vacation and $95.9 \%$ satisfied with the overall SPI experience.

Importantly, the survey analysis found that each household group attending the 2017 SPI Marathon spent an estimated average of $\$ 789$ while on the Island or, considering the participants, volunteers and spectators attending the event, a total of $\$ 579,700$. This total spending resulted in total sales tax of $\$ 57,704$, with the City's share $\$ 24,661$, which is a $38.3 \%$ loss on the $\$ 40,000$ invested by the CVB in the event.

Separately, lodging is the highest per household expenditure category with 76\% reporting spending money on SPI lodging and staying an average of 2.14 nights, generating 1,194 total room nights, most of which were in hotels (61.7\%). With the average weighted lodging expenditure of $\$ 266$ per household, event households spent a total of $\$ 195,744$. Of the total
lodging expenditure, $17 \%$ or $\$ 28,441$ was for the Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT), and $10.5 \%$, or $\$ 17,567$ goes to the City. Moreover, the estimated total spending on food and beverage of $\$ 137,207$ and $\$ 246,748$ on other purchases, resulted in about $\$ 29,262$ in taxes at the $8.25 \%$ rate or $\$ 7,094$ at the City's $2 \%$ tax rate. The combined City's share of all tax revenue is $\$ 24,661$, which represents a $38.3 \%$ loss on the $\$ 40,000$ investment provided to the SPI Marathon organizer as seen in the table below.

## Summary of Key Performance Indicators (KPI)

| KPI | Result | Description of KPI | Page |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CVB investment | $\$ 40,000$ | Amount of funding provided by CVB to event <br> promoter | P2 |
| Total spending | $\$ 579,700$ | Total spent by event households | Table 1, P11 |
| Average spent per <br> household | $\$ 789$ | Weighted average spent per household | Table 1, P11 |
| Number of <br> households | 735 | Number of households at event | P7 |
| Number in household | 2.57 | Number of people in household group at event | Figure 8, P9 |
| Nights on SPI | 1.14 | Average number of nights spent on SPI | Figure 9, P10 |
| Lodging tax | $\$ 17,567$ | City share of HOT revenue: $10.5 \%$ of 17\% HOT | Table 2, P13 |
| F\&B sales tax | $\$ 2,535$ | City share of total tax collected from F\&B <br> spending: 2\% of 8.25\% of total sales tax | Table 2, P13 |
| Other sales tax | $\$ 4,559$ | City share of total sales tax revenue | Table 2, P13 |
| Total City tax share | $\$ 24,661$ | Total City tax revenue from event | Table 2, P13 |
| Total tax ROI | $-38.3 \%$ | Return on CVB investment considering all taxes | Table 2, P13 |
| Lodging only ROI | $-56.1 \%$ | Return on CVB investment considering HOT only | Table 2, P13 |
| Net Promoter Score | 69.2 | Measure of customer loyalty; calculated as <br> identified promoters less detractors | Figure 14, p15 |
| Likely to return | $94.6 \%$ | Percent somewhat or extremely likely to return <br> to SPI | Figure 15, p16 |
| Satisfied with SPI | $95.9 \%$ | Percent somewhat or extremely satisfied with SPI | Figure 16, p16 |
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## SPI Marathon Economic Impact

## Introduction

The South Padre Island (SPI) Marathon was held November 10-12, 2017 and consisted of three runs: a Taquito 10K Beach Run on Saturday, November $11^{\text {th }}$, the SPI Half and the SPI Marathon, both on Sunday, November $12^{\text {th }}$. Event registration was held at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort Friday, November 10 from 4 to 7pm and Saturday, November 11, from 9am to 3pm. The Taquito 10k run took place on the SPI beach, beginning at Park Road 100. The Marathon and half marathon began in Port Isabel at 6:30am, proceeded over the Queen Isabella Causeway then across the Island, ending at Clayton's Beach Bar. Shuttle service was provided for runners prerace from the hotel and SPI Convention Center to the Port Isabel starting line, from Schlitterbahn Beach Resort to the finish line from 8am to 2pm, and from the SPI Convention Center and Andy Bowie Park to Schlitterbahn Beach Resort from 10am to 3pm to various running locations.

The SPI Marathon was organized by JailbreakRace Events/dba South Padre Marathon which had originally requested, $\$ 90,000$ from the SPI Convention and Visitors Bureau (CVB), with \$80,000 covering marathon expenses, including promotional expenses, and $\$ 10,000$ for transportation costs. With the funding, the organizer expected that $12 \%$ of the total event costs would be covered by Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT). The event organizer expected to attract about 11,000 people with about 60\% using SPI lodging and $46 \%$ staying for two nights. Assuming 2.5 people per room, this estimate would equal about $2,640 \mathrm{SPI}$ rooms rented in one night or 3,850 total room nights.

This expected number of rooms rented differs somewhat from the room nights realized in past SPI Marathons: an estimated 2,914 hotel rooms in 2015 and 1,509 hotel rooms in 2016, which did not include condo, house, or room rentals. Ultimately, the organizer was provided with $\$ 40,000$ for the event rather than the requested amount.

To promote the event, the organizer had expected to create more than 10 media press releases, and spend promotional dollars on Radio, TV, Website, social media, at expos, weekly newsletters, podcasts, billboards, and in Runner's World Magazine. The primary regions of promotional efforts were to be in Texas, Austin, San Antonio, Houston, and Dallas-Fort Worth, although social media campaigns were designed to target Northern Mexico and Monterrey.

## Method

To estimate the economic impact of the SPI Marathon, UTRGV researchers conducted a survey (see Appendix A) among marathon attendees on SPI on Saturday November $11^{\text {th }}$ and Sunday, November $12^{\text {th }}$. As an incentive, survey respondents were offered a chance to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort. Survey completions were achieved using several methods. First, the event organizer was asked to send two different emails to Marathon registrants: the first email was sent prior to the event to alert registrants of the upcoming survey request and the second email provided the survey link and invited survey participation. Next, the event organizer was to have placed note cards inviting survey participation (see Figure 1) at the registration table to distribute to race participants as they collected their registration packets.

## The University of Texas

RioGrande Valley

## SPI Marathon Event Survey

Complete a short survey for a chance to win 2 nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort: www.utrgv.edu/marathon

Or scan QR code


Complete survey by 11/19/2017

Note: The one person most able to report on spending for all people in your household at the Marathon event should complete this survey.

Figure 1. Survey note cards

## Interviews

Then a team of 10 trained interviewers and one supervisor attended the SPI Marathon expo/registration held at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort on Saturday, November 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ during operation hours from 9am to 3 pm. All race participants were required to register at the expo, so the venue was the best time and place to reach as many of the race participants as possible.

The team of interviewers was visible at the expo by way of a survey team table at the registration site. Visibility of the interviewers was also facilitated by wearing bright orange t-shirts and visors. Interviewers approached

potential respondents in a professional manner and used iPads to electronically record survey responses. As well, hard copies of the survey were available for respondents who preferred that format or if electronic entry was not possible.

On Sunday, November 12, a team of 10 interviewers were on site along the marathon route but primarily at the finish line from 8am until noon to interview marathon attendees.

Additionally, an online banner ad inviting anyone within a 2 -mile radius of Port Isabel and South Padre Island on both November $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ through Google AdWords was purchased to encourage further participation in this survey. Anyone who searched for key words such as SPI Marathon was presented with the display ad highlighting the

opportunity to enter the drawing by completing the online survey (see Figure 2).


Altogether, this methodology yielded 409 responses: 292 responses were from onsite interviews (16 were from hard copies onsite), and 117 from direct entry into the URL provided.


Figure 2. Three different versions of the online ad for survey (Ad format dependent on device)

## Results

A total of 409 respondents were recruited for this study. Most survey completions (76.1\%) were obtained through onsite interviews while $23.9 \%$ of respondents participated in the survey individually online as shown in Figure 3.

The questionnaire contained several filter questions designed to eliminate nonqualified study participants. The first filter question was to eliminate multiple responses from a single household. This filter eliminated only two respondents. The next filter question was designed to eliminate potential respondents who had not visited SPI because of the SPI


Figure 3. Source of study respondents Marathon, thus their Island expenditures would not be directly attributable to the SPI Marathon. This questionnaire filter eliminated 34 respondents or $8.4 \%$ of all surveys attempted. Other than being at SPI for the marathon event, reasons given for being on the Island included:

> Haven't been there, I just want to know what roads are closed, I live here, vacation, lunch, party, recreational purposes, running, shopping, surveys, to support a friend and Winter Texans.

The final filter was designed to eliminate respondents who live on the Island and would not likely be spending money solely because of the event. In total, the filter process left 368 viable respondents out of 409 or $90 \%$ who were from out of the immediate SPI area and who had come to the Island for the SPI Marathon.

## Estimating attendance

The following results are for all 368 survey respondents who were on South Padre Island specifically because of the SPI Marathon 2017. The results in this section are grouped into three response-type categories: All data results, results from onsite interviews only, and results from online responses only.

In this study, attendees of the SPI Marathon were classified as registered participants, spectators, volunteers/staff, and others. Thus, if the number of registered participants is known, the number of event attendees can be determined, using the ratio of registered participants to other event participation types. The survey results of participant type, shown in Figure 4, indicate that most attendees were runners ( $84 \%$ of all respondents). The SPI Marathon organizer's total of 1,516 marathon participants and 150 volunteers for a total marathon participation of 1,666 was used to determine the number of marathon attendees by applying the ratios of number of spectators (11.7\%) and non-attenders (1.1\%) found by the study (Figure 4). This results in an estimated event attendance of 1,890 . Next, because the standard unit of analysis is the household, the number of households at the event was determined by dividing the total 1,890 attendees by the average reported 2.57 household group size (see Figure 8) to arrive at a total of 735 households at the event.


Figure 4. Survey response to marathon participation type

From the survey, most registered participants reported running in the half marathon (68\%) while $21 \%$ ran in the full marathon and $12 \%$ ran in the 10 K race, as shown in Figure 5. More onsite versus online respondents ran in the 10K race and more online respondents ran in the full marathon race.

These survey results can be compared with results provided by the SPI Marathon organizer. Figure 6 shows that $59.2 \%$ of the runners had registered for the half marathon versus survey findings of $68 \%$ half marathoners (see Figure 5). A total of $25.7 \%$ of event


Figure 5. Survey response for type of race participants had registered for the 10 K versus $12 \%$ of survey participants and $15.1 \%$ had registered for the full marathon versus $20.1 \%$ found in the survey. These findings indicate that the 10 K runners were less represented in the survey.


Figure 6. Actual marathon registrants by race type

## Survey participants and SPI stay characteristics

## Miles traveled, group size and stay characteristics

Survey respondents were asked to indicate the number of miles they traveled to the event (Figure 7), how many people were in their household (Figure 8), how many nights they spent on SPI (Figure 9), and where they stayed while at the SPI Marathon.

Data featured in Figure 7 shows that, on average, study participants traveled 300 miles to attend the event, although distances traveled ranged from 12 miles to 2300 miles.

Figure 8 shows the average number of people per household traveling to the marathon is 2.57, although the reported number of household members ranged from 1 to 15 and onsite study participants were more likely to spend more nights on the Island than did online respondents.

Average group size
by response type


Figure 8. Average number of people in group

A total of 325 of the 368 ( $88.3 \%$ ) survey respondents on SPI for the marathon, reported spending an average of 2.14 nights, with onsite respondents spending fewer nights, on average than online respondents, as shown in Figure 9.

Figure 10 breaks down the number of nights



Figure 9. Average number of nights spent on SPI
$21.5 \%$ spent three nights on SPI. Two respondents reported spending six nights and one spent 14 nights on the Island.
Figure 10. Nights spent on SPI
While at SPI, most respondents stayed in hotels (61.7\%) or in a rented condo/beach house (15.7\%) as shown in Figure 11. Most respondents in the "other" category (9.6\%) reported having traveled back home rather than spending the night on SPI.


Figure 11. Lodging type

## Estimated spending

Study respondents were asked to identify how much money they spent in various expenditure categories. Because not all respondents spent money in each category, the average reported spending in each category is multiplied times the percentage of respondents who did spend money in the category to arrive at the total weighted spending. This amount is then multiplied times the number of estimated households to get the total spending in each category. For example, as shown in Table 1, the average spending on food and beverages (F\&B) by the $96 \%$ of respondents who reported buying F\&B was $\$ 187$ for a total spending of $\$ 137,207$ for all attending households and about $76 \%$ of respondents reported spending an average of $\$ 266$ on lodging for a total of $\$ 195,744$ spent on lodging by all attending households. Note that the $17 \%$ HOT rate was added to the amount reported by respondents for lodging while all other spending is assumed to have taxes included. As Table 1 shows, households spent an average of \$789 for a total spending on SPI of \$579,700.

Table 1. Spending by expense category

| Expenditure category | Total average spending |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hted } \\ & \text { ding } \end{aligned}$ | Total spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food \& Beverages | \$ | 195 | 0.96 | \$ | 187 | \$ 137,207 |
| Night life | \$ | 101 | 0.33 | \$ | 33 | \$ 24,315 |
| Lodging | \$ | 350 | 0.76 | \$ | 266 | \$ 195,744 |
| Attraction entertainment | \$ | 171 | 0.30 | \$ | 52 | \$ 38,220 |
| Retail | \$ | 111 | 0.57 | \$ | 64 | \$ 47,040 |
| Transportation | \$ | 89 | 0.87 | \$ | 78 | \$ 56,986 |
| Parking | \$ | 33 | 0.03 | \$ | 1 | \$ 791 |
| Admission fees | \$ | 94 | 0.32 | \$ | 30 | \$ 21,840 |
| Clothing | \$ | 92 | 0.35 | \$ | 32 | \$ 23,728 |
| Groceries | \$ | 76 | 0.46 | \$ | 36 | \$ 26,460 |
| Other | \$ | 78 | 0.13 | \$ | 10 | \$ 7,368 |
| Total | \$ | 1,392 |  | \$ | 789 | \$ 579,700 |

In general, onsite respondents spent more than online respondents on food and beverages, nightlife, retail, transportation, clothing and groceries. Online respondents spent more on attractions and entertainment and slightly more on lodging than id onsite respondents as shown in Figure 12.


Figure 12. Average spending by spending Category by response type
In total and as Figure 13 shows, survey respondents who attended the SPI Marathon spent an average of $\$ 789$ dollars on SPI with onsite respondents estimating they spent $\$ 825$ versus online respondents who indicated spending an average of $\$ 676$. While a number of factors could explain the differences in spending, most online respondents completed the survey after the event whereas most onsite respondents completed the survey before the marathon event. This could mean that online respondents had a better idea of actual expenditures versus onsite respondents who would have estimated total expenditures.

Given the total weighted average spending of $\$ 789$ per household and the estimate of 735 households at the 2017 SPI Marathon, the total estimated spending for the event is about \$579,700 (see Table 1). This


Figure 13. Total average spending per household
amount of spending results in total sales tax revenue of $\$ 57,704$ or $\$ 24,661$ as the City's $2 \%$ share (See Table 2). Of the City's share, $\$ 17,567$ derives from the $10.5 \%$ HOT, $\$ 2,535$ from the 2\% F\&B tax revenue and \$4,559 from the $2 \%$ tax revenue of all other spending. With a \$40,000 investment in the event and a tax revenue return to the City of $\$ 24,661$, the event resulted in a loss of $38.3 \%$ or a loss of $56.1 \%$ if HOT alone is considered.

Table 2. Spending, tax revenue and ROI

| Spending category | Amount spent | Tax rate |  | Total sales tax | $\begin{aligned} & \text { City's \% } \\ & \text { share } \end{aligned}$ | City's \$ share | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ROI on } \\ & \$ 40,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lodging | \$ 195,744 | 17\% | \$ | 28,441 | 10.5\% | \$ 17,567 | -56.1\% |
| Food \& Beverage | \$ 137,207 | 8.25\% | \$ | 10,457 | 2\% | \$ 2,535 |  |
| All other | \$ 246,748 | 8.25\% | \$ | 18,805 | 2\% | \$ 4,559 |  |
| Totals | \$ 579,700 |  | \$ | 57,704 |  | \$ 24,661 | -38.3\% |

Therefore, the estimated amount of spending on SPI attributable to the SPI Marathon is $\$ 579,700$ within a $95 \%$ confidence interval of $\pm 4.96 \%$. Considering the City's $\$ 24,661$ share of tax revenue, the $\$ 40,000$ invested in the event resulted in a loss of $38.3 \%$

## Spending on food \& beverage and lodging

The survey results indicated that the average expenditure of marathon attendees on food and beverage (F\&B) is $\$ 187$ per household (Table 1), resulting in a total event spending on F\&B of $\$ 137,207$. The total tax revenue from F\&B at an $8.25 \%$ tax rate is $\$ 10,457$ or $\$ 2,535$ at the $2 \%$ City tax revenue share.

About 76\% of the 735 event households spent an average of $\$ 266$ on lodging (Table 1) for an average of 2.14 nights spent on this Island. This represents 1,194 room nights for a total spending of $\$ 195,744$ for lodging.

T2017 Marathon attendee households accounted for 1,194 room nights and
spent a total of $\$ 195,744$ on lodging. The result is $\$ 28,441$ from the $17 \%$
HOT or $\$ 17,567$ for the City's $10.5 \%$ share of HOT.

## The SPI Experience

The next section of the survey asked respondents about their stay on SPI. In this section, the "net promoter" question was used to determine how likely survey respondents are to recommend SPI as a place to visit to friends or colleagues. The results, shown in Figure 14, indicate that most study respondents ( $74 \%$ ) are promoters of SPI while $4.8 \%$ are detractors. This yields a net promoter score (NPS) of 69.2, which is quite good. For example, the hotel industry has an NPS of 39 (www.netpromoter.com/compare). Recommendation likelihood varied by response type, however. Onsite respondents were much more likely to recommend SPI to others than were online respondents (NPS $=76.8 \%$ versus $49.7 \%$, respectively) and were less likely to be detractors ( $2.3 \%$ versus $7.1 \%$ ).


Figure 14. SPI Net promoter score

Respondents also indicated how likely they are to return to SPI for a future vacation (Figure 15) and how satisfied overall they were with their SPI experience (Figure 16). Most respondents are highly likely to return to the Island in the future and were very satisfied with their SPI experience. In fact, no respondent reported having an unsatisfactory SPI experience.


Figure 15. Likelihood of returning to SPI

## Overall satisfaction with SPI by response type



Figure 16. Overall satisfaction with SPI experience

NOTE: Some respondents had suggestions for improving their stay on SPI and that feedback appears in Appendix B.

## Respondent Demographics

The remainder of the study assessed respondent demographic characteristics. The average age of respondents was 40, as shown in Figure 17, although ages ranged from 18 to 71 years of age.

Most respondents were female (60.7\%), married (64.3\%), and have at least a college degree (66.2\%) as seen in Figures 18, 19, and 20 respectively. Online respondents were more likely than onsite respondents to be female, to be divorced or separated and to have a graduate or professional degree.


Figure 17. Age


Figure 18. Gender



Figure 20. Educational attainment

In all, most study respondents (81.2\%) had full-time employment (see Figure 21), although some worked part-time (8.2\%), and some were retired (5.2\%).


Figure 21. Employment status

Study participants in the SPI Marathon, in general, have higher household income levels than the general US population. Most (75.9\%) had a reported household income above \$50,000 (Figure 22).


Figure 22. Household income level

Respondents were asked to indicate their ethnicity, but could select as many ethnicities as appropriate. Most respondents considered themselves Hispanic (66.6\%) and/or white (40.1\%) as seen in Figure 23 although more online respondents self-identifying as white.


## Figure 23. Ethnicity

In terms of country of origin, most respondents named the U.S. as their home country (91.8\%), although $7.5 \%$ were from Mexico, and $0.05 \%$ were from other countries as shown in Figure 24. The other countries noted were Brazil and Thailand.


Figure 24. Home country

Respondents were also asked for their home zip codes. The specific zip or postal codes are listed in Appendix C, however, a map with the zip codes are plotted by Texas in Figure 25 and by country in Figure 26. In addition, the organizer provided the zip/postal codes for all marathon registrants and they are plotted on a map of Texas in Figure 27, a map of North America in Figure 28 and on a world map in Figure 29. For the race registrants, $91.3 \%$ were from the US and $6.3 \%$ from Mexico, which is similar to the results found in the survey.


Figure 25. Survey results: Home zip codes in Texas


Figure 26. Survey results: Home zip codes by country


Figure 27. Actual results: Home zip codes in Texas


Figure 28. Actual results: Home zip/postal code in North America


Figure 29. Actual results: Zip/postal codes by country

## Lodging manager's report

Finally, an email was sent to a listing of SPI lodging owner/managers, as provided by the SPI CVB. This email requested a response to the questions as shown in Table 3 about Marathon guests at their facility. In total, 18 owner/managers responded to the survey but two were eliminated as duplicates. The results and averages of the responses are shown in Table 3.

## Table 3. SPI Lodging owner/manager responses

| QUESTION | AVERAGE | COUNT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| To the best of your knowledge, about how many different rooms did you <br> rent to SPI Marathon attendees? | 15.82 | 11 |
| On average, how many people attending the SPI Marathon stayed in one <br> room? | 2.82 | 11 |
| To the best of your knowledge, about how many nights did most SPI <br> Marathon attendees stay at your lodging facility? | 2.60 | 10 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person attending the <br> SPI Marathon spent per day at your lodging facility on the following <br> (round to the nearest dollar): - Average room rate per night | 96.64 | 11 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person attending the <br> SPI Marathon spent per day at your lodging facility on the following <br> (round to the nearest dollar): - Food per day | 18.00 | 5 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person attending the <br> SPI Marathon spent per day at your lodging facility on the following <br> (round to the nearest dollar): - Beverages | 12.00 | 5 |
| In total, how many rooms does your facility have to rent? |  |  |

While the sample of lodging managers is small, these lodging owner/manager responses seem to confirm results of the larger marathon participant study, at least with respect to the cost of lodging. From the marathon participant survey, the average total spending on lodging was estimated at $\$ 228.24$, which if divided by the number of nights reported ( 2.14 nights), indicates an average nightly lodging cost of $\$ 106.65$. A difference between the marathon participant study response and the lodging manager/owner response of about $\$ 10 /$ night.

Table 4 presents the comments and suggestions provided by SPI lodging owner/managers about the event or for SPI officials.

## Table 4. SPI lodging owner/managers

## PLEASE PROVIDE MORE INFORMATION TO HOTELS FOR THE EVENT

Guest could not leave both Padre Blvd and Gulf Blvd where block. Some guests were upset.
You should do TV \& Billboards I don't think internet helps much
The event is a great event and does bring alot of people down to the island which is great for all business owners. The reason why we didn't get any rooms reserved for the run is due to the fact that we already had a group booked (120 of the 156 sleeping rooms that we offer) + outside guest that were already booked in advance but overall great event to have in the fall.

It did not make much impact on the south side of South Padre Island. The impact was probably seen more by the La Quinta and Hilton Garden that were right next to Clayton's (which was the finish line of the marathon).

We cannot provide any feedback on how this event affected our hotel. Event coordinators must have an agreement for special rates with a group code to be able to track the sleeping room revenue. No request for discounted group rate was ever requested.

Offer more deals for condos

## STR Report

Additional data to provide evidence about effects of an event on the SPI economy comes from the STR Destination Report provided to the SPI CVB. STR is a "global data benchmarking, analytics and marketplace insights" firm that gathers, analyzes and reports data from hotel owners/operators for benchmarking purposes. The Report includes data regarding hotel occupancy, average daily rate (ADR), revenue per available room (RevPAR), supply, demand, and revenue as provided by reporting SPI hotel owner/operators for last year as compared to this year. This data may be viewed in two ways. One way is to examine the trends over the past month to determine whether the hotel metrics changed during the marathon event as compared to the rest of the month and the other way is to compare the metrics during the event time period to those of the same time period in the previous year.

The following figures show the hotel metrics for each day from October 22 to November 18 (the month trend) for this year as well as for last year (the year trend). The occupancy trend (Figure 30) shows that this year's average occupancy rate for the period is higher than last year's and that every Saturday in either year is the peak time, although last year's peak Saturdays were slightly higher than this year's. This year's occupancy rates were higher during the marathon weekend than any other time during the month and higher than last year's rates.


Overall, the average daily rate (ADR) for the month period shown in Figure 31 is slightly higher for this year than last. However, the ADR for the


Figure 31. ADR trends by day and year
Marathon was higher by 7.4\%.

Next, Figure 32 shows the revenue per available room (RevPAR) for the same time period. The average RevPAR for the month is above $8.9 \%$ higher for this year than for last, with a peak on Saturday, November 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, a $27.7 \%$ increase over that day in the prior year. Also, although the


Figure 32. RevPAR trends by day and year

RevPar for Friday, November $10^{\text {th }}$ was $7 \%$ less than last year, Sunday's RevPAR was $50 \%$ more this year than last year.

For the time period examined, Figure 33
shows the room demand trend, which is an average of 5.6\% higher this year than last with this year than last year

Demand trends by day and year


Figure 33. Demand trends by day and year by $29.7 \%$.

The average total revenue for the month-long period (Figure 34) is more for this year than for last by $9 \%$, with the high point by far on November 11, during the SPI Marathon. The revenue on that day this year exceeded the revenue on that day last year by 27.7\%.

Revenue trends by day and year


Figure 34. Revenue trends by day and year

Figure 35 summarizes the percent change in hotel occupancy, ADR, RevPAR, demand and revenue for the three-day period when SPI Marathon participants would have been spending the night on the Island.

## STR hotel trend data Comparison of same day this year to last year



Figure 35. Annual percent change in hotel metrics

In summary, all metrics were much improved over the same time period in the prior year except for Friday night, December $10^{\text {th }}$. The reason the marathon may not have led to increased hotel-stays on Friday night, could be because the 10K run and the half marathon were held on Saturday morning and most of the runners in those races were local and would likely not have stayed on the Island the night before the race. In support of this conjecture, Figure 36 shows a breakdown of actual registered marathon participants based on the type of race and by Valley zip codes as provided by the SPI Marathon organizer. The results show that only $26.8 \%$ of all runners were registered for the 10 K race with most of those registrants ( $56.5 \%$ ) from the Valley area. Most runners participated in Saturday's half marathon (59.5\% of all registered) of which $47.2 \%$ were local. Of all marathon registrants, $13.7 \%$ ran the full marathon and $39.7 \%$ of those were local.


Figure 36. Marathoners by race type and local residence

> To summarize the STR data, all results indicate a significant increase in occupancy, RevPar, demand and revenue for Saturday, November 11 and Sunday, November 12.

Note: The STR data is derived from hotel owner/operator reporting from 10 SPI hotels for this year and 11 for last year. This represents 32.2 \% of the census of 31 open hotels listed in the STR Census and $45 \%$ of the hotel rooms listed, thus all results should be interpreted accordingly without a high degree of assurances of generalizability.

## Concluding remarks

This report has detailed the amount of money spent on South Padre Island by people associated with the 2017 South Padre Island (SPI) Marathon held on November $10^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$. The results of the study were obtained by administering a short survey, both online and onsite, which offered respondents an incentive to enter a drawing to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort. A total of 409 surveys were attempted but respondents who were not specifically on the Island for the marathon were eliminated, resulting in 368 viable survey respondents.

A majority ( $84 \%$ ) of survey completions came from participants registered in the marathon with most of the race participants (68\%) registered for the half marathon. Demographically, the study sample was comprised predominately of married females who were 40 years of age, college educated, work full-time, have a household income above $\$ 50,000$, and identify as Hispanic. Geographically, a large majority of respondents were from the US, although about 8\% were from other countries, mostly Mexico. The average number of miles traveled by survey participants to attend the event was 300 miles, with $88.3 \%$ spending an average of 2.14 nights on SPI.

By combining the actual number of race registrants $(1,516)$ and volunteers $(150)$ with survey results, the SPI Marathon is estimated to have had a total of 1,890 attendees or 735 households generated who accounted for 1,194 SPI room nights. With an average total lodging expenditure per household of $\$ 266$, the marathon participant households who spent the night on the Island spent about $\$ 195,744$ for lodging in total, resulting in about $\$ 28,441$ from the $\mathbf{1 7 \%}$ Hotel Occupancy Tax with $\$ 17,567$ the City's $10.5 \%$ share. While it is impossible to know the actual number of lodging rooms booked as a result of the SPI Marathon, the lodging manager's survey and the STR Destination Report data for the period supports the study's finding that the SPI Marathon did significantly affect rooms booked during the event weekend.

Moreover, spending on food and beverages contributed significantly to the taxes generated by the event attendees. The F\&B spending estimates of $\$ 137,207$ should have yielded $\$ 10,457$ in
sales tax at the $8.25 \%$ rate or $\$ 2,535$ to the City at a City tax rate of $2 \%$. Spending in all other categories was $\$ 246,748$, which should have resulted in a total of $\$ 18,805$ at the $8.25 \%$ sales tax rate with $\$ 4,559$ as the City's share.

In all, the total average household expenditure of SPI Marathon 2017 attendees while on the Island found by the survey is $\$ 789$, resulting in a total estimated spending on the Island of $\$ 579,700$ given the number of households on the Island because of the marathon specifically. Combining the City's share of HOT and other tax revenue means that the City of SPI should have received $\$ 24,661$ in taxes, a net loss of $\$ 15,339$ or $-38.3 \%$ on the $\$ 40,000$ investment provided to the event organizer. This represents a significantly lower amount than the $12 \%$ coverage expected by the event promoter.

Gladly, most SPI Marathon survey participants are "promoters" in recommending SPI to others, are likely or extremely likely to return to SPI for a future vacation and are satisfied with their overall SPI experience during the marathon. This suggests that while the SPI Marathon resulted in significant direct spending during the event weekend, the overall SPI experience of the marathon participants will likely result in many returning to the Island for future vacations.

## Appendix A: Survey


#### Abstract

1. Have you or someone else in your household already completed this survey? GYes (1) No (2) If yes, please return this survey. We thank you! 2. Did you come to South Padre Island specifically for the SPI Marathon? Yyes No: if not, why did you come to the Island? If no, please return this survey. We thank you! 3. About how many miles did you travel to attend the SPI Marathon? 

4-5. Which of the following best describes your participation in the SPI Marathon? Registered participant (1) (which one? 10K, $1 / 2$, Dfull) Spectator (2) Marathon volunteer/staff (5) $\square$ Did not attend marathon (3)


SPI Marathon Survey, Nov 10-12, 2017
This survey is designed to understand your household experience and spending during the South Padre Island Marathon. Only the one person, older than 18, best able to report on spending for all people in your household who are at the Marathon should complete this survey. The responses are very important to planning events in the future. As a thank you for your cooperation, you will have a chance to enter a drawing for a 2 -night stay at the Schlitterbahn Beach Resort. Only one entry per household. All responses are confidential and individual information will not be included in survey results or shared with others. For questions about this survey, contact The Business and Tourism Research Center at The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley at businessresearch@utrgv.edu or call 956.665.2829.
6. Including yourself, how many people from your household attended the SPI Marathon? Number in household
7. How many nights did you (or will you) spend on South Padre Island while attending the SPI Marathon? $\qquad$ nights
8. Where are you staying (or did stay) while on South Padre Islan for the SPI Marathon?
$\square$ Hotel/motel (1) Rented condo/beach house (2)

- Campground/RV park (3) Rented a room (4) Friend/family's home (5) My own SPI residence (7) O Other (please specify) $\qquad$ (6)

9. For each of the following categories of expenses, please give your best estimate of the total amount you and your household have (or will) spend during your entire time on South Padre Island for the Marathon. (List only the dollar amounts spent while on SPI)
Food \& beverages (restaurants, concessions, snacks, etc.)
Night clubs, lounges \& bars (cover charges, drinks, etc.)
\$

Lodging expenses (hotel, motel, condo, room) $\$$

Local attractions \& entertainment
(fishing, snorkeling, kayaking, etc.)

| Retail shopping (souvenirs, gifts, film, etc.) | $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Transportation (gas, oil, taxi, etc.) | $\$$ |
| Parking fees | $\$$ |
| SPI Admission fees | $\$$ |
| Clothing or accessories | $\$$ |
| Groceries | $\$$ |
| Other (please specify) | $\$$ |
| Total | $\$$ |

10. On a scale from 0-10, how likely are you to recommend South Padre Island as a place to visit to a friend or colleague?

| Not at <br> all likely | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | Extremely <br> likely |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

11. How likely are you to return to South Padre Island for a vacation at some time in the future?
$\square$ Extremely likely (1) somewhat likely (2) Neutral (3) DSomewhat unlikely (4) Extremely unlikely (5)
12. How satisfied are you with your overall South Padre Island experience?
$\square$ Extremely satisfied (1) Somewhat satisfied (2) Neutral (3) $\square$ Somewhat dissatisfied (4) Extremely dissatisfied (5)
13. What suggestions do you have for improving your stay on South Padre Island? (write on back page)
14. What is your home zip or postal code? $\qquad$ code
15. What is vour home countrv?

- US (1)
- Mexico (2)
$\square$ other $\qquad$

16. What is your age? $\qquad$ (years of age)
17. What is your gender? Male (1)

- Female (2)

18. What is your marital status?

QMarried Usingle ■Widowed ■Divorced/separated
19. What is your highest educational attainment?
$\square$ less than high school(1) DAssociate's degree(4) -High school graduate(2) DBachelor's degree(5) Isome college, no degree(3) DGraduate/professional degree (6)
20. What is your current employment status?
$\square$ Work full-time (1) Retired within past year (5)
IWork part-time (2) Retired more than 1 year (6)
UUnemployed (looking for a job) (3)
$\square$ other (Please specify) (4) $\qquad$
21. What is your combined annual household income?

ㅁless than $\$ 20,000$ (1) 모 $60 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 69,999$ (6)
ㅁ $\$ 20 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 29,999$ (2) $\quad$ - $\$ 70 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 79,999$ (7)
ㅁ $\$ 30 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 39,999$ (3) $\quad$ ㄴ $\$ 80 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 99,999$ (8)

ㅁ $\$ 50 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 59,999$ (5) $\quad \$ 150,000$ or more (10)
22. What is your ethnicity? (Select all that apply)

| $\square$ white (1) | Hispanic (2) Mixed (5) |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ black (3) | $\square$ Asian (4) |

To enter the prize drawing for a 2-night stay at the Schlitterbahn Beach Resort, please provide contact information. This contact information is confidential, will not be shared, and will be deleted after the prize drawing.

Name
Phone number:
Email:
Winners will be notified no later than $\mathbf{2}$ weeks after event.
THANK YOU VERY MUCH!!!

## Appendix B: Respondent suggestions for improving stay on SPI

| "Although I liked running this half marathon, I liked it better on Saturday |
| :--- |
| "For hotels or condos and restaurants to offer discounts to us, runners! |
| "Go back to run the marathon \& half on Saturday |
| "We stayed at the LaQuinta, which is directly next door to Clayton's--the finishing point of the marathon. <br> Everything about the LaQuinta is fantastic--except the loud music from Clayton's I think Clayton's could turn <br> down the volume by half and still be a terrific venue. We accidently happened on the rescue dog fair at Clayton's <br> on Saturday--that was really fun as well. <br> 4 wheelers on the beach <br> Add more adventurous restaurants and retail shopping to the island <br> Admission included in hotel stay <br> Attractions open on race weekend; lighthouse and bar <br> Better accessibility for transportation, and more tourist friendly business hours <br> Better directions for races <br> Better event signage- guests <br> Bigger expo <br> Better food, cuisine <br> Better park, more public transportation, lift, uber <br> Better public transportation <br> Better res transporarces us that they would not leave until 20 people were on board. After 45 minutes we called a cab |


| Breakfast included in stay |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Build a second bridge |  |
| Businesses could open earlier to service spectators to the event. Gas stations were the only things open. |  |
| Cleaner beach |  |
| Cleaner ocean |  |
| Drinking alcohol while walking. And board walk |  |
| Everything is good |  |
| Expo bigger |  |
| Expo needs to be bigger, more vendors |  |
| Extended bar hours |  |
| Food is rather expensive for what it is and I think it is largely based on the fact that there is not a lot of different options available. Also, the shopping experience is mainly souvenir shops which doesn't appeal to me anywhere I go I look for areas that have vendors offering unique foods and goods. My best experience is the Farmer's Market because I found things I enjoyed and the atmosphere was rather relaxing. I could visit that every Sunday |  |
| Free for Veterans on Veterans Day |  |
| Get an heb |  |
| Good job |  |
| Have a map showing local attractions |  |
| Have events for better weather |  |
| Have jacuzzis in the hotel |  |
|  | I love SPI and I was thrilled to get to have a race weekend here. I vacation in SPI on my own and with my family, but I also spend a significant amount of time and money on "race-cations". This was a perfect combination for me I would have spent more money overall had I not been traveling by myself this trip. |
| I would like to have done half marathon but it was on Sunday |  |
| Improve event timing in consideration of weather |  |
|  | Increased shuttle service |
|  | It would be good for the hotels to work with runners needing a late checkout. Even a check out time of 12:30 or 1:00 is tough for us slow runners! Because of that, a Saturday marathon works better. Would allow me to stay Saturday night and drive home on Sunday. |


| Keep the island clean |
| :--- |
| Keep up the great job |
| Later packet pickup at the hotel |
| Lighthouse should be restored soon |
| Lighting in streets |
| Live music |
| Lot of businesses were closed or closed too early. Really wish we had more options of dining or shopping <br> without having to leave the island |
| Lower taxes in properties |
| Mile markers for 10k ® $^{\prime}$ |
| Mora entertainment |
| More activities more entertainment updated souvenirs |
| More beach access |
| More chill scene |
| More cleaning on beach |
| More concerts, relaxed police on light situations, |
| More convenient stores in the island |
| More country concerts |
| More cycling events |
| More events |
| More events marketing so I know what's available |
| More events races |
| More food variety |
| More information |
| More kid activities |


| More mile markers |
| :--- |
| More parking |
| More promoting |
| More promotions |
| More public transportation |
| More races and physical activity areas |
| More racing events |
| More restaurant options |
| More restaurants within walking distance |
| More sponsors more booths |
| More street signs for the event |
| No it was fine, I can't think of anything |
| Music band |
| More stuff to do |
| More water stations up in the dunes! |
| More sun |
| More vendors |
| More vendors, bigger venue, better accessories |
| Friday before Race Weekend at the Island |
| Morecreational places, adults only areas |
| More |
| More water fan |



## Traffic

Uber, bigger expo

Unnecessary fees for training at isla Blanca

View

Warning signs for seagulls trying to attack you if you have food on the beach!
Well the half and full need to be back on Saturday! Clayton's was dead!! I've been to all 3 runs and by far Sunday was not as fun!

Wind surfing events

You like it all

| 15101 | 66428 | 76572 | 77459 | 78207 | 78501 | 78573 | 78723 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17551 | 66617 | 76634 | 77477 | 78209 | 78502 | 78574 | 78735 |
| 20837 | 66967 | 76657 | 77479 | 78210 | 78504 | 78575 | 78739 |
| 25000 | 68372 | 76801 | 77494 | 78211 | 78504 | 78576 | 78744 |
| 32202 | 73064 | 77002 | 77511 | 78221 | 78512 | 78577 | 78745 |
| 35801 | 74012 | 77003 | 77521 | 78224 | 78516 | 78579 | 78746 |
| 37412 | 74014 | 77006 | 77539 | 78228 | 78520 | 78580 | 78747 |
| 48103 | 75032 | 77007 | 77550 | 78230 | 78521 | 78582 | 78754 |
| 49010 | 75038 | 77009 | 77573 | 78233 | 78526 | 78586 | 79423 |
| 51346 | 75060 | 77015 | 77581 | 78234 | 78529 | 78586 | 79602 |
| 51503 | 75071 | 77019 | 77584 | 78245 | 78537 | 78589 | 79924 |
| 51534 | 76018 | 77024 | 77627 | 78251 | 78538 | 78594 | 79938 |
| 52722 | 76053 | 77025 | 77801 | 78259 | 78539 | 78596 | 80015 |
| 54536 | 76088 | 77034 | 78026 | 78260 | 78541 | 78597 | 80030 |
| 55060 | 76208 | 77041 | 78028 | 78261 | 78542 | 78599 | 81052 |
| 55106 | 76244 | 77042 | 78045 | 78266 | 78543 | 78610 | 82604 |
| 55372 | 76247 | 77057 | 78059 | 78332 | 78550 | 78613 | 85142 |
| 60046 | 76248 | 77059 | 78061 | 78363 | 78550 | 78616 | 87028 |
| 64070 | 76501 | 77081 | 78065 | 78380 | 78552 | 78620 | 87300 |
| 64630 | 76504 | 77082 | 78076 | 78383 | 78555 | 78634 | 87360 |
| 64900 | 76513 | 77084 | 78109 | 78384 | 78557 | 78640 | 87448 |
| 64989 | 76528 | 77091 | 78124 | 78410 | 78559 | 78660 | 87750 |
| 66220 | 76539 | 77092 | 78130 | 78412 | 78560 | 78664 | 88000 |
| 66250 | 76542 | 77096 | 78132 | 78413 | 78566 | 78665 | 88710 |
| 66270 | 76544 | 77327 | 78148 | 78414 | 78570 | 78666 | 89240 |
| 66360 | 76548 | 77328 | 78148 | 78418 | 78572 | 78704 | 95823 |
| 66417 | 76571 | 77365 | 78152 | 78501 | 78572 | 78705 | 98388 |

## SPI Open Water Festival 2018

## \$15,000

CVB investment
\$502
Average spent per household
\$43,146
Total spending
City tax share

## Attendance

100 registered participants
86 households
79 room nights
$-5.9 \%$ change in pre event day YoY occupancy

## DEMOGRAPHICS

Average age 47 Average Income: 87.6\%
$\$ 50,000$ or more

2.7 visitors per household
1.3 nights

on SPI $\quad$| Total tax ROI | $=-83.5 \%$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Lodging only ROI | $=-85.9 \%$ |

Total tax ROI = -83.5\% Lodging only ROI = -85.9\%

## SPI Experience
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## Executive Summary and Survey Highlights

This report details the measured economic impact of the 2018 SPI Open Water Festival held from Saturday, April $28^{\text {th }}$ through Sunday, April 29th. Promoted by Open Water Planet with $\$ 15,000$ funding support from the SPI Convention and Visitors Bureau (CVB), organizers expected to attract about 300 people for about 338 room nights over 1.5 nights. To examine the spending of the SPI Open Water Festival participants on SPI, a short survey incentivized with the opportunity to enter a drawing to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort was conducted. The survey was administered onsite with a total of 38 contacts but eight surveys were from duplicate households or people not on the Island for the event. This yielded 30 responses from unique households on the Island specifically for the SPI Open Water Festival.

Demographically, the SPI Open Water Festival study sample had an average age of 47 years, was predominately female ( $60.0 \%$ ), married ( $70.0 \%$ ), with at least some college education ( $96.6 \%$ ), works full-time ( $79.34 \%$ ) and was primarily Hispanic ( $48.3 \%$ ). In terms of household income, $87.6 \%$ of the survey sample reported an income above $\$ 50,000$. Survey respondents were primarily from the US (93.3\%) with $3.3 \%$ from Mexico. On average, survey participants traveled an average of 225 miles with an average of 2.67 people and spent 1.28 nights on SPI during the event. A large percentage (79.3\%) of survey respondents are considered promoters of the Island to others, resulting in a net promoter score of 79.3 and are likely to return to SPI for a future vacation (78.8\%). Most respondents were satisfied with their SPI stay experience (92.8\%) and with the event (93.3\%).

Importantly, the survey analysis found that the 86 household groups attended the 2018 Open Water Festival and spent an estimated average of $\$ 502$ per household while on the Island for a total spending of $\$ 43,146$. This total spending resulted in $\$ 4,919$ in total sales tax revenue with the City's share of all taxes amounting to $\$ 2,480$. This means that the Open Water Festival resulted in an $83.5 \%$ loss to the City $(-\$ 12,520)$ on the $\$ 15,000$ cash invested by the CVB in the event and a loss of $85.99 \%$ if only the HOT tax is considered.

Separately, lodging is the highest per household expenditure category with $72.3 \%$ of study respondents spending at least one night in paid lodging while on the Island and staying an average of 1.28 nights. This resulted in about 79 total room nights, most of which were in hotels (56.5\%). With the average weighted lodging expenditure of $\$ 275$ per household that spent the night on the Island, total revenue from lodging was a total of $\$ 23,610$. Of the total lodging expenditure, $17 \%$ or $\$ 3,430$ was for the Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT), and $10.5 \%$, or about $\$ 2,119$, goes toward the City HOT share. Moreover, the estimated total spending on food and beverage of $\$ 8,385$ resulted in about $\$ 639$ in taxes at the $8.25 \%$ rate or $\$ 155$ at the City's $2 \%$ share. A total of $\$ 11,151$ spent on all other items resulted in sales tax revenues of $\$ 850$ or \$206 for the City's share. Altogether, the City's share of all the HOT, food and beverage taxes and tax revenue on other purchases is $\$ 2,480$, which represents a deficit of $\$ 12,520$ or an $83.5 \%$ loss on the $\$ 15,000$ cash investment provided to the SPI Open Water Festival organizer as seen in the table below.

## Summary of Key Performance Indicators (KPI)

| KPI | Result | Description of KPI | Page |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CVB investment | $\$ 15,000$ | Amount of funding provided by CVB to promoter | P1 |
| Total spending | $\$ 43,146$ | Total spent by event households | Table 1, P7 |
| Average spent per <br> household | $\$ 502$ | Weighted average spent per household | Table 1, P7 |
| Number of <br> households | 86 | Number of households at event | P4 |
| Number in household | 2.7 | Number of people in household group at event | Figure 3, P5 |
| Nights on SPI | 1.3 | Average number of nights spent on SPI | Figure 3, P5 |
| Lodging tax | $\$ 2,119$ | City share of HOT revenue: 10.5\% of 17\% HOT | Table 2, P9 |
| F\&B sales tax | $\$ 155$ | City share of total tax collected from F\&B <br> spending: 2\% of 8.25\% of total sales tax | Table 2, P9 |
| Other sales tax | $\$ 206$ | City share of total sales tax revenue | Table 2, P9 |
| Total City tax share | $\$ 2,480$ | Total City tax revenue from event | Table 2, P9 |
| Total tax ROI | $-83.5 \%$ | Return on CVB investment considering all taxes | Table 2, P9 |
| Lodging only ROI | $-85.9 \%$ | Return on CVB investment considering HOT only | Table 2, P9 |
| Net Promoter Score | 79.3 | Measure of customer loyalty; calculated as <br> identified promoters less detractors | Figure 6, P10 |
| Likely to return | $94.0 \%$ | Percent somewhat or extremely likely to return <br> to SPI | Figure 7, P10 |
| Satisfied with SPI | $92.8 \%$ | Percent somewhat or extremely satisfied with SPI | Figure 8, P11 |
| Satisfied with event | $93.3 \%$ | Percent satisfied with event | Figure 9, P11 |
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## SPI Open Water Festival: Economic Impact

## Introduction

The South Padre Island (SPI) Open Water Festival consisted of two days of scheduled events held at the host hotel, Schlitterbahn Waterpark \& Resort and at Pier 19:

- Saturday, April $28^{\text {th }}$
- 11:30am to 12:30 pm - Registration and check in at Schlitterbahn Resort lobby;
- 1:00pm to 3:00pm - Open Water clinic and beach games;
- 6:15pm to 7:30 - Welcome reception and pre-race meeting
- Sunday, April 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}-$
- 7:00am to 8:00 - Registration
- 8:30am to 11:00am races
- 12:30pm - Awards ceremony

The SPI Open Water Festival was organized by Open Water Planet and had received \$15,000 from the SPI Convention and Visitors Bureau (CVB) to help fund the event. About $\$ 10,000$ of the funding was to cover marketing and media expenses. The organization expected to promote the event mostly through national publications and onsite at similar events as well as on TV, their website, social media and other paid advertising. The funds were also to be used to issue eight press releases to media and send six direct mailings to out-of-town recipients. These marketing efforts were expected to reach people in the U.S., Canada, Mexico and Europe. The organizer expected that $65 \%$ of the total event costs would be covered by the Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT). The SPI Open Water Festival event last held in 2016 yielded 181 hotel rooms. For this year's event, the organizer expected to attract about 300 people with about $75 \%$ of those (225) staying in SPI lodging for an average of 1.5 nights.

## Method

To estimate the economic impact of the 2018 SPI Open Water Festival, UTRGV researchers conducted a survey (see Appendix A) among event attendees from 10:00am to 12noon on the day of races, Sunday, April $29^{\text {th }}$, at Pier 19, the race venue. To help recruit survey respondents, survey respondents were offered promotional products provided by the SPI CVB and were offered a chance to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort. Respondents were asked to complete the survey by paper on clipboards although some event participants were given note cards (see Figure 1) inviting online survey participation.

## UTRoGrande Valley

## SPI Open Water Festival

For a chance to win 2 nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort, complete a short survey at www.utrgv.edu/swim Or scan the QR code to access Deadline May 1st.

Note: The one person most able to report on spending for all people in your household at the event should complete this survey.

Figure 1. Hard copy note cards used to encourage online survey COMPLETIONS

## Interviews

A total of 13 trained interviewers, a project manager, and a supervisor attended the SPI Open Water Festival events on Sunday, April 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ at Pier 19 from 10:00am to 12noon. All interviewers were highly visible by wearing bright orange $t$ shirts and visors. Interviewers approached potential respondents in a professional manner and distributed hard copies of the questionnaire

on clipboards to facilitate survey administration or gave them a note card with a link to the online survey. Altogether, this methodology yielded 38 surveys although eight were considered as coming from duplicate households or as not being on the Island for the event and so were not counted.

This left 30 useable responses. No responses were submitted online. While this sample size is small, it represents $34.9 \%$ of all the estimated 86 households on the Island for the event. The sample sizes allows a $95 \%$ probability that the results found represent the populations with a confidence level of plus or minus $14.5 \%$.


## Estimated attendance

Knowing the number of people attending any event is crucial to estimating the economic impact of the event. Accordingly, the event organizer provided a listing of the names and zip codes. The listing included 100 names; however, 14 were duplicate households, which leaves 86 unduplicated registered event participant households.

## Results

The onsite interviewers attempted to interview almost every different household encountered during the SPI Open Water Festival event at the race venue. In all, they interviewed 38 individuals but eight surveys were omitted from analysis as being from the same household as another interviewee or as not having come to the Island for the event. Given the estimate in this study of 86 households attending the SPI Open Water Festival, only 48 households were not interviewed. Thus, the interview response rate was $34.9 \%$, which is sufficient to be at least $95 \%$ confident that the results vary by plus or minus $14.5 \%$.

## Survey participants and SPI stay characteristics

The following results are for all 30 unduplicated survey respondents who specifically came to SPI specifically to attend the SPI Open Water Festival.

## Open Water Festival participation

In this study, attendees of SPI Open Water Festival were classified according to their attendance status. As seen in Figure 2, by far, most respondents selfidentified as being spectators (53.3\%) while only 40\% indicated being a registered participant and $6.7 \%$ were staff, volunteers, or sponsors. This finding is not surprising given that most of Open Water spectators were observed to be parents, relatives, or friends of participants.



Figure 2. Participation type

## Miles traveled, group size and stay characteristics

Survey respondents were asked to indicate the number of miles traveled to the event, how many people were in their household, how many nights they spent on SPI, and where they spent the night while at the SPI Open Water Festival. Data featured in Figure 3 shows that, on average, study participants traveled 225 miles to attend the event, although distances traveled ranged from 2 to 1,582 miles. The figure also shows that 2.67 people were, on average, in each household although the number per household ranged from 1 to 6 . The average number of nights spent on SPI for the Open Water Festival is 1.28 nights with a range of 0 to 4 nights.


Figure 3. Average miles traveled, group size and nights spent on SPI

Figure 4 breaks down the number of nights spent on SPI and shows that most respondents (72.4\%) spent the night on the Island, perhaps because the event was over two days. About $34.5 \%$ spent one night and $24.1 \%$ spent two nights on the Island for the SPI Open Water Festival.


Figure 4. Percentage spending the night on SPI

Figure 5 shows the types of lodging used by event attendees while on the Island. Most of the stayers (56.5\%) stayed in a hotel/motel room, while $17.4 \%$ rented a condominium or beach house, a room, or stayed at their own SPI residents (4.3\%).


Figure 5. Lodging type used

## Estimated spending

Study respondents were asked to identify how much money they spent in various expenditure categories. The total average reported expenditure by category was then multiplied by the percentage of respondents who reported spending in that expense category to arrive at the average weighted spending per expense category. For example, the results, shown in Table 1, indicate that the average amount spent on lodging for the stay duration was $\$ 380$ with a weighted average of $\$ 275$ when considering that $72.3 \%$ of respondent households spent money on lodging on the Island. Note that the $17 \%$ HOT rate was added to the amount reported by respondents for lodging while all other spending is assumed to have taxes included. In total, SPI Open Water households spent an average of $\$ 502$ while on the Island for a total of $\$ 43,146$ while on South Padre Island for the 2018 SPI Open Water Festival.

Table 1. Total average weighted spending

| Expenditure category | Total <br> average | spending <br> in <br> category | Weighted <br> spending | Spending <br> per <br> household |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Food \& Beverages | $\$ 133$ | 0.73 | $\$ 98$ | $\$ 8,385$ |
| Night life | $\$ 27$ | 0.10 | $\$ 3$ | $\$ 235$ |
| Lodging | $\$ 380$ | 0.72 | $\$ 275$ | $\$ 20,179$ |
| Attraction entertainment | $\$ 82$ | 0.13 | $\$ 11$ | $\$ 937$ |
| Retail | $\$ 86$ | 0.17 | $\$ 14$ | $\$ 1,233$ |
| Transportation | $\$ 74$ | 0.47 | $\$ 34$ | $\$ 2,953$ |
| Parking | $\$ 100$ | 0.03 | $\$ 3$ | $\$ 287$ |
| Admission fees | $\$ 66$ | 0.07 | $\$ 4$ | $\$ 376$ |
| Clothing | $\$ 100$ | 0.20 | $\$ 20$ | $\$ 1,720$ |
| Groceries | $\$ 85$ | 0.37 | $\$ 31$ | $\$ 2,666$ |
| Other | $\$ 130$ | 0.07 | $\$ 9$ | $\$ 745$ |
| Total | $\$ 1,261$ |  | $\$ 502$ | $\$ 43,146$ |


#### Abstract

The estimated direct spending on South Padre Island as attributed to the 2018 SPI Open Water Festival is $\$ 43,146$ within a confidence interval of plus or minus $\$ 6,265$ given the assumptions of a random sample selection. This spending resulted in total taxes of about $\$ 4,919$ with $\$ 2,480$ as the City's share. This represents a $\$ 12,520$ loss ( $-83.5 \%$ ) on the $\$ 15,000$ investment provided by the CVB to the event organizer or a 85.9\% loss if only the HOT tax is considered.


## Spending on food \& beverage and lodging

The survey results indicated $73 \%$ of respondents spent an average of $\$ 133$ per household for food and beverages (F\&B) (see Table 1). This means that Open Water households spent a total weighted average of $\$ 8,385$ on $F \& B$. With an $8.25 \%$ tax rate, this amount resulted in about $\$ 639$ in total sales tax collected from F\&B spending, of which \$155 is the City's $2 \%$ share.

The survey results also indicated that $72.3 \%$ of respondents spent an average of $\$ 380$ for a weighted average spending of $\$ 275$ per household on lodging over an average of 1.28 nights (see Figure 3) spent on SPI for the SPI Open Water. These statistics indicate that the event generated about 79 room nights for a total of about $\$ 23,610$ spent on lodging. This amount of spending results in total HOT taxes collected of about $\$ 3,430$ at a $17 \%$ HOT tax rate or $\$ 2,119$ to the City of South Padre Island for their $10.5 \%$ share of the HOT taxes collected, an $85.9 \%$ loss on the $\$ 15,000$ investment in the event.

## SPI Open Water attendees accounted for 79 room nights and spent a total of $\$ 23,610 \pm \$ 3,428$ on lodging while on the Island for the event.

The CVB provided \$15,000 cash to the SPI Open Water organizer. For this investment, the city of South Padre Island should recover $10.5 \%$ of the HOT tax as well as $2 \%$ of the sales tax on other expenditures. The breakdown on expenditures, tax revenue and ROI is shown in Table2.

The $\$ 23,610$ spent on lodging, the $\$ 8,383$ spent on food and beverages and the $\$ 11,151$ spent in all other categories resulted in $\$ 2,119, \$ 155$, and $\$ 206$, respectively, for a total tax revenue of $\$ 2,480$ for the City. Thus, the $\$ 15,000$ invested in the SPI Open Water resulted in a loss of $\$ 12,520$ or $83.5 \%$ as seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Spending, tax revenue and ROI

| Spending category | Amount <br> spent | Tax rate | Total <br> sales <br> tax | City's \% <br> share | City's \$ <br> share | ROI on <br> $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 , 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lodging | $\$ 23,610$ | $17 \%$ | $\$ 3,430$ | $10.5 \%$ | $\$ 2,119$ | $-85.9 \%$ |
| Food \& beverage | $\$ 8,385$ | $8.25 \%$ | $\$ 639$ | $2 \%$ | $\$$ | 155 |
| All other spending | $\$ 11,151$ | $8.25 \%$ | $\$ 850$ | $2 \%$ | $\$$ | 206 |
| Totals | $\mathbf{\$ 4 3 , 1 4 6}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 9 1 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 4 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{- 8 3 . 5 \%}$ |

In summary, the total taxes accrued to the City of South Padre Island as a result of the 2018 SPI Open Water is estimated at $\$ 2,480 \pm 14.5 \%$ for a loss on the $\$ 15,000$ investment of $\mathbf{- \$ 1 2 , 5 2 0}$ or $\mathbf{8 3 . 5 \%}$.

## The SPI Experience

The next section of the survey asked SPI Open Water Festival respondents about their stay on SPI. In this section, the "net promoter" question was used to determine how likely survey respondents are to recommend SPI as a place to visit to friends or colleagues. The results, shown in Figure 6 indicate that most study respondents (79.3\%) are promoters of SPI while none are detractors. This yields a net promoter score (NPS) of 79.3, which is very good. For example, the hotel industry has a NPS of 39 (www.netpromoter.com/compare).

Respondents also indicated how likely they are to return to SPI for a future vacation (Figure 7) and how satisfied overall they were with their SPI experience (Figure 8) and with the event (Figure 9).

Net promoter score


Figure 6. Net promoter score

Most respondents are somewhat likely or extremely likely to return to the Island (94\%) in the

## Likely to return to SPI



Figure 7. Likelihood of returning to SPI in the future
future and were somewhat satisfied or extremely satisfied (92.8\%) with their SPI experience and most were satisfied with the Open Water Festival event (93.3\%).


Figure 8. Satisfaction with the SPI experience


Figure 9. Satisfaction with event

NOTE: Respondents could provide suggestions for improving their stay on SPI. The only unedited comment was:

- Give top 3 finishes in each age group a medal or trophy


## Respondent Demographics

The remainder of the study assessed respondent demographic characteristics.


Figure 10. Gender
Targeted survey respondents were those $18+$ years and the average age of all respondents was 47 years-of-age although ages ranged from 23 to 68 . Most respondents were female (60\%) and married (70\%) and had at least some college ( $96.6 \%$ ) as shown in Figures 10 through 12 , respectively.


Figure 11. Marital status


Figure 12. Educational attainment

Most study respondents had a full-time job (79.34\%), although 6.9\% worked part-time and $3.4 \%$ were retired as seen in Figure 13.


Figure 13. Employment status
Study participants in the SPI Open Water Festival, in general, had a higher-than-average household income level with $87.6 \%$ reporting a household income above $\$ 50,000$ (Figure 14).


Figure 14. Household income

Respondents were also asked to indicate their ethnicity, but could select as many ethnicities as appropriate. Results in Figure 15 show that $48.3 \%$ of respondents considered themselves Hispanic while $41.4 \%$ indicated being white and $10.3 \%$ considered themselves to be of mixed ethnicities.


Figure 15. Ethnicity


Figure 16. Home country

Finally, respondents were asked to indicate their home country and current residence zip/postal code. Most respondents reported the United States as their home country (93.3\%). About 3.3\% indicated being from Mexico as shown in Figure 16 and one respondent surveyed was from Uruguay.

The specific zip or postal codes of event registrants as provided by the even organizer as well as the zip codes of study respondents are listed in Appendix B.

## Lodging manager's report

An email was sent to a listing of SPI lodging owner/managers, as provided by the SPI CVB. This email requested a response to the questions shown in Table 3 about SPI Open Water Festival guests at their facility. In total, six owner/managers responded to the survey and the results and averages of those reporting statistics are shown in the Table.

Table 3. SPI Lodging owner/manager responses

| QUESTION | AVERAGE | RANGE | COUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To the best of your knowledge, about how many different rooms did you rent to SPI Open Water Festival attendees? | 3.2 | 0 to 10 | 5 |
| On average, how many people attending the SPI Open Water Festival stayed in one room? | 4.67 | 2 to 10 | 3 |
| To the best of your knowledge, about how many nights did most SPI Open Water Festival attendees stay at your lodging facility? | Mode=1 | 1 to 10 | 3 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person attending the SPI Open Water Festival spent per day at your lodging facility on the following (round to the nearest dollar): - Average room rate per night | \$178 | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 125 \text { to } \\ \$ 280 \end{array}$ | 3 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person attending the SPI Open Water Festival spent per day at your lodging facility on the following (round to the nearest dollar): - Food per day | \$22 | 0 to \$50 | 3 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person attending the SPI Open Water Festival spent per day at your lodging facility on the following (round to the nearest dollar): - Beverages | \$28 | O to \$75 | 3 |
| In total, how many rooms does your facility have to rent? | 132 | 10 to 256 | 5 |

The results indicate that an average of 3.2 rooms were rented among the five lodging facilities responding to the survey. Three hotels reported having Open Water Festival attendees spend one or four nights with either two or ten people per room. The average cost per room was $\$ 178$ and guests spent an average of $\$ 22$ on food and $\$ 28$ on beverages.

The responding lodging managers may not be representative of SPI lodging units. Only five hotels responded to the survey and, of those, two had 30 or fewer rooms and two had more than 200 rooms. Thus, given the small number and uniqueness of responses, no conclusions can be made from the lodging managers' survey results.

## STR Report

Additional data to provide evidence about impact of an event on the SPI economy comes from the STR Destination Report provided to the SPI CVB. STR is a "global data benchmarking, analytics and marketplace insights" firm that gathers, analyzes and reports data from hotel owners/operators for benchmarking purposes. The Report includes data regarding hotel occupancy, average daily rate (ADR), revenue per available room (RevPAR), supply, demand, and revenue as provided by reporting SPI hotel owner/operators for last year as compared to this year. This data may be viewed in two ways. One way is to examine the trends over the past month to determine whether the hotel metrics changed during the Open Water Festival event as compared to the rest of the month and the other way is to compare the metrics during the event time period to those of the same time period in the previous year.

The following figures show the hotel metrics for each day from April 1 through 28th (the month trend) for this year as well as for the same time period as last year (the year trend).

Because the SPI Open Water Festival took place beginning at about noon on Saturday, April $28^{\text {th }}$ through the next day at about noon, the relevant STR data is for Saturday, April $28^{\text {th }}$. The occupancy rate for the Open Water Festival on Saturday, April $28^{\text {th }}$ is $86 \%$. This rate is lower


Figure 17. STR occupancy rates by day and year
than last year's rate of $91.4 \%$ for the same day period last year but is much higher than the month-long occupancy rate of $57.7 \%$ for this year and $63.2 \%$ for last year as seen in the trends Figure 17.

Overall, the average daily rate (ADR) of rooms for the SPI Open Water Festival night are higher than rates for most days in the month-long period for both this year and last year as shown in Figure 18. The Average daily rate trends by day and by year

ADR for the night in 2018 averages
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$\$ 132.23$ for the same day last year, but much

Figure 18. ADR trends by day and year higher than the month-long average ADR of $\$ 101.23$ this year and of last years' month-long ADR of $\$ 109.89$.

Next, Figure 19 shows the revenue per available room (RevPAR) for the same month-long time period. The average RevPAR for the night of the Open Water Festival is $\$ 117.43$, which is

Revenue per available room trends by day and year


Figure 19. RevPar by day and year
slightly below the average rate of $\$ 120.89$ experienced during the same day last year. However, the RevPAR is substantially above the month-to-date rate of $\$ 58.45$ for this year.

For the month examined, Figure 20 shows the room demand trend. The average room demand for the night of the Open Water Festival is 2,338 , which is $5.8 \%$ less than the demand during the same day last year. However, the room demand for the day of the Open Water Festival exceeded the month-long average daily room demand of 1,569 and last year's average daily demand rate of 1,715 room.


Figure 20. Demand trends by day and year

The average lodging revenue during the Open Water Festival night was \$319,052, about 2.8\% below the average revenue of $\$ 328,327$ for the same night last year. Nevertheless, the revenue for the Saturday night of the Open Water Festival was also the peak revenue for the month as seen in Figure 21.

Revenue trends by day and year


Figure 21. Revenue trends by day and year

Figure 22 summarizes the percent change in hotel occupancy, ADR, RevPAR, demand and revenue for the night Open Water Festival participants would have spent the night on the Island.

## STR Hotel Trend data Comparison of same day this year to last April 28



Figure 22. STR hotel trend data 3-day comparison
In summary, all metrics except for the ADR for Saturday, April 28 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, the evening of the 2018 SPI Open Water Festival, were below the same metrics for the same day last year. While two other events-Splash South Padre and the Sand Crab Beach Run-were both held during the same time period last year, other factors may have accounted for the better 2017 performance on the metrics examined. For example, in 2017 there were Bands On the Beach performances with live music and fireworks and on Saturday, April 28, 2017, an American Red Cross Centennial Gala was held on the Island.

To summarize the STR data, all results indicate a decrease in occupancy, RevPar, demand and revenue for Saturday, April $28^{\text {th }}$, the night of the 2018 Open Water Festival.

Note: The STR data is derived from 11 hotel owner/operator reporting data for this year and last year. This represents 35.5 \% of the census of 31 open hotels listed in the STR Census and 48.4\% of the hotel rooms listed, thus all results should be interpreted accordingly without a high degree of assurances of generalizability.

## Concluding remarks

This report has detailed the amount of money spent on South Padre Island by people associated with the 2018 SPI Open Water Festival held from Saturday, April $28^{\text {th }}$ through Sunday, April 29th. The results of the study were obtained by administering a short onsite survey, which offered respondents an incentive to enter a drawing to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort. A total of 38 surveys were completed but eight responses were eliminated because of household duplication as were responses from people who were not specifically on the Island for the SPI Open Water Festival. The result is 30 viable survey responses for a response rate of $34.9 \%$ of all estimated event attendee households.

Demographically, the study sample was comprised of predominately married females who were an average of 47 years-of-age, had at least some college education, were employed full-time, had a household income above $\$ 50,000$, and identify ethnically as Hispanic and white. Geographically, almost all respondents were from the US (93.3\%). The average number of miles traveled by survey participants to attend the event was 225 miles, and $72.3 \%$ spent an average of 1.28 nights on SPI.

By combining the actual number of people registered to participate in the SPI Open Water Festival with survey results, the Open Water Festival generated about 79 SPI room nights. With an average total weighted lodging expenditure per household of $\$ 275$, event attendees spent about $\$ 23,610$ for lodging in total, resulting in about $\$ 3,430$ in total Hotel Tax with $10.5 \%$, or $\$ 2,119$, the City's share. Considering only the HOT tax, the $\$ 15,000$ investment yielded a loss of 85.9\%. However, spending on food and beverage also contributed significantly to the taxes generated by the event attendees. The F\&B spending estimates of $\$ 8,385$ should have yielded $\$ 639$ in sales tax at the $8.25 \%$ rate or $\$ 155$ for the City's $2 \%$ share. Spending of $\$ 11,151$ on other items should have yielded $\$ 850$ in sales tax revenue with $\$ 206$ going to the City. Considering all spending, the City of SPI should have received $\$ 2,480$ in taxes for a loss of $\$ 12,520$ or an $-83.5 \%$ loss on the $\$ 15,000$ cash investment provided to the event organizer.

While it is impossible to know the actual number of lodging rooms booked as a result of the SPI Open Water Festival, the lodging manager's survey and the STR Destination Report data for the period supports the study's finding that the event did have some effect on the number of rooms booked during the event night since that night had the highest occupancy rate for the month of April.

Fortunately, most SPI Open Water Festival survey participants are "promoters" in recommending SPI to others, are likely or extremely likely to return to SPI for a future vacation and are satisfied with their overall SPI experience during the event. While the spending of SPI Open Water Festival attendees was minimal considering the significant CVB-provided funding, the overall SPI experience of the event attendees will likely result in many event attendees returning to the Island for future vacations.

## Appendix A: Survey

## SPI Open Water Festival, April 28-29, 2018

This survey is to understand your household experience and spending during the South Padre Island Open Water Festival.
The one person, older than 18, best able to report on spending for all people in your household at the Festival should complete this survey. Responses are very important to planning future events. As a thank you, you may enter a drawing for a 2 -night stay at the Schlitterbahn Beach Resort. Responses are confidential and individual information will not be included in survey results or shared with others. Contact the Business and Tourism Research Center at UTRGV at businessresearch@utrgv.edu or call 956.665.2829 for questions.

1. Have you or someone else in your household already completed this survey? $\quad$ Yes $\square$ No
If yes, please return this survey. We thank you!
2. Did you come to South Padre Island specifically for the Open Water Festival? Yes No: If no, return this survey. Thanks!
3. About how many miles did you travel to attend the SPI Onen Water Festival? $\qquad$ miles
4. Which of the following best describes your participation in the SPI Open Water?

| Registered swimmer | Swim volunteer/staff |
| :--- | :--- |
| Spectator | Did not attend |
| Other |  |

5. Including yourself, how many people from your household attended the Festival? $\quad$ Number in household
6. How many nights did you (or will you) spend on South Padre Island while attending Festival?
nights
7. Where are you staying (or did stay) while on South Padre Island for the SPI Open Water Festival?
Hotel/motel (1)
Rented condo/beach house (2)
$\square$ Campground/RV park (3) Rented a room (4) Friend/family's home (5) My own SPI residence (7) $\square$ Other (please specify) $\qquad$ (6)
8. For each of the following types of expenses, please give your best estimate of the total amount you and your household spent (or will spend) during your entire time on South Padre Island for the Festival. (List only the total dollar amounts spent on SPI)
Food \& beverages (restaurants, concessions,
snacks, etc.)
$\$$
Night clubs, lounges \& bars (cover charges,
drinks, etc.) \$
Lodging expenses (hotel, motel, condo, room)
Local attractions \& entertainment

| (fishing, snorkeling, kayaking, etc.) | $\$$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Retail shopping (souvenirs, gifts, film, etc.) | $\$$ |
| Transportation (gas, oil, taxi, etc.) | $\$$ |
| Parking fees | $\$$ |
| SPI Admission fees | $\$$ |
| Clothing or accessories | $\$$ |
| Groceries | $\$$ |
| Other (please specify) | $\$$ |
| Total | $\$$ |

9. On a scale from 0-10, how likely are you to recommend South Padre Island as a place to visit to a friend or colleague?

Not at
all likely
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10\end{array}$
Extremely
likely
10. How likely are you to return to South Padre Island for a vacation at some time in the future?
Extremely likely Somewhat likely Neutral -Somewhat unlikely Extremely unlikely
11. How satisfied are you with your overall South Padre Island experience?
Dextremely satisfied somewhat satisfied Neutral Isomewhat dissatisfied Extremely dissatisfied
12. How satisfied are you with the Open Water Festival?

Dextremely satisfied somewhat satisfied Neutral Isomewhat dissatisfied Extremely dissatisfied
13. What suggestions do you have for improving the Open Water Festival or your stay on South Padre Island? (write on back)
14. What is your home zip or postal code? $\qquad$ code
15. What is vour home countrv?

IUS Mexico Canada Other
16. What is your age? $\qquad$ (years of age)
17. What is your gender? Male Female
18. What is your marital status?
$\square$ Married USingle Didowed Divorced/separated
19.What is your highest educational attainment?

| QLess than high school | QAssociate's degree |
| :--- | :--- |
| QHigh school graduate | Bachelor's degree |
| QSome college, no degree | Graduate/professional degree |

20.What is your current employment status?

WWork full-time Retired within past year
$\square$ Work part-time Retired more than 1 year $\square$ Unemployed (looking for a job) DOther (Please specify)
21. What is your combined annual household income?

| Dless than $\$ 20,000$ | $\square \$ 60 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 69,999$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square \$ 20 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 29,999$ | $\square \$ 70 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 79,999$ |
| $\square \$ 30 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 39,999$ | $\square \$ 80 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 99,999$ |
| $\square \$ 40 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 49,999$ | $\square \$ 100 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 149,999$ |
| $\square \$ 50 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 59,999$ | $\square \$ 150,000$ or more |

22. What is your ethnicity? (Select all that apply)

White Hispanic Mixed Black Asian Other $\qquad$
Enter the drawing for a 2-night stay at the Schlitterbahn Beach Resort Contact information is confidential and will be deleted after the drawing. Name
Phone number:
Email:
Winners will be notified no later than 2 weeks after event.
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## Executive Summary and Survey Highlights

This report details the measured economic impact of the 2018 South Padre Island (SPI) Sand Crab 5K \& 10K Nighttime Beach Run held on Saturday, April 28th. Promoted by RunInTexas.com with $\$ 4,726$ funding support from the SPI Convention and Visitors Bureau (CVB), organizers expected to attract 500 to 800 people for about 200 room nights over two nights. To examine the spending of the SPI Sand Crab Run participants on SPI, a short survey incentivized with the opportunity to enter a drawing to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort was conducted. The survey was administered onsite with a total of 99 contacts but nine surveys were from duplicate households or people not on the Island for the event. This yielded 90 responses from unique households on the Island specifically for the SPI Sand Crab Run.

Demographically, the SPI Sand Crab Run study sample had an average age of 37 years, was predominately female (65.2\%), married (55.1\%), with some college education (86.5\%), works full time (86.5\%), has a household income above $\$ 50,000$ ( $54.7 \%$ ), and is Hispanic ( $76.7 \%$ ). Survey respondents are primarily from the US (90\%), with $7.8 \%$ coming from Mexico, and one from Peru. On average, survey participants traveled with an average of 2.4 people for an average of 112 miles and spent 1.4 nights on SPI. A large percentage (79.6\%) of survey respondents are considered promoters of the Island to others resulting in a net promoter score of $75.1 \%$ and are likely to return to SPI for a future vacation (96.7\%). Most respondents were satisfied with their SPI stay experience ( $95.5 \%$ ) and with the event ( $86.7 \%$ ).

Importantly, the survey analysis found that the 294 household groups attending the 2018 SPI Sand Crab Run event spent an estimated average of $\$ 587$ per household while on the Island for a total of $\$ 172,663$. This total spending resulted in $\$ 18,027$ in total sales tax revenue with the City's share of tax revenue amounting to $\$ 8,212$, which yields a $73.8 \%$ return on the $\$ 4,726$ cash invested in the event by the CVB.

Separately, lodging is the highest per household expenditure category with 59\% of study respondents spending at least one night in paid lodging on the Island and staying an average of 1.43 nights. This resulted in about 247 total room nights, most of which were in hotels (50\%). With the weighted average lodging expenditure of $\$ 240$ per household that spent the night on the Island, revenue from lodging was a total of $\$ 70,459$. Of the total lodging expenditure, $17 \%$ or $\$ 10,238$ was Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT), and $10.5 \%$ of that, or about $\$ 6,323$, was the City's share of HOT. Moreover, the estimated total spending of $\$ 35,509$ on food and beverages and $\$ 66,696$ on other items resulted in about $\$ 2,706$ and $\$ 5,083$ in tax revenue, respectively, at the $8.25 \%$ rate or $\$ 656$ and $\$ 1,232$, respectively, at the City $2 \%$ tax rate. The combined City's share of all tax revenue is $\$ 8,212$, which represents a $\$ 3,486$ ( $73.8 \%$ ) return on the $\$ 4,726$ cash investment provided to the SPI Sand Crab Run organizer as shown in the Table below.

## Summary of Key Performance Indicators (KPI)

| KPI | Result |  | Page |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CVB investment | $\$ 4,726$ | Amount of funding provided by CVB to event <br> promoter | P1 |
| Total spending | $\$ 172,663$ | Total spent by event households | Table 1, P11 |
| Average spent per <br> household | $\$ 587$ | Weighted average spent per household | Table 1, P11 |
| Number of <br> households | 345 | Number of households at event | P5 |
| Number in household | 2.4 | Number of people in household group at event | Figure 8, P9 |
| Nights on SPI | 1.4 | Average number of nights spent on SPI | Figure 8, P9 |
| Lodging tax | $\$ 6,323$ | City share of HOT revenue: 10.5\% of 17\% HOT | Table 2, P12 |
| F\&B sales tax | $\$ 656$ | City share of total tax collected from F\&B <br> spending: $2 \%$ of 8.25\% of total sales tax | Table 2, P12 |
| Other sales tax | $\$ 1,232$ | City share of total sales tax revenue | Table 2, P12 |
| Total City tax share | $\$ 8,212$ | Total City tax revenue from event | Table 2, P12 |
| Total tax ROI | $73.8 \%$ | Return on CVB investment considering all taxes | Table 2, P12 |
| Lodging only ROI | $33.8 \%$ | Return on CVB investment considering HOT only | Table 2, P12 |
| Net Promoter Score | 75.1 | Measure of customer loyalty; calculated as <br> identified promoters less detractors | Figure 11, p13 |
| Likely to return | $96.7 \%$ | Percent somewhat or extremely likely to return <br> to SPI | Figure 12, p13 |
| Satisfied with SPI | $95.5 \%$ | Percent somewhat or extremely satisfied with SPI | Figure 13, p14 |
| Satisfied with event | $86.7 \%$ | Percent satisfied with event | Figure 14, p14 |
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## South Padre Island Sand Crab 5K and 10K Night Beach Run: Economic Impact

## Introduction

The South Padre Island (SPI) Sand Crab 5K \& 10K Nighttime Beach Run (Sand Crab Run) was held on the SPI beach beginning in front of the event hotel, Pearl South Padre Island Resort, on Saturday, April $28^{\text {th }}, 2018$. Race participants could pick up their race packets on Thursday in Harlingen before the event or at the Pearl South Padre Island Resort on race day from $4: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ to $6: 00 \mathrm{pm}$. The Kid Crab mile began at $8: 00 \mathrm{pm}$ and the 5 K and 10K races at $8: 30 \mathrm{pm}$ with a cut off time of two hours. An awards ceremony was held at about 10:00pm at the Pearl South Padre Island Resort. All race participants were required to wear flashlights or headlamps because the event was at night.

The SPI Sand Crab 5K \& 10K Night Beach Run was organized by Run In Texas and was designed "to create a fun, memorable and unique family-friendly running event that attracts runners from across the Rio Grande Valley and beyond to the beaches of South Padre Island." The organizers received \$4,726 from the SPI Convention and Visitors Bureau (CVB) to help fund the event. The funds were to be used for marketing website, social media and e-mail campaigns to their database of 16,500 Texas runnersand t-shirts which would have the SPI CVB logo. The organization expected to promote the event through 10 press releases and PR opportunities in print, broadcast and online media, running calendars and posters. These marketing efforts were expected to reach Texas residents, primarily in the Rio Grande Valley, San Antonio, Austin and Houston.

Past SPI Sand Crab Run events yielded an estimated 255, 400, and 340 hotel rooms in 2015, 2016 and 2017 respectively. For this year's event, the organizer expected to attract about 500 to 800 runners with about $75 \%$ of those staying in SPI lodging for a total of 375 to 600 room nights.

As a side note, on April 3, 2018, the www.runintexas.com website did not have a link to the SPI CVB as promised in the funding application and the event website graphic displayed the beach run graphic from 2016 (see Figure 1). A Google search found the correct event information and registration at:
https://www.signmeup.com/site/online-event-registration/123621. This website also did not have a link to the SPI CVB website (see Appendix A).


Figure 1. Graphic displayed on website

## Method

To estimate the economic impact of the 2018 SPI Sand Crab Run, UTRGV researchers conducted a survey (see Appendix B) among SPI Sand Crab Run attendees on SPI on Saturday, April $28^{\text {th }}$ during registration and during the race from about $5: 20 \mathrm{pm}$ until 9:00pm. As an incentive, survey respondents were offered a chance to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort and were also offered other promotional products provided by the CVB which substantially helped to recruit respondents. Respondents were asked to complete the survey by paper on clipboards although some event participants were given note cards (see Figure 2) inviting online survey participation.

## UTRioGrande Valley

## SPI Sand Crab Beach Run

For a chance to win 2 nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort, complete a short survey at www.utrgv.edu/SPISandCrab


Or scan the QR code to access
Deadline May 1st.

Note: The one person most able to report on spending for all people in your
household at the event should complete this survey.

Figure 2. Online survey note cards

## Interviews

A total of 11 trained interviewers, the project manager and a supervisor attended the SPI Sand Crab Run registration held at the Pearl South Padre Island Resort from 5:20pm to 9:00pm. All interviewers were highly visible by wearing bright orange $t$-shirts and visors. Interviewers approached

survey. This methodology yielded 99 interview responses but eight surveys were eliminated because another household member had completed the survey and one was eliminated because the respondent had not come to the Island for the event. No responses were received on the online survey option.

potential respondents in a professional manner and distributed hard copies of the questionnaire on clipboards to facilitate survey administration. Those who refused the survey were given a note card with a link to the online


## Estimated attendance

Knowing the number of people attending any event is crucial to estimating the economic impact of the event. To determine the number of attendees, the event sponsor was asked to provide the number of registered race participants and their zip codes. A total of 320 zip codes of pre-registered Sand Crab Run runners were provided (see Appendix D); with an estimated 15 more runners registering at the event for a total of 345 registrants. The estimated crowd size based on drone pictures and counts on the ground suggested fewer Sand Crab Run attendees; about 240 event attendees at the peak time. The drone photos show about 134 people at 6:30pm (see Figure 3), about 174 at 8:00pm (see Figure 4) and about 228 at 8:23 (Figure 5). However, it is impossible to account for duplication during the entire event-the people present at 6:30pm may be different from the people present at 8:00pm and even at 8:23pm.


Figure 3. Drone Рhoto of SPI Sand Crab Run at 6:30PM


Figure 4. Drone photo of Sand Crab Run at 8:00pm


Figure 5. Drone Рhot of Sand Crab Run at 8:23pm


Figure 6. Sand Crab Run at start of adult race
Therefore to estimate the total event households, the sponsor-reported 345 registrants provide a basis but that number was reduced based on the assumption that $25 \%$ of the registrants were from the same household, thus 276 unique households were event participants which comprised $86.7 \%$ of all event attendees interviewed in the survey. Assuming that half of the 2.2\% event volunteers or staff and $11.1 \%$ spectators are included in registered participants' households, an estimated 294 households were at the SPI Sand Crab Run.

## Results

The 11 onsite interviewers attempted to interview almost every different household encountered during the SPI Sand Crab Run event. In all, they contacted 90 different households, which, at 2.42 people per household as found in this study, would equate to 237 people contacted or 60 short of the total estimated 294 number of households at the event. Thus, the 99 responses with 90 valid interviews yields a response rate of $34 \%$ of the estimated households attending the event. Also, while 90 surveys does not seem like many completed surveys, it is sufficient to be at
 least $95 \%$ confident that the results vary by plus or minus $8 \%$.

## Survey participants and SPI stay characteristics

The following results are for all 90 unduplicated survey respondents who specifically came to SPI specifically to attend the SPI Sand Crab Run.

## SPI Sand Crab Run participation

In this study, attendees of the SPI Sand Crab Run were classified according to their attendance status. As seen in Figure 7, by far, most attendees were registered runners (86.7\%). A total of $11.1 \%$ of respondents considered themselves to be spectators while (2.2\%) were event volunteers or staff.

SPI Sand Crab run participant type


Figure 7. Survey response to Sand Crab Run participation TYPE

## Miles traveled, group size and stay characteristics

Survey respondents were asked to indicate the number of miles traveled to the event, how many people were in their household, how many nights they spent on SPI, and where they


Figure 8. SPI Visit characteristics spent the night while at the Sand Crab Run. Data featured in Figure 8 shows that, on average, study participants traveled 112 miles to attend the event, although distances traveled ranged from 0 to 1,500 miles. The figure also shows that 2.4 people were, on average, in each household although the number per household ranged from 1 to 8 . The average number of nights spent on SPI for the SPI Sand Crab Run is 1.4 nights.

Figure 9 breaks down the number of nights spent on SPI and shows that most respondents spent the night on the Island, with $40.2 \%$ staying only one night and $26.4 \%$ spending two nights. Almost $82 \%$ spent the night on the Island, perhaps because the event was at night, although not all respondents stayed in paid lodging.


Figure 9. Percent spending nights on SPI

Figure 10 shows the types of lodging used by Sand Crab Run attendees while on the Island. A total of 71 respondents indicated spending at least one night on the Island; but only about 55 respondents reported their lodging expenditures. Half of staying-respondents rented a hotel/motel room, while $17.1 \%$ rented a condominium or beach house, a room (3.9\%) or stayed at a campground/RV park (2.6\%). About $14.5 \%$ of respondents spent the night in their own SPI residence.

Lodging on SPI


Figure 10. Lodging type used

## Estimated spending

Study respondents were asked to identify how much money they spent in various expenditure categories. The total average reported expenditure by category was then multiplied by the percentage of respondents who reported spending in that expense category to arrive at the average weighted spending per expense category. For example, the results, shown in Table 1, indicate that the average amount spent on lodging for the stay duration was $\$ 407$ with a weighted average of $\$ 240$ when considering that $59 \%$ of respondent households spent money on lodging on the Island. Note that the $17 \%$ HOT rate was added to the amount reported by respondents for lodging while all other spending is assumed to have taxes included. In total, Sand Crab Run attendees spent a total average of $\$ 1,379$ with the sum of the weighted average
of spending at $\$ 587$ per household for the time they were on South Padre Island for the 2018 SPI Sand Crab 5K/10K Beach Run, as seen in Table 1.

Table 1. Total average weighted spending

| Expenditure category | Total average spending | \% spending in category | Weighted spending | Total spending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food \& Beverages | \$ 138 | 0.88 | \$ 121 | \$ 35,509 |
| Night life | \$ 123 | 0.52 | \$ 64 | \$ 18,842 |
| Lodging | \$ 407 | 0.59 | \$ 240 | \$ 70,459 |
| Attraction entertainment | \$ 115 | 0.30 | \$ 35 | \$ 10,143 |
| Retail | \$ 84 | 0.38 | \$ 32 | \$ 9,343 |
| Transportation | \$ 44 | 0.70 | \$ 31 | \$ 9,016 |
| Parking | \$ 23 | 0.06 | \$ 1 | \$ 376 |
| Admission fees | \$ 41 | 0.14 | \$ 6 | \$ 1,754 |
| Clothing | \$ 66 | 0.29 | \$ 19 | \$ 5,635 |
| Groceries | \$ 54 | 0.32 | \$ 17 | \$ 5,086 |
| Other | \$ 284 | 0.08 | \$ 22 | \$ 6,501 |
| Total | \$1,379 |  | \$ 587 | \$172,663 |

The total spending on South Padre Island that is specifically attributable to the SPI Sand Crab Run is determined by multiplying the 294 unduplicated event attendee households (see p13) by the total weighted $\$ 587$ per household spending while on South Padre (see Table 2). The result is a total, direct spending by Sand Crab Run households of $\$ 172,663$ on South Padre Island.

The estimated direct spending on South Padre Island as attributed to the 2018 SPI Sand Crab 5K/10K Beach Run is $\$ 172,663$ within a confidence interval of plus or minus $\mathbf{8 \%}(\$ 13,800)$ given the assumptions of a random sample selection. This spending resulted in total taxes of about \$18,027 with $\$ 8,212$ as the City's share. This represents a $73.8 \%(\$ 3,486)$ return on the $\$ 4,726$ investment provided by the CVB to the event organizer.

## Spending on food \& beverage and lodging

The survey results indicated $88 \%$ of respondents spent an average of $\$ 138$ per household for a weighted average of $\$ 121$ on food and beverages (F\&B) (see Table 1). This means that Sand Crab Run attendees spent a total weighted average of $\$ 35,509$ on F\&B. With an $8.25 \%$ tax rate,
this amount resulted in about $\$ 2,706$ in total sales tax collected from F\&B spending, of which almost $\$ 656$ is the City's $2 \%$ tax rate share.

The survey results also indicated that $59 \%$ of respondents spent an average of $\$ 407$ for a weighted average spending of $\$ 240$ per household on lodging over an average of 1.4 nights (see Figure 8) spent on SPI for the Sand Crab Run. These statistics indicate that the Sand Crab Run generated about 247 room nights for a total of about $\$ 70,459$ spent on lodging. This amount of spending results in total HOT taxes collected of about \$10,238 at a $17 \%$ HOT tax rate or $\$ 6,323$ to the City of South Padre Island for their $10.5 \%$ share of the HOT taxes collected.

## SPI Sand Crab Run attenders accounted for 247 room nights and spent a total of $\$ 70,459 \pm 8 \%$ while on the Island for the event.

The CVB provided \$4,726 cash to the SPI Sand Crab Run organizer. For this investment, the city of South Padre Island should recover $10.5 \%$ of the HOT tax or $\$ 6,323$. Other spending by Sand Crab Run attendee households on the Island on food and beverages $(\$ 35,509)$ and other purchases $(\$ 66,696)$ generated total taxes of $\$ 2,706$ and $\$ 5,083$, respectively, at the $8.25 \%$ rate with $\$ 1,888$ as the City's share. Thus, the total return in taxes to the City as a result of the SPI Sand Crab Run is estimated at $\$ 8,212$. These taxes fully cover the $\$ 4,726$ investment in the event for a $73.8 \%$ return on investment as seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Spending, tax revenue and ROI

| Spending <br> category | Amount <br> spent | Tax <br> rate | Total sales <br> tax | City's $\%$ <br> share | City's \$ <br> share | ROI on <br> $\mathbf{\$ 4 , 7 2 6}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lodging | $\$ 70,459$ | $17 \%$ | $\$ 10,238$ | $10.5 \%$ | $\$ 6,323$ | $33.8 \%$ |
| Food \& Beverage | $\$ 35,509$ | $8.25 \%$ | $\$ 2,706$ | $2 \%$ | $\$ 656$ |  |
| All other | $\$ 66,696$ | $8.25 \%$ | $\$ 5,083$ | $2 \%$ | $\$ 1,232$ |  |
| Totals | $\$ 172,663$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 , 0 2 7}$ |  | $\$ 8,212$ | $\mathbf{7 3 . 8 \%}$ |

In summary, the taxes accrued to the City of South Padre Island as a result of the 2018 SPI Sand Crab Run is estimated at $\mathbf{\$ 8 , 2 1 2 \pm 8 \%}$ for a total return on the $\$ 4,726$ investment of $\$ 3,486$ or $73.8 \%$.

## The SPI Experience

The next section of the survey asked respondents about their stay on SPI. In this section, the "net promoter" question was used to determine how likely survey respondents are to recommend SPI as a place to visit to friends or colleagues. The results, shown in Figure 11 indicate that most study respondents (79.6\%) are promoters of SPI while $4.5 \%$ are detractors. This yields a net promoter score (NPS) of 75.1, which is very good. For example, the hotel industry has a NPS of 39 (www.netpromoter.com/compare).


Figure 11. Net promoter score

Respondents also indicated how likely they are to return to SPI for a future vacation (Figure 12) and how satisfied overall they were with their SPI experience (Figure 13). Most respondents are likely or highly likely to return to the Island (96.7\%) in the future and were satisfied or very satisfied (95.5\%) with

Likely to return to SPI


Figure 12. Likelihood of returning to SPI in the future
their SPI experience. Only one respondent reported being 'somewhat dissatisfied' with their SPI experience.


Figure 13. Satisfaction with the SPI experience

Most respondents were also satisfied with the Sand Crab Run event (86.7\%). Although some were neutral about the event (13.3\%) none were 'dissatisfied' as seen in Figure 14.


Figure 14. SATISFACTION WITH EVENT

NOTE: Three respondents had suggestions for improving their stay on SPI. The comments

- Customers reception switching rooms
- Information on parking
- More people, and
- Repair potholes


## Respondent Demographics

The remainder of the study assessed respondent demographic characteristics.


Figure 15. Gender


Figure 16. Marital status


Figure 17. Educational attainment
In all, most study respondents had a full-time job (86.5\%) although $5.6 \%$ worked part-time as seen in Figure 18.


Figure 18. Employment status

Study participants in the SPI Sand Crab Run, in general, have higher household income levels than the general US population. Most (54.7\%) had a reported household income above \$50,000 (Figure 19).


Figure 19. Household income

Respondents were also asked to indicate their ethnicity, but could select as many ethnicities as appropriate. Results in Figure 20 show that most respondents considered themselves Hispanic (76.7\%), while with 18.9\% indicating being white.


Figure 20. Ethnicity

Finally, respondents were asked to indicate their home country and current residence zip/postal code. Most respondents reported the United States as their home country (90\%). About 7.8\% indicated being from Mexico as shown in Figure 21. The specific zip or postal codes of study respondents are listed in Appendix C and the zip codes of Sand Crab Run participants as provided by the event organizer are shown in Appendix D.


Figure 21. Home country

## Lodging manager's report

An email was sent to a listing of SPI lodging owner/managers, as provided by the SPI CVB. This email requested a response to the questions shown in Table 3 about SPI Sand Crab Run guests at their facility. In total, six owner/managers responded to the survey and the results and averages of those reporting statistics are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. SPI Lodging owner/manager responses

| QUESTION | AVERAGE | RANGE | COUNT |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To the best of your knowledge, about how many <br> different rooms did you rent to SPI Sand Crab Run <br> attendees? | 10.6 | 0 to 30 | 5 |
| On average, how many people attending the SPI Sand <br> Crab Run stayed in one room? | 4.8 | 0 to 10 | 4 |
| To the best of your knowledge, about how many nights <br> did most SPI Sand Crab Run attendees stay at your <br> lodging facility? | 3.5 | 0 to 10 | 4 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average <br> person attending the SPI Sand Crab Run spent per day <br> at your lodging facility on the following (round to the <br> nearest dollar): - Average room rate per night | $\$ 174$ | $\$ 125$ to \$240 | 4 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average <br> person attending the SPI Sand Crab Run spent per day <br> at your lodging facility on the following (round to the <br> nearest dollar): - Food per day | $\$ 28$ | $\$ 10$ to \$40 | 4 |
| Please estimate the amount of dollars the average <br> person attending the SPI Sand Crab Run spent per day <br> at your lodging facility on the following (round to the <br> nearest dollar): - Beverages | $\$ 32$ | $\$ 10$ to \$60 | 4 |
| In total, how many rooms does your facility have to <br> rent? | 132 | 10 to 256 | 5 |

The results indicate that an average of 10.6 rooms were rented per lodging facility, that Sand Crab Run attendees spent 3.5 nights with an average of 4.8 people per room. The average cost per room was $\$ 174$ and guests spent an average of $\$ 28$ on food and $\$ 32$ on beverages. However, the responding lodging managers may not be representative of SPI lodging units. Only five hotels responded to the survey and, of those, two had 30 or fewer rooms and two had more than 200 rooms. Thus, given the small number and uniqueness of responses, no conclusions can be made from the lodging managers' survey results.

Only one lodging managers provided comments about the event for SPI officials as follows:

- should be stand alone and not funded events with HOT tax.


## STR Report

Additional data to provide evidence about impact of an event on the SPI economy comes from the STR Destination Report provided to the SPI CVB. STR is a "global data benchmarking, analytics and marketplace insights" firm that gathers, analyzes and reports data from hotel owners/operators for benchmarking purposes. The Report includes data regarding hotel occupancy, average daily rate (ADR), revenue per available room (RevPAR), supply, demand, and revenue as provided by reporting SPI hotel owner/operators for last year as compared to this year. This data may be viewed in two ways. One way is to examine the trends over the past month to determine whether the hotel metrics changed during the Sand Crab Run event as compared to the rest of the month and the other way is to compare the metrics during the event time period to those of the same time period in the previous year.

The following figures show the hotel metrics for each day from April 1 through 28th (the month trend) for this year as well as for the same time period as last year (the year trend).

The occupancy rate for the Sand Crab Run evening of Saturday, April $28^{\text {th }}$ is $86 \%$. This rate is lower than last year's rate of $91.4 \%$ for the same day period last year but is much higher than the month-long occupancy rate of $57.7 \%$ for this year and $63.2 \%$ for last year as seen in the trends Figure 22.

Occupancy trends by day and by year


Figure 22. STR OCCUPANCY RATES BY DAY AND YEAR

Overall, the average daily rate (ADR) of rooms for the SPI Sand Crab Run night are higher than rates for most days in the month-long period for both this year and last year as shown in Figure
23. The ADR for the night in 2018 averages \$136.46, slightly higher than the ADR average of $\$ 132.23$ for the same day last year, but much higher than the month-long

## Average daily rate trends by day and by year


$\longrightarrow$ This Year Last Year

Figure 23. ADR trends by day and year average ADR of $\$ 101.23$ this year and of last years' month-long ADR of $\$ 109.89$.

Next, Figure 24 shows the revenue per available room (RevPAR) for the same month-long time period. The average RevPAR for the night of the Sand Crab Run is $\$ 117.43$, which is slightly below the average rate of $\$ 120.89$ experienced during the same day last year. However, the Sand Crab RevPAR is substantially above the month-to-date rate of $\$ 58.45$ for this year.

Revenue per available room trends by day and year


Figure 24. RevPar by day and year

For the month examined, Figure 25 shows the room demand trend. The average room demand for the night of the Sand Crab Run is 2,338 , which is $5.8 \%$ less than the demand during the same day last year.

However, the room demand for each day of Splash exceeded the month-long average daily room demand of 1,569 and last year's average daily demand rate of 1,715 room.


Figure 25. Demand trends by day and year

The average lodging revenue during the Sand Crab Run night was $\$ 319,052$, about $2.8 \%$ below the average revenue of $\$ 328,327$ for the same night last year. Nevertheless, the revenue for the Saturday night of the Sand Crab Run was also the peak revenue for the month as seen in Figure 26.


Figure 26. Revenue trends by day and year

Figure 27 summarizes the percent change in hotel occupancy, ADR, RevPAR, demand and revenue for the three-day period when Splash South Padre participants would have been spending the night on the Island.

## STR Hotel Trend data Comparison of same day this year to last April 28



Figure 27. STR hotel trend data 3-day comparison
In summary, all metrics except for the ADR for Saturday, April 28 ${ }^{\text {th }}$, the evening of the 2018 SPI Sand Crab Run, were below the same metrics for the same day last year. While two eventsSplash South Padre and the Sand Crab Beach Run-were both held during the same time period last year, other factors may have accounted for the better 2017 performance on the metrics examined. For example, in 2017 there were Bands On the Beach performances with live music and fireworks and on Saturday, April 29' 2017, an American Red Cross Centennial Gala was held on the Island.

> To summarize the STR data, all results indicate a decrease in occupancy, RevPar, demand and revenue for Saturday, April 28th , the day of 2018 SPI Sand Crab Run.

Note: The STR data is derived from 11 hotel owner/operator reporting data for this year and last year. This represents 35.5 \% of the census of 31 open hotels listed in the STR Census and 48.4\% of the hotel rooms listed, thus all results should be interpreted accordingly without a high degree of assurances of generalizability.

## Concluding remarks

This report has detailed the amount of money spent on South Padre Island by people associated with the 2018 South Padre Island (SPI) Sand Crab 5K \& 10K Nighttime Beach Run held on Saturday, April 28th. The results of the study were obtained by administering a short onsite survey which offered respondents an incentive to enter a drawing to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort. A total of 99 surveys were attempted but 9 responses were eliminated because of household duplication as were responses for people who were not specifically on the Island for the Sand Crab Run, resulting in 90 viable survey responses for about one-third of all estimated event attendee households.

Demographically, the study sample was comprised of predominately married females who were an average of 37 years-of-age, had at least some college education, were employed full-time, had a household income above $\$ 50,000$, and identify ethnically as Hispanic. Geographically, almost all respondents were from the US (90\%) although about $7.8 \%$ were from Mexico. The average number of miles traveled by survey participants to attend the event was 112 miles, with $59 \%$ spending an average of 1.43 nights on SPI.

By combining the actual number of people registered to participate in the Sand Crab Run with survey results, the SPI Sand Crab Run was estimated to have generated about 247 SPI room nights. With an average total weighted lodging expenditure per household of $\$ 240$, the Sand Crab Run attendees spent about \$70,459 for lodging in total, resulting in about \$10,238 in total Hotel Occupancy Tax , with $10.5 \%$, or $\$ 6,323$, the City's share. Moreover, other spending also contributed significantly to the taxes generated by the event attendees. The F\&B spending estimates of $\$ 35,509$ should have yielded $\$ 2,706$ in sales tax revenue at the $8.25 \%$ rate or $\$ 656$ for the City at a City tax rate of $2 \%$. The $\$ 66,696$ spent on other SPI purchases should yield the City $\$ 1,232$. Considering all spending, the City of SPI should have received $\$ 8,212$ in taxes, a $73.8 \%$ return on the $\$ 4,726$ cash investment provided to the event organizer.

While it is impossible to know the actual number of lodging rooms booked as a result of the SPI Sand Crab Run, the lodging manager's survey and the STR Destination Report data for the period supports the study's finding that the did have some affect on the number of rooms booked during the event night since that night had the highest rooms booked in the month, although the rooms booked were not weekend.

Gladly, most SPI Sand Crab Run survey participants are "promoters" in recommending SPI to others, are likely or extremely likely to return to SPI for a future vacation, and are satisfied with their overall SPI experience during the Sand Crab Run. This suggests that while the SPI Sand Crab Run resulted in significant direct spending during the event weekend, the overall SPI experience of the event attenders will likely result in many returning to the Island for future vacations.

## Appendix A



## South Padre Island Sand Crab 5K \& 10K Night Beach Run

South Padre Island, Texas
Saturday, April 28, 2018 - Sunday, April 29, 2018
$\stackrel{\text { ROwtregr }}{\text { SignMeUp. }}$
(0) SHARE E

Existing user? Log in
Questions? Contact the Administrator
Email: Click here to email the Administrator
Website: www.runintexas.com

## About This Event- Kid's Crab Mile Starts at 8PM, 5/10K 8:30PM

The Sand Crab, run entirely on sand, is a great way to get a little exercise under the stars on a beautiful South Padre Island Beach at night, have a couple of beers (with proper ID) while listening to great music with other runners on a beautiful spring night. The 5 K run is very walker fnendly with a 2 hr cut off. The 10 K is walkable but will share the 2 hr cut off. 10 K runners must be at the 3.1 mile turnaround within 1 hour. The Sand Crab will start in front of Pearl Resort Hotel on the beach and run north for 3.1 or 1.55 miles (depending on the event) and turn around at the water stop and head back south to the finish. The course will be lit with glow sticks and flashing lights. Our flashing lights only let runners know where the course is. Runners are required to run with a flashlight or head lamp in order to see their foot placement. Without a personal light you will not be able to see sand castle holes and other hazards. There will be 2 watertops with sports drink and water hit 2 X ea for the 10 K and 1 hit 2 X for the 5K. After finishing, runners will receive 2 cold cervezas (beers, with proper ID).

Benefitting Sea Turtle Inc
Please consider visiting and making a donation to this fine organization when on the island for the event. Thank youl

## EVENT HOTEL

Pearl Resort South Padre is our event hotel offering Sand Crab double or kingsize room rates of $\$ 169$ per night for Friday (April 27)/Saturday(April 28)OR Saturday only for $\$ 200 /$ night. First come first serve. Call 956-761-6551 and ask for the Sand Crab rate or go to http://www.pearlsouthpadre.com/

What you get for the dough.
All runners recieve as part of their entry: a well supported, well marked course and finish area, chip timing. technical shirt in male and female sizes, 2 cold beers (with proper ID) and a great post race party at Clayton's Beach Bar.

## Cut off Times

You will have 2 hrs to finish both races. That is a $19: 21$ minute mile for the 10 K and a $38: 42$ mile for the 5 K . If you can not walk this fast, please do not participate in this event. If you do not make the cut off times you will not appear in the results.

Awards for both races
5 K \& 10K-Overall top 1. Master ( 40 and up) top 1. Age group top 3: 29 and under, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60 and up.

## Parking

Parking at LaQuinta and Hilton is for guests only. Additional event parking is available across the street from La Quinta at SPI Convention Center and at Andy Bowie Park.

Packet Pickup
Packet pick up will be at Footworks in Harlingen on Thurs (11am-6pm) and Friday (10am-3pm) before the event and Pearl South Padre Hotel on South Padre on race day from 4-6pm.

If you plan on staying overnight (or longer) for Sand Crab SPI, where you will stay? This helps us plan future events.
$\square$

How many nights will you stay on South Padre Island?
$\square$

Who are you registering? Yourself $V$

Entry Fees
Kid's Mile- $\$ 20$ and $\$ 25$ on race day. ${ }^{* x \pi} 5 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 35$ through $3-30$, $\$ 45$ through 4-15, $\$ 55$ after that and $\$ 65$ on race day. ${ }^{* x \times} 10 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 40$ through $3-30, \$ 50$ through $4-15, \$ 60$ after that and $\$ 70$ on race day

## No Refunds

There are no refunds for this event or the bus trip from San Antonio. Please make sure you will be able to make on race day before signing up.
\$5 Military, Fire and Police Discount via mail in entry only-Copy of ID required
Go to www.runintexas.com, click Sand Crab tab and find downloadable pdf link for paper entry. Please have ID at packet pick up.

Please select a category:*
12 \& under Kid's Mile (kid's cotton tee) \$20.00
5K Run/Walk $\$ 45.00$

A portion of proceeds from this event go to Sea Turtle, Inc. If you would like to make an additional contribution, please list the amount here.

Amount $\$ 0.00$

## Waiver and Release

For and in consideration of USA Track \& Field, Inc. ("USA Track \& Field") allowing me, the undersigned, to participate in the USA Track \& Field sanctioned event described above (the "Event" or "Events"); I, for myself, and on behalf of my spouse, children, guardians, heirs and next of kin, and any legal and personal representatives, executors, administrators, successors and assigns, hereby agree to and make the following contractual representations pursuant to this Waiver and Release of Liability, Assumption of Risk and Indemnity Agreement (the "Agreement"); 1. I hereby represent that (i) I am at least eighteen (18) years of age or older; (ii) I am in good health and in proper physical condition to participate in the Event; and (iii) I am not under the influence of alcohol or any illicit or prescription drugs which would in any way impair my ability to safely participate in the Event. I agree that it is my sole responsibility to determine whether I am sufficiently fit and healthy enough to participate in the Event, that I am responsible for my own safety and well being at all times and under all circumstances while at the Event site. 2. I understand and acknowledge that participation in track \& field, road running, race walking, cross country, mountain, ultra, and trail running Events is inherently dangerous and represents an extreme test of a person's physical and mental limits. I understand and acknowledge the risks and dangers associated with participation in the Event and sport of track \& field and related activities, including without limitation, the potential for serious bodily injury, sickness and disease, permanent disability, paralysis and loss of life; loss of or damage to equipment/property; exposure to extreme conditions and circumstances; contact with other participants, spectators, animals or other natural or manmade objects; dangers arising from adverse weather conditions; imperfect course or track conditions; land, water and surface hazards; equipment failure; inadequate safety measures; participants of varying skill levels; situations beyond the immediate control of the Event Organizers; and other undefined, not readily foreseeable and presently unknown risks and dangers ("Risks"). I understand that these Risks may be caused in whole or in part by my own actions or inactions, the actions or inactions of others participating in the Event, or the negligent acts or omissions of the Released Parties defined below, and I hereby expressly assume all such Risks and responsibility for any damages, liabilities, losses or expenses which I incur as a result of my participation in any Event. 3. I agree to be familiar with and to abide by the Rules and Regulations established for the Event, including but not limited to the Competitive Rules adopted by USA Track \& Field and any safety regulations established for the benefit of all participants. I accept sole responsibility for my own conduct and actions while participating in the Event, and the condition and adequacy of my equipment. 4 . I hereby Release, Waive and Covenant Not to Sue, and further agree to Indemnify. Defend and Hold Harmless the following parties: USA Track \& Field, Inc., its members, member clubs, associations, sport disciplines and divisions; United States Olympic Committee (USOC); the Event Directors, Organizers and Promoters, Sponsors, Advertisers, Coaches and Officials; the Host Organization and the Facility. Venue and Property Owners or Operators upon which the Event takes place; Law Enforcement Agencies and other Public Entities providing support for the Event; and each of their respective parent, subsidiary and affiliated companies, officers, directors, partners, shareholders, members, agents, employees and volunteers (Individually and Collectively, the "Released Parties" or "Event Organizers"). with respect to any liability, claim(s), demand(s), cause(s) of action, damage(s), loss or expense (including court costs and reasonable attorneys fees) of any kind or nature ("Liability") which may arise out of, result from, or relate in any way to my participation in the Event, including claims for Liability caused in whole or in part by the negligent acts or omissions of the Released Parties. I further agree that if, despite this Agreement, I, or anyone on my behalf, makes a claim for Liability against any of the Released Parties, I will indemnify, defend and hold hammless each of the Released Parties from any such Liabilities which any may be incurred as the result of such claim. I hereby warrant that I am of legal age and competent to enter into this Agreement, that I have read this Agreement carefully, understand its terms and conditions, acknowledge that I will be giving up substantial legal rights by signing it (including the rights of my spouse, children, guardians, heirs and next of kin, and any legal and personal representatives, executors, administrators, successors and assigns), acknowledge that I have signed this Agreement without any inducement, assurance or guarantee, and intend for my signature to serve as confirmation of my complete and unconditional acceptance of the terms, conditions and provisions of this Agreement. This Agreement represents the complete understanding between the parties regarding these issues and no oral representations, statements or inducements have been made apart from this Agreement. If any provision of this Agreement is held to be unlawful, void, or for any reason unenforceable, then that provision shall be deemed severable from this Agreement and shall not affect the validity and enforceability of any remaining provisions.

## Appendix B: Survey

## SPI Sand Crab 5K/10K Beach Run, April 28, 2018

This survey is to understand your household experience and spending during the South Padre Island Sand Crab Run. The one person, older than 18, best able to report on spending for all people in your household at the Sand Crab Run should complete this survey. Responses are very important to planning future events. As a thank you, you may enter a drawing for a 2 -night stay at the Schlitterbahn Beach Resort. Responses are confidential and individual information will not be included in survey results or shared with others. Contact the Business and Tourism Research Center at UTRGV at businessresearch@utrgv.edu or call 956.665.2829 for questions.

1. Have you or someone else in your household already completed this survey? YYes No If yes, please return this survey. We thank you!
2. Did you come to South Padre Island specifically for the Sand Crab Beach Run? Yes No: If no, return this survey. Thanks!
3. About how many miles did you travel to attend the SPI Sand Crab Beach Run? miles
4. Which of the following best describes your participation in the SPI Sand Crab Beach Run (Check all that apply)?

| Registered runner | Event volunteer/staff |
| :--- | :--- |
| Spectator | Did not attend |
| Other |  |

5. Including yourself, how many people from your household attended the Sand Crab Run? $\qquad$ Number in household
6. How many nights did you (or will you) spend on South Padre Island while attending the Sand Crab Run? $\qquad$ nights
7. Where are you staying (or did stay) while on South Padre Island for the SPI Sand Crab Run? - Hotel/motel (1) Rented condo/beach house (2) - Campground/RV park (3)
(3) Rented a room (4) $\square$ Friend/family's home (5) $\qquad$ My own SPI residence (7) - Other (please specify) $\qquad$ (6)
8. For each of the following types of expenses, please give your best estimate of the total amount you and your household spent (or will spend) during your entire time on South Padre Island for the Sand Crab run. (List only the total dollar amounts spent on SPI) Food \& beverages (restaurants, concessions,
snacks, etc.) Night clubs, lounges \& bars (cover charges,
drinks, etc.)
Lodging expenses (hotel, motel, condo, room) Local attractions \& entertainment
(fishing, snorkeling, kayaking, etc.)
Retail shopping (souvenirs, gifts, film, etc.)
Transportation (gas, oil, taxi, etc.)

## Parking fees

SPI Admission fees
Clothing or accessories
Groceries
Other (please specify)
Total
\$
$\$$
\$
$\$$

5
5
\$
s
$\$$
5
$\$$
5

On a scale from 0-10, how likely are you to recommend South Padre Island as a place to visit to a friend or colleague?

## Not at

all likely
10. How likely are you to return to South Padre Island for a vacation at some time in the future?
$\square$ Extremely likely Somewhat likely Neutral Somewhat unlikely Extremely unlikely
11. How satisfied are you with your overall South Padre Island experience?
$\square$ Extremely satisfied somewhat satisfied Neutral Isomewhat dissatisfied Extremely dissatisfied
12. How satisfied are you with the Sand Crab Run?
$\square$ Extremely satisfied Somewhat satisfied Neutral Isomewhat dissatisfied Extremely dissatisfied
13. What suggestions do you have for improving either the Sand Crab Run or your stay on South Padre Island? (write on back)
14. What is your home zip or postal code? $\qquad$ code
15. What is vour home countrv?
$\qquad$
16. What is your age? $\qquad$ (years of age)
17. What is your gender? Male Female
18. What is your marital status?
$\square$ Married USingle Widowed Divorced/separated
19. What is your highest educational attainment?
$\square$ Less than high school -Associate's degree
$\square$ High school graduate -Bachelor's degree
$\square$ Some college, no degree Graduate/professional degree
20. What is your current employment status?

Whork full-time Retired within past year
Whork part-time Retired more than 1 year
UUnemployed (looking for a job) -Other (Please specify)
21. What is your combined annual household income?

| Dless than $\$ 20,000$ | $\square \$ 60 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 69,999$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square \$ 20 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 29,999$ | $\square \$ 70 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 79,999$ |
| $\square \$ 30 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 39,999$ | $\square \$ 80 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 99,999$ |
| $\square \$ 40 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 49,999$ | $\square \$ 100 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 149,999$ |
| $\square \$ 50 \mathrm{~K}-\$ 59,999$ | $\square \$ 150,000$ or more |

22. What is your ethnicity? (Select all that apply)

| $\square$ White |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Black | $\square$ Asian | $\square$ Mixed |
| $\square$ Other |  |  |

Enter the drawing for a 2-night stay at the Schlitterbahn Beach Resort Contact information is confidential and will be deleted after the drawing. Name
Phone number:
Email: $\qquad$
Winners will be notified no later than 2 weeks after event.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH!!

## Appendix C: Respondents' current zip or postal codes

| 22898 | 78388 | 78537 | 78559 | 78583 | 78748 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 54929 | 78393 | 78538 | 78566 | 78586 | 78910 |
| 77064 | 78396 | 78539 | 78570 | 78589 | 78951 |
| 78118 | 78413 | 78540 | 78572 | 78591 | 79118 |
| 78247 | 78501 | 78541 | 78573 | 78595 | 88743 |
| 78253 | 78503 | 78542 | 78574 | 78596 |  |
| 78264 | 78504 | 78543 | 78576 | 78597 |  |
| 78352 | 78526 | 78550 | 78577 | 78599 |  |
| 78363 | 78528 | 78552 | 78578 | 78745 |  |

Appendix D: Sponsor's report of registrants' current zip or postal codes

| 7311 | 78247 | 78550 | 88740 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 44622 | 78251 | 78552 | 88743 |
| 49464 | 78253 | 78557 | 95608 |
| 54636 | 78254 | 78559 | 78574 |
| 55423 | 78255 | 78566 | 78589 |
| 59427 | 78258 | 78570 | $78 t 94$ |
| 61072 | 78259 | 78572 |  |
| 61088 | 78332 | 78573 |  |
| 61611 | 78363 | 78574 |  |
| 75035 | 78383 | 78575 |  |
| 75137 | 78413 | 78577 |  |
| 76522 | 78418 | 78578 |  |
| 77003 | 78501 | 78580 |  |
| 77023 | 78502 | 78586 |  |
| 77044 | 78503 | 78589 |  |
| 77069 | 78504 | 78593 |  |
| 77070 | 78516 | 78596 |  |
| 77073 | 78520 | 78597 | 78599 |
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## Executive Summary and Survey Highlights

This report details the measured economic impact of the 2018 Splash South Padre held from Thursday, April $26^{\text {th }}$ through Sunday, April 29th. Promoted by Globalgroove Events with $\$ 25,000$ funding support from the SPI Convention and Visitors Bureau (CVB), organizers originally (November 14, 2016) expected to attract 4,000 people with 2,000 staying on SPI over four days. To examine the spending of the SPI Splash South Padre participants on SPI, a short survey incentivized with the opportunity to enter a drawing to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort was conducted. The survey was administered onsite with a total of 222 contacts but 31 surveys were from duplicate households or people not on the Island for the event. This yielded 191 responses from unique households on the Island specifically for Splash South Padre.

Demographically, the Splash South Padre study sample had an average age of 34 years, was predominately male (65.1\%), single (70.9\%), with at least some college education (81.9\%), works full-time (81.4\%) and was primarily Hispanic (78.4\%). Only about 39\% of the sample reported having a household income above $\$ 50,000$. Survey respondents were primarily from the US (87.9\%) although $11.1 \%$ were from Mexico. On average, survey participants traveled 201 miles, accompanied by an average of 2.33 people, and spent 2.48 nights on SPI during the 4 -day event. A large percentage (85\%) of survey respondents are considered promoters of the Island to others, resulting in a net promoter score of 82.8 and are likely to return to SPI for a future vacation (93.7\%). Most respondents were satisfied with their SPI stay experience (96.3\%) and with the event (93.5\%).

Importantly, the survey analysis found that 269 household groups attended the 2018 Splash South Padre event and spent an estimated average of \$722 per household while on the Island for a total of $\$ 194,088$. Separately, lodging is the highest per household expenditure category with $88 \%$ of study respondents spending at least one night of paid lodging on the Island and staying an average of 2.48 nights. This resulted in about 591 total room nights, most of which were in hotels (75.7\%). With the average weighted lodging expenditure of $\$ 290$ per household
that spent the night on the Island, revenue from lodging was a total of $\$ 77,877$ inclusive of HOT. Of this total, the $17 \%$ HOT was $\$ 11,315$, and $10.5 \%$ of that, or about $\$ 6,989$, goes to the City. This amount accrued from the HOT represents a negative return of $72 \%$ on the $\$ 25,000$ invested in the event. However, the estimated total spending on food and beverage of \$39,416 resulted in about $\$ 3,004$ in taxes at the $8.25 \%$ rate or $\$ 728$ at the City $2 \%$ tax rate. Money spent in all other categories amounted to $\$ 76,795$. resulting in total tax revenue of $\$ 5,853$, of which $\$ 1,419$ was the City's share. Al together, the City's share of all tax revenue was $\$ 9,136$, which represents a deficit of $\$ 15,864$ or a $63.5 \%$ loss on the $\$ 25,000$ cash investment provided to the SPI Splash South Padre organizer by SPI as shown in the table below.

## Summary of Key Performance Indicators (KPI)

| KPI | Result | Description of KPI | Page |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CVB investment | $\$ 25,000$ | Amount of funding provided by CVB to event <br> promoter | P1 |
| Total spending | $\$ 194,088$ | Total spent by event households | Table 3, P9 |
| Average spent per <br> household | $\$ 722$ | Weighted average spent per household | Table 3, P9 |
| Number of <br> households | 269 | Number of households at event | P5 |
| Number in household | 2.3 | Number of people in household group at event | Figure 5, P7 |
| Nights on SPI | 2.5 | Average number of nights spent on SPI | Figure 5, P7 |
| Lodging tax | $\$ 6,989$ | City share of HOT revenue: 10.5\% of 17\% HOT | Table 4, P11 |
| F\&B sales tax | $\$ 728$ | City share of total tax collected from F\&B <br> spending: 2\% of 8.25\% of total sales tax | Table 4, P11 |
| Other sales tax | $\$ 1,419$ | City share of total sales tax revenue | Table 4, P11 |
| Total City tax share | $\$ 9,136$ | Total City tax revenue from event | Table 4, P11 |
| Total tax ROI | $-63.5 \%$ | Return on CVB investment considering all taxes | Table 4, P11 |
| Lodging only ROI | $-72.0 \%$ | Return on CVB investment considering HOT only | Table 4, P11 |
| Net Promoter Score | 82.8 | Measure of customer loyalty; calculated as <br> identified promoters less detractors | Figure 7, P12 |
| Likely to return | $93.7 \%$ | Percent somewhat or extremely likely to return <br> to SPI | Figure 8, P12 |
| Satisfied with SPI | $96.3 \%$ | Percent somewhat or extremely satisfied with SPI | Figure 9, P13 |
| Satisfied with event | $93.5 \%$ | Percent satisfied with event | Figure 10, P13 |
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## Splash South Padre: Economic Impact

## Introduction

The Splash South Padre event consisted of four days of scheduled events held at the host hotel, Upper Deck Hotel \& Event Venue and other venues:

- Thursday, April $26^{\text {th }}$ - Welcome party
- 5:00pm to 2:00am at the Upper Deck Hotel \& Event Venue
- Friday, April $27^{\text {th }}-$ Wet $n$ Wild Pool Party
- 12noon to 6:00pm at the Upper Deck Hotel \& Event Venue
- 9:00pm to 2:00am Carnival at Clayton's Beach Bar
- Saturday, April $28{ }^{\text {th }}$
- 12noon to 6:00pm Wet n Wild Pool Party at the Upper Deck Hotel \& Event Venue
- 6:00pm to 8:00pm - Splash Party Cruise
- 9:00pm to 2:00am A Night in White at Louie's Backyard
- Sunday, April 29 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ - Parade and Farewell Party
- 12noon - A Splash of color Pride Beach Parade, commencing at 2:30pm. From Clayton's Beach Bar to Upper Deck Hotel \& Event Venue
- 12noon to 2:00am Farewell Party at the Upper Deck Hotel \& Event Venue.

The Splash South Padre was organized by Globalgroove Events and Paul Magee which received $\$ 25,000$ from the SPI Convention and Visitors Bureau (CVB) to help fund the event. The organizers expected to spend funds on newspaper, radio, TV, website, social media and other paid advertising. These marketing efforts were expected to reach prospective attendees in the U.S. and Mexico. The organizer expected that $65 \%$ of the total event costs would be covered by Hotel Occupancy Tax (HOT). The last report of Splash event results was in 2016 and indicated that 813 hotel rooms were rented for event attendees.

## Method

To estimate the economic impact of the 2018 Splash South Padre (Splash Padre), UTRGV researchers administered surveys (see Appendix A) among Splash Padre attendees on SPI on Saturday, April $28^{\text {th }}$ and Sunday, April $29^{\text {th }}$ at four different venues. As an incentive, survey respondents were offered a chance to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort and were also offered other promotional products provided by the CVB which substantially helped to recruit respondents. Respondents were asked to complete the survey by paper although some event participants were given note cards (see Figure 1) inviting online survey participation.

## URRoGrandeValley

## Splash South Padre

For a chance to win 2 nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort, complete a short survey at www.utrgv.edu/SplashPadre Or scan the QR code to access


Deadline May 1st.

Note: The one person most able to report on spending for all people in your
household at the event should complete this survey.

Figure 1. Online note cards

## Interviews

A total of 21 trained interviewers, a project manager, a supervisor and a drone operator attended Splash Padre during events on Saturday, April $28^{\text {th }}$ and Sunday, April $29^{\text {th }}$. On Saturday, 17 interviewers, the project manager and a supervisor were at the Upper Deck Hotel venue from 1:00pm to 4:30pm. Three interviewers and the supervisor also sought survey respondents at the launch of the


Splash Cruise event on Saturday from about
5:30pm until 6:15pm. On Sunday, five interviewers were at Clayton's Beach Bar from noon until 2:00pm for the beginning of the Splash parade and five proceeded on to the Upper Deck Hotel venue for the parade's conclusion and the closing pool party from 2:00pm to 4:00pm. All interviewers were highly visible by wearing bright orange t-shirts and
visors. Interviewers approached potential respondents in a professional manner and distributed hard copies of the
 questionnaire on
clipboards to facilitate survey administration or were given a note card with a link to the online survey


Figure 2. Drone picture at Upper Deck
Altogether, this methodology yielded 222 surveys although 18 were deleted as from duplicate households and 13 were omitted given that those respondents were not on the Island for Splash Padre event. This left 191 useable responses. No responses were submitted online.


## Estimated attendance

Knowing the number of people attending any event is crucial to estimating the economic impact of the event. Accordingly, the event organizer provided a listing of the names, zip codes and ticket types of event registrants. A total of 269 registered to purchase 467 tickets with 154 or $57.2 \%$ tickets for weekend events and 115 or $42.8 \%$ tickets for events over the four-day event period. Because the main events at which the interviews were conducted were private, ticketed events, the number of households attending Splash South Padre is assumed to be 269, the number of people purchasing tickets for the event. As Table 1 shows, most registrants purchased two tickets, with most purchasing either one ticket (43.5\%) or two (47.2\%).

Table 1. Number of people purchasing by number of tickets bought

| Number of tickets per <br> person | Number of people <br> purchasing | \% purchasing by tickets <br> purchased |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 117 | $43.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 127 | $47.2 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 12 | $4.5 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 | $3.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 2 | $0.7 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 1 | $0.4 \%$ |
| Total | 467 |  |

## Results

The onsite interviewers attempted to interview almost every different household encountered during the Splash South Padre event over two days in three different venues. In all, they interviewed 222 individuals but 31 surveys were omitted as being from the same household as another interviewee or as not having come to the Island for Splash. Given the estimate in this study of 269 households attending Splash, only 72 households were not interviewed. Thus, the interview response rate was $75.5 \%$, which is sufficient to be at least $95 \%$ confident that the results vary by plus or minus $3.26 \%$.

## Survey participants and SPI stay characteristics

The following results are for all 191 unduplicated survey respondents who came to SPI specifically to attend Splash South Padre.

## Miles traveled, group size and stay characteristics

Survey respondents were asked to indicate the number of miles traveled to the event, how many people were in their household, how many nights they spent on SPI, and where they spent the night while at Splash South Padre. Data featured in Figure 4 shows that, on average, study participants traveled 201 miles to attend the event, although distances traveled ranged

Miles traveled, number in household and nights spent on SPI


Figure 4. Average miles traveled, group size, and nights spent on SPI
from 0 to 2,000 miles. Figure 4 also shows that an average of 2.33 people were in each household although the number per household ranged from 1 to 15 . The average number of nights spent on SPI for Splash South Padre is 2.48 nights with a range of 0 to 6 nights.

Figure 5 breaks down the number of nights spent on SPI and shows that almost all respondents spent the night on the Island, perhaps because the event was over four days and because some major events involved evening and nightlife performances. As shown in Figure 5, most respondents spent two (34.8\%) or three nights (26.2\%) on the Island.


Figure 5. Percentage spending the night on SPI
Figure 6 shows the types of lodging used by Splash South Padre attendees while on the Island. All but four respondents indicated spending a night on the Island. Most (75.7\%) stayed in a


Figure 6. Lodging type used
hotel/motel room, while $10.6 \%$ rented a condominium or beach house, a room (5.8\%), or
stayed at their own SPI residents (2.6\%) or some other accommodation, including sleeping in their car (5.2\%).

At the request of the event sponsor, respondents were asked to name the place they spent the night while on the Island. Results, shown below in Table 2, indicate that the Inn at South Padre was the most popular single hotel identified, with $30.2 \%$ of respondents indicating this chosen location.

Table 2. Stay location

| Hotel location | \% respondents staying at hotel |
| :--- | ---: |
| The Inn at South Padre | $30.2 \%$ |
| Upper Deck | $17.2 \%$ |
| Rented a condominium or beach house | $17.2 \%$ |
| Rented a room | $9.5 \%$ |
| Own SPI residence | $4.3 \%$ |
| Flamingo | $4.3 \%$ |
| Ramada | $2.6 \%$ |
| Sun Chase Suites | $2.6 \%$ |
| Super 8 | $1.7 \%$ |
| Casa Bella | $1.7 \%$ |
| Hilton Garden Inn | $1.7 \%$ |
| Holiday Inn Express | $1.7 \%$ |
| La Copa | $1.7 \%$ |
| La Quinta | $1.7 \%$ |
| Coral | $0.9 \%$ |
| Executive Inn | $0.9 \%$ |

## Estimated spending

Study respondents were asked to identify how much money they spent in various expenditure categories. The total average reported expenditure by category was then multiplied by the percentage of respondents who reported spending in that expense category to arrive at the average weighted spending per expense category. For example, the results, shown in Table 3, indicate that the average amount spent on lodging for the stay duration was $\$ 327$ with a weighted average of $\$ 290$ when considering that $88 \%$ of respondent households spent money on lodging on the Island. Note that lodging was assumed to be stated without HOT so was adjusted upward by $17 \%$ to add in HOT. All other expenditure amounts are assumed to be stated as inclusive of sales taxes. In total, Splash South Padre households spent a total average of $\$ 194,088$ with an average spending of $\$ 722$ per household for the time spent on South Padre Island for the 2018 Splash South Padre as seen in Table 3.

Table 3. Total average weighted spending

| Expenditure category | Total average | \% spending in category | Weighted spending | Spending per household |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Food \& Beverages | \$ 147 | 1.00 | \$147 | \$ | 39,416 |
| Night life | \$ 159 | 0.79 | \$126 | \$ | 33,855 |
| Lodging | \$ 327 | 0.88 | \$290 | \$ | 77,877 |
| Attraction entertainment | \$ 88 | 0.22 | \$ 19 | \$ | 5,205 |
| Retail | \$ 72 | 0.31 | \$ 22 | \$ | 6,048 |
| Transportation | \$ 58 | 0.63 | \$ 36 | \$ | 9,799 |
| Parking | \$ 32 | 0.08 | \$ 3 | \$ | 682 |
| Admission fees | \$ 52 | 0.27 | \$ 14 | \$ | 3,842 |
| Clothing | \$ 81 | 0.31 | \$ 25 | \$ | 6,690 |
| Groceries | \$ 62 | 0.38 | \$ 24 | \$ | 6,329 |
| Other | \$ 206 | 0.08 | \$ 16 | \$ | 4,345 |
| Total | \$1,282 |  | \$ 722 | \$ | 194,088 |


#### Abstract

The estimated direct spending on South Padre Island as attributed to the 2018 Splash South Padre is $\$ \mathbf{1 9 4 , 0 8 8}$ within a confidence interval of plus or minus $3.26 \%$ or $\$ 6,327$ given the assumptions of a random sample selection. This spending resulted in total tax revenue of about \$20,172 with $\$ 9,136$ as the City's share. This represents a $63.5 \%$ loss on the $\$ 25,000$ investment made by the CVB to the event organizer when considering all of the City's share of taxes.


## Spending on food \& beverage and lodging

The survey results indicated $88 \%$ of respondents spent an average of $\$ 147$ per household for food and beverages (F\&B) (see Table 3, p9). This means that Splash South Padre attendees spent a total weighted average of $\$ 39,416$ on F\&B. With an $8.25 \%$ tax rate, this amount resulted in about $\$ 3,004$ in total sales tax collected from F\&B spending, of which $\$ 728$ is the City's 2\% tax rate share.

The survey results found that $88 \%$ of respondents spent an average of $\$ 327$ including HOT for a weighted average spending of $\$ 290$ per household on lodging over an average of 2.48 nights (see Figure 4, p6) spent on SPI for Splash South Padre. These statistics indicate that the event generated about 591 room nights for a total of about $\$ 77,877$ spent on lodging (see Table 3, p9). This amount of spending results in HOT revenues of about $\$ 11,315$ at a $17 \%$ HOT tax rate or $\$ 6,989$ to the City of South Padre Island for their $10.5 \%$ share of the HOT taxes collected.

## Splash South Padre attenders accounted for 591 room nights and spent

 $\$ 77,877 \pm \$ 2,539$ on lodging while on the Island for the event.The CVB provided $\$ 25,000$ cash to the Splash South Padre organizer. For this investment, the city of South Padre Island should recover $10.5 \%$ of the HOT tax or $\$ 6,989$, a $72 \%$ loss on the investment. However, total other spending by event attendee households on the Island
generated a total tax revenue of $\$ 8,857$ with $\$ 2,147$ as the City's share. Thus, the total return from tax revenue to the City as a result of the Splash South Padre is estimated at $\$ 9,136$. As shown in Table 2, the return from tax revenue is $\$ 15,864$ below the $\$ 25,000$ invested in the event; a loss on the investment of $63.5 \%$.

Table 4. Spending, tax revenue and ROI

| Spending category | Amount <br> spent | Tax rate | Total sales <br> tax | City's \% <br> share | City's \$ <br> share | ROI on <br> \$25,000 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lodging | $\$ 77,877$ | $17 \%$ | $\$ 11,315$ | $10.5 \%$ | $\$ 6,989$ | $-72.0 \%$ |
| Food \& Beverage | $\$ 39,416$ | $8.25 \%$ | $\$ 3,004$ | $2 \%$ | $\$ 728$ |  |
| All other | $\$ 76,795$ | $8.25 \%$ | $\$ 5,853$ | $2 \%$ | $\$ 1,419$ |  |
| Totals | $\$ 194,088$ |  | $\$ 20,172$ |  | $\$ 9,136$ | $-63.5 \%$ |

In summary, the taxes accrued to the City of South Padre Island as a result of the 2018 Splash South Padre is estimated at $\$ 9,136 \pm 3.26 \%$ for a net loss on the $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 , 0 0 0}$ investment of $\mathbf{\$ 1 5 , 8 6 4}$ or -63.5\%.

## The SPI Experience

The next section of the survey asked respondents about their stay on SPI. In this section, the "net promoter" question was used to determine how likely survey respondents are to recommend SPI as a place to visit to friends or colleagues. The results, shown in Figure 7, indicate that most study respondents (85\%)

are promoters of SPI Figure 7. Net promoter score
while only $2.2 \%$ are detractors. This yields a net promoter score (NPS) of 82.8 , which is very good. For example, the hotel industry has a NPS of 39 (www.netpromoter.com/compare).

Respondents also indicated how likely they are to return to SPI for a future vacation (Figure 8) and how satisfied overall they were with their SPI experience (Figure 9) and with the event (Figure 10). Most respondents were somewhat or


Figure 8. Likelihood of returning to SPI in the future extremely likely to return to the Island ( $93.7 \%$ ) in the future, were somewhat or extremely satisfied ( $96.3 \%$ ) with their SPI experience, and most were satisfied with the Splash South Padre event (93.5\%).


Figure 10. Satisfaction with the SPI experience


Figure 9. Satisfaction with event

## NOTE: Some respondents suggested improving their stay on SPI as follows:

- \#13 different events Better ML Louder music (city ord)
- Bring uber services to the island
- Did not contact anyone that headliner was not attending. False advertising. Blocked review section online. Wants refund
- Drag Queen did not come
- Glass bottles, no tickets
- More latin music please
- More parking
- If an entertainer cannot make it, let the public know in advance. Especially if it is a famous one. People pay to see them.
- Need more entertainers to motivate people to participate.
- Parking and traffic
- Uber never showed up, better transportation, shuffles to and from events


## Respondent Demographics

The remainder of the study assessed respondent demographic characteristics.

The average age of all respondents was 34 years-of-age although ages ranged from 19 to 78.

Most respondents self-identified as male (65.1\%) and single (70.9\%), and had at least some college (81.9\%) as shown in Figures 11, 12, and 13, respectively.

Gender


Figure 11. Gender
Marital status


Figure 12. Marital status


[^2]Most study respondents had a full-time job (81.4\%), although 10.1\% worked part-time and 4.3\% were retired as seen in Figure 14.


Figure 14. Employment status

Study participants in the SPI Splash South Padre, in general, have an average-to-somewhat lower household income levels than the general US population. Only (39.0\%) reported having a household income above \$50,000 (Figure 15).


Figure 15. Household income

Respondents were also asked to indicate their ethnicity, but could select as many ethnicities as appropriate. Results in Figure 20 show that most respondents considered themselves Hispanic (78.4\%), while 16.2\% indicated being white.


Figure 16. Ethnicity
Finally, respondents were asked to indicate their home country and current residence zip/postal code. Most respondents reported the United States as their home country (87.9\%) and $11.1 \%$ indicated being from Mexico as shown in Figure 17. One respondent surveyed was from El Salvador and one from Romania.


Figure 17. Home country
The specific zip or postal codes of study respondents are listed in Appendix B and the zip codes of Splash participants as provided by the event organizer are shown in Appendix C.

## Lodging manager's report

An email was sent to a listing of SPI lodging owner/managers, as provided by the SPI CVB. This email requested a response to the questions shown in Table 4 about Splash South Padre guests at their facility. In total, five owner/managers responded to the survey and the results and averages of those reporting statistics are shown in the Table.

Table 5. SPI Lodging owner/manager responses


#### Abstract

QUESTION AVERAGE RANGE COUNT


To the best of your knowledge, about how many different rooms did you rent to Splash South Padre attendees?

On average, how many people attending the Splash South Padre stayed in one room?

To the best of your knowledge, about how many nights did most Splash South Padre attendees stay at 1.67 0 to 10

3 your lodging facility?

Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person attending the Splash South Padre spent per day at your lodging facility on the following (round to the nearest dollar): - Average room rate per night

Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person attending the Splash South Padre $t$ spent per day at your lodging facility on the following (round to the nearest dollar): - Food per day

Please estimate the amount of dollars the average person attending the Splash South Padre spent per day at your lodging facility on the following (round to the nearest dollar): - Beverages

In total, how many rooms does your facility have to rent?
72.33

10 to 256
5

The results indicate that only three of the five responding hotels rented a room to a Splash attendee with the number of rooms ranging from 5 to 15 . On average, lodging managers reported that Splash attendees spent 1.67 nights with an average of 3.33 people per room. The average cost per room was $\$ 168$ and guests spent an average of $\$ 26.67$ on food and $\$ 35$ on beverages. However, the responding lodging managers do not appear to be representative of SPI lodging units. For example, three of the two of the responses came from facilities that reported having a 30 or fewer rooms for rent and two had more than 200 rooms for rent. Thus, given the small number and uniqueness of responses, no conclusions can be made from the lodging managers' survey results.

## STR Report

Additional data to provide evidence about impact of an event on the SPI economy comes from the STR Destination Report provided to the SPI CVB. STR is a "global data benchmarking, analytics and marketplace insights" firm that gathers, analyzes, and reports data from hotel owners/operators for benchmarking purposes. The Report includes data regarding hotel occupancy, average daily rate (ADR), revenue per available room (RevPAR), supply, demand, and revenue as provided by reporting SPI hotel owner/operators for last year as compared to this year. This data may be viewed in two ways. One way is to examine the trends over the past month to determine whether the hotel metrics changed during the Splash South Padre event as compared to the rest of the month and the other way is to compare the metrics during the event time period to those of the same time period in the previous year.

The following figures show the hotel metrics for each day from April 1 through 28th (the month trend) for this year as well as for the same time period as last year (the year trend).

The occupancy rates for the Splash weekend from Thursday, April $26^{\text {th }}$ through Saturday, April $28^{\text {th }}$ are $58 \%, 78.7 \%$ and $86.1 \%$, respectively, for an average rate of $74.2 \%$. This rate is lower than last year's rate of $76.1 \%$ for the same day period but is much higher than the month-long


Figure 18. STR OCCUPANCY RATES BY DAY AND YEAR

Overall, the average daily rate (ADR) of rooms during the Splash South Padre period are higher than rates for most days in the month-long period for both this year and last year as shown in

Figure 19. The
ADR for the days of Splash in 2018 averages $\$ 115.20$, slightly higher than the ADR of $\$ 113.63$ for the same day period last year, but much higher than the month-long

Average daily rate trends by day and by year


Figure 19. ADR trends by day and year average ADR of $\$ 101.23$ this year and of last years' month-long ADR of $\$ 109.89$.

Next, Figure 20 shows the revenue per available room (RevPAR) for the same month-long time period. The average RevPAR for the three days of Splash is $\$ 87.76$, which is slightly below the month average of $\$ 88.67$ experienced during the same day-period last year. However, the Splash RevPAR is also substantially above the month-to-date rate of $\$ 58.45$ for this year.

Revenue per available room trends by day and year


Figure 20. RevPar by day and year

For the time period examined, Figure 21 shows the room demand trend. The average room demand for the three nights of Splash is 2,017, which is $2.1 \%$ less than the demand during the same three-day period last year.

## Demand trends by day and year

However, the room demand for each day of Splash
exceeded the


1,569 and last
Figure 21. Demand trends by day and year year's average
daily demand rate of 1,715 room.

The average lodging revenue during the three days of Splash was $\$ 238,442$, about $1.2 \%$ below the same three-day total revenue of $\$ 240,832$ last year. Nevertheless, the revenue for the peak day of Splash, Saturday, was $\$ 319,052$ was also this year's peak revenue for the month as seen in Figure 22.

## Revenue trends by day and year



Figure 22. Revenue trends by day and year

Figure 23 summarizes the percent change in hotel occupancy, ADR, RevPAR, demand and revenue for the three-day period when Splash South Padre participants would have been spending the night on the Island.


Figure 23. STR hotel trend data 3-day comparison
In summary, all metrics on Thursday, April $26^{\text {th }}$ of 2018 Splash South Padre were below the same time in the prior year but were significantly above last year's numbers only for Friday, April $27^{\text {th }}$ and for ADR on Saturday, April 28th. While two events-Splash South Padre and the Sand Crab Beach Run-were both held during the same time period last year, other factors may have accounted for the better 2017 performance on the metrics examined. For example, in 2017 there were Bands On the Beach performances with live music and fireworks and on Saturday, April 28' 2017, an American Red Cross Centennial Gala was held on the Island.

> To summarize the STR data, all results indicate a significant increase in occupancy, RevPar, demand, and revenue only for Friday, April $\mathbf{2 7}^{\text {th }}$, the second day of 2018 Splash South Padre.

Note: The STR data is derived from 11 hotel owner/operator reporting data for this year and last year. This represents $35.5 \%$ of the census of 31 open hotels listed in the STR Census and $48.4 \%$ of the hotel rooms listed, thus all results should be interpreted accordingly without a high degree of assurances of generalizability.

## Concluding remarks

This report details the amount of money spent on South Padre Island by attendees of Splash South Padre held from Thursday, April $26^{\text {th }}$ through Sunday, April $29^{\text {th }}, 2018$. The results of the study were obtained by administering a short onsite survey, which offered respondents an incentive to enter a drawing to win two nights at Schlitterbahn Beach Resort. A total of 222 surveys were completed but 31 responses were eliminated because of household duplication as were responses from people who were not specifically on the Island for Splash South Padre, resulting in 191 viable survey responses from about $71 \%$ of all estimated event attendee households.

Demographically, the study sample was comprised of predominately single males who were an average of 34 years-of-age, had at least some college education, were employed full-time, had a household income below $\$ 50,000$, and identify ethnically as Hispanic. Geographically, almost all respondents were from the US (87.9\%) although about $11.1 \%$ were from Mexico. The average number of miles traveled by survey participants to attend the event was 201 miles, with $88 \%$ spending an average of 2.48 nights on SPI.

By combining the actual number of people registered to participate in the Splash South Padre with survey results, Splash South Padre generated about 591 SPI room nights. With an average weighted lodging expenditure per household of $\$ 290$, inclusive of HOT, event attendees spent about $\$ 77,877$ for lodging in total, resulting in about $\$ 11,315$ in total Hotel Tax with $10.5 \%$, or $\$ 6,989$, the City's $10.5 \%$ share.. Considering only the HOT revenue, the event resulted in a net loss of $72 \%$ on the CVB's investment of $\$ 25,000$. However, spending on food and beverage also contributed significantly to the taxes generated by the event attendees. The F\&B spending estimates of $\$ 39,416$ should have yielded $\$ 3,004$ in sales tax at the $8.25 \%$ rate or $\$ 728$ for the City at a City tax rate of $2 \%$. Spending in other categories should yield $\$ 5,853$ in total sales tax revenue, with $\$ 1,419$ as the City's $2 \%$ share. Considering all spending, the City of SPI should receive $\$ 9,136$ in taxes for a total deficit of $\$ 15,864$ or a $63.5 \%$ loss on the $\$ 25,000$ cash investment provided to the event organizer.

While it is impossible to know the actual number of lodging rooms booked as a result of the SPI Splash South Padre, the lodging manager's survey and the STR Destination Report data for the period supports the study's finding that the event, as well as other events on the same weekend, had an effect on lodging occupancy rates. However, as compared to last year, the lodging metrics of this year's Splash days exceeded the metrics of the same day-period only on Friday, April $27^{\text {th }}$.

Gladly, most Splash South Padre survey participants are "promoters" in recommending SPI to others, are likely or extremely likely to return to SPI for a future vacation and are satisfied with their overall SPI experience during the event. While the spending of Splash South Padre attendees did not generate sufficient tax revenue to cover the CVB-provided funding, the overall SPI experience of the event attendees will likely result in many event attendees returning to the Island for future vacations.


| Appendix | B: Survey respondents' zip codes |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11249 | 77034 | 78209 | 78522 | 78562 | 78669 |
| 38855 | 77044 | 78212 | 78526 | 78566 | 78729 |
| 49833 | 77056 | 78218 | 78529 | 78569 | 78744 |
| 60506 | 77057 | 78229 | 78536 | 78572 | 78751 |
| 66354 | 77076 | 78245 | 78537 | 78573 | 78840 |
| 66377 | 77077 | 78259 | 78538 | 78574 | 78978 |
| 66422 | 77459 | 78415 | 78539 | 78577 | 79705 |
| 67189 | 77503 | 78418 | 78541 | 78579 | 79707 |
| 70816 | 78006 | 78501 | 78542 | 78582 | 85012 |
| 75023 | 78040 | 78502 | 78543 | 78586 | 87390 |
| 75068 | 78041 | 78503 | 78550 | 78589 | 87456 |
| 75201 | 78043 | 78504 | 78552 | 78594 | 88710 |
| 75211 | 78046 | 78505 | 78555 | 78595 | 91911 |
| 75461 | 78076 | 78520 | 78557 | 78596 | 93426 |
| 75820 | 78119 | 78521 | 78560 | 78599 |  |



## Appendix C: Splash South Padre registrants' zip or postal codes

| 03677 | 77042 | 78154 | 78520 | 78550 | 78577 | 78664 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10950 | 77056 | 78209 | 78520 | 78550 | 78577 | 78669 |
| 11225 | 77057 | 78212 | 78520 | 78550 | 78577 | 78681 |
| 14750 | 77060 | 78212 | 78520 | 78550 | 78577 | 78726 |
| 20171 | 77064 | 78229 | 78520 | 78550 | 78578 | 78727 |
| 21218 | 77064 | 78238 | 78520 | 78550 | 78578 | 78727 |
| 32806 | 77068 | 78247 | 78520 | 78552 | 78578 | 78728 |
| 39116 | 77093 | 78247 | 78520 | 78552 | 78578 | 78741 |
| 48134 | 77401 | 78247 | 78520 | 78552 | 78578 | 78744 |
| 49287 | 77414 | 78259 | 78520 | 78552 | 78578 | 78744 |
| 49855 | 77437 | 78336 | 78520 | 78552 | 78580 | 78744 |
| 60506 | 77459 | 78336 | 78521 | 78552 | 78582 | 78745 |
| 64270 | 77550 | 78336 | 78521 | 78560 | 78582 | 78745 |
| 66354 | 77581 | 78336 | 78521 | 78562 | 78582 | 78748 |
| 66358 | 78025 | 78336 | 78521 | 78566 | 78582 | 78748 |
| 67118 | 78025 | 78336 | 78521 | 78566 | 78582 | 78751 |
| 67218 | 78040 | 78355 | 78526 | 78569 | 78582 | 78751 |
| 73401 | 78041 | 78412 | 78526 | 78570 | 78582 | 78801 |
| 74501 | 78041 | 78418 | 78536 | 78570 | 78584 | 78840 |
| 75042 | 78041 | 78501 | 78537 | 78572 | 78586 | 78840 |
| 75044 | 78041 | 78501 | 78537 | 78572 | 78586 | 78840 |
| 75052 | 78041 | 78501 | 78537 | 78572 | 78589 | 78840 |
| 75068 | 78041 | 78501 | 78539 | 78572 | 78595 | 78840 |
| 75204 | 78041 | 78501 | 78539 | 78572 | 78596 | 78840 |
| 75219 | 78041 | 78501 | 78539 | 78573 | 78596 | 78945 |
| 75662 | 78041 | 78501 | 78539 | 78573 | 78596 | 79707 |
| 76088 | 78041 | 78501 | 78539 | 78573 | 78596 | 80534 |
| 76110 | 78043 | 78501 | 78540 | 78574 | 78596 | 80817 |
| 76248 | 78043 | 78501 | 78541 | 78574 | 78596 | 85012 |
| 76248 | 78043 | 78501 | 78541 | 78574 | 78597 | 88680 |
| 76308 | 78045 | 78503 | 78542 | 78574 | 78599 | 88715 |
| 76504 | 78045 | 78504 | 78542 | 78574 | 78621 | 88715 |
| 76548 | 78045 | 78504 | 78542 | 78577 | 78621 | 94945 |
| 77011 | 78046 | 78504 | 78542 | 78577 | 78644 |  |
| 77017 | 78046 | 78504 | 78542 | 78577 | 78650 |  |
| 77022 | 78046 | 78504 | 78545 | 78577 | 78664 |  |
| 77032 | 78076 | 78504 | 78550 | 78577 | 78664 |  |
| 77036 | 78104 | 78520 | 78550 | 78577 | 78664 |  |

## SPLASH Sponsor's list



## CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND ADVISORY BOARD MEETING AGENDA REQUEST FORM

MEETING DATE: June 27, 2018
NAME \& TITLE: Keith Arnold, CVB Director and Michael Flores, Director of Research, Marketing \& Analytics

DEPARTMENT: South Padre Island Convention and Visitors Bureau

ITEM

Discussion and action regarding the renewal of the University of Texas Rio Grande Valley (UTRGV) research contract.

ITEM BACKGROUND

BUDGET/FINANCIAL SUMMARY

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL

LEGAL REVIEW

| Sent to Legal: | YES: | NO: _ X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approved by Legal: | YES: |  |

Comments:
RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS

# CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND ADVISORY BOARD MEETING AGENDA REQUEST FORM 

MEETING DATE: June 27, 2018
NAME \& TITLE: Wally Jones, CVA Board Chairman
DEPARTMENT: South Padre Island Convention and Visitors Advisory Board

## ITEM

Discussion to establish a formal representative relationship between the South Padre Island Economic Development Corporation, South Padre Island Chamber of Commerce, Shoreline Task Force Committee and Convention and Visitors Advisory Board for consistent exchange of information at monthly meetings.

## ITEM BACKGROUND

BUDGET/FINANCIAL SUMMARY
No financial action.
$\square$
COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL

LEGAL REVIEW

| Sent to Legal: | YES: | NO: $\_$X <br>  Approved by Legal:  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| YES: |  | NO: __X |

Comments:
$\square$
RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS

## CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND ADVISORY BOARD MEETING AGENDA REQUEST FORM

MEETING DATE: June 27, 2018
NAME \& TITLE: Keith Arnold, CVB Director
DEPARTMENT: South Padre Island Convention and Visitors Bureau

ITEM
Discussion and action to allow the CVB Director to research and identify firms/consultants that specialize in visitor product development initiatives for destinations.

ITEM BACKGROUND

BUDGET/FINANCIAL SUMMARY

No financial action.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL

LEGAL REVIEW

| Sent to Legal: | YES: | NO: __ $\quad$ X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approved by Legal: | YES: |  |

Comments:

RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS

MEETING DATE: June 27, 2018
NAME \& TITLE: Keith Arnold, CVB Director
DEPARTMENT: South Padre Island Convention and Visitors Bureau

ITEM

Update regarding Marketing Subcommittee meeting.

ITEM BACKGROUND

BUDGET/FINANCIAL SUMMARY
No financial action.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL

LEGAL REVIEW

| Sent to Legal: | YES: $\quad$ NO: $\ldots \mathrm{X}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approved by Legal: | YES: |  |

Comments:

RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS

Proposed CVB Marketing and Events Marketing Budget Approval Process

| DATE Due Date |  | Event |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Monday, June 18, 2018 |  | TAG Marketing Subcommittee meeting |
|  |  | TAG present/review 18/19 media brief |
|  |  | TAG releases RFP for media vendors (no later than this date) |
| Wednesday, June 20, 2018 |  | CVB/City Council budget workshop |
|  |  | TAG presents proposed paid media approach to marketing <br> subcommittee |
| Monday, June 25, 2018 |  | TAG Marketing Subcommittee meeting (TBD/Open) <br> TAG Marketing Subcommittee (final maketing recommendations <br> needed) |
| Monday, July 02, 2018 |  | Special Events Subcommittee workshop |
| Monday, July 09, 2018 |  | Full CVA Board marketing and events marketing workshop |
| Tuesday, July 10, 2018 |  | CVA regular meeting (D\&A for approval of marketing and event <br> marketing budget) |
| *Thursday, July 12, 2018 |  | Special City Council meeting - final budget approval |
| *Tuesday, July 24, 2018 | Wednesday, July 18 All items are due. |  |
| *Tuesday, July 31, 2018 |  |  |

* These meetings are proposed in order to have the marketing and event marketing budgets ready for a specially-called City Council meeting on the July 31st.

The 31st will be the latest date available in order to have the budget approved 60 days in advance of the next FY, as required by Home Rule Charter.

MEETING DATE: June 27, 2018
NAME \& TITLE: Keith Arnold, CVB Director
DEPARTMENT: South Padre Island Convention and Visitors Bureau

ITEM

Update and discussion of the CVB 1st generation dashboard.

ITEM BACKGROUND

BUDGET/FINANCIAL SUMMARY
No financial action.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL

LEGAL REVIEW

| Sent to Legal: | YES: | NO: $\ldots \mathrm{X}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approved by Legal: | YES: |  |

Comments:

RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS


SOUTH PADRE ISLAND CONVENTION \& VISITORS BUREAU


## DASHBOARD PYRAMID



# HOT REVENUE, ADR, SALES TAX, CAUSEWAY CROSSINGS \& OCCUPANCY (OCC) BY MONTH 



## MIXED BEVERAGE TAX



## HOTEL OCCUPANCY TAX (HOT)

## Tax Collections Timeline, By Type

HOT Tax is collected on the $15^{\text {th }}$ of each month

30 day delay to reporting from end of month

15 day delay to collection from due date

is collected in Jan

45 day delay in reporting from the State of Texas to SPI

30 day delay in collection by the State
Property Tax is collected throughout the year but primarily in Oct, Nov, Dec \& Jan

No delay in reporting

No delay in collection

Beverage Tax is collected quarterly (State Comptroller FY - begins Sep. 1)

45 day delay in reporting from the end of the quarter

No delay in collection by the State of Texas

## ENGAGEMENT

## Public Relations/ Media \& FAM Tours



MEDIA/ FAM TOURS

## Southern Living FAM

Currently working with editor and featured journalist on FAM opportunities in October or November 2018.


EDITORIAL REQUESTS

## Eater.com

Fulfilled info/editorial request: The 11
Best Places to Eat on South Padre Island"


## IN PROGRESS

UA Direct Flight News Release Finalized \& Approved 6/5; Currently drafting media lists for Midwest and Chicago-area outlets for early June pitching. Blog post created by SPI team to serve as landing page for digital ad traffic.

Summer Event Round-Up
Overview of fresh and fun events on the Island June - August 2018.
July $4^{\text {th } / ~ T r a v e l ~ F o r e c a s t ~}$ Will be released 10 days prior to 7/4.
Biking on the Island (Blog Post)
Overview of ways to explore the Island on a bicycle with fun stops in between (i.e.

## ENGAGEMENT

## 2018 News \& Accolades

- Ranked \#1 on the 2018 "15 Amazing Island Getaways - in America!" by Southern Living Magazine
- Ranked \#11 on the 2018 "15 Best Places to Vacation in Texas" by TripAdvisor
- Listed on the 2018 "8 Best Summer Vacation Destinations in Texas" by Trips to Discover
- Listed on the 2018 "Best New Tourist Attractions in USA" featuring Sea Turtle, Inc by LoveExploring.com
- Listed on the 2018 "15 USA Snorkeling Vacations Among the World's Best" by TripAdvisor
- Ranked \#2 on the 2018 "Best Texas Beach" by USA TODAY's 10Best Reader's Choice Award
- Listed on the 2018 "The South's Best Beaches" by Southern Living Magazine
- Listed on the 2018 "15 Best Spring Break Destinations" by CarRentals.com
- Ranked \#2 on the 2018 "9 Best Coastal Cities to Visit in Texas" by Trips to Discover
- Listed on the 2018 " 9 Best Surf Spots in Texas" by Trips to Discover
- Listed on the 2018 " 25 Best Wreck Diving Spots in the United States" by Scuba Diving Online
- Listed on the 2018 "Vacation in the Hottest Spots in Texas" by Patch.com
- Listed on the 2018 "15 Best Vacation Spots When You Earn the Median Income" by GOBankingRates.com
- Ranked \#3 on the 2018 "10 Best Dolphin Sighting Destinations in North America" by Travel Channel
- Ranked \#3 on the 2018 "Best Place to Rent a Summer Beach House with Friends" by TripAdvisor
- Ranked \#6 on the 2018 "8 Best Spring Break Party Destinations" by ABC News
- Listed on the 2018 "10 Best Places in Texas to Visit on Spring Break" by Trips to Discover
- Listed on the 2018 "Best Places to Visit in Texas" by U.S. News \& Report
- Listed on the 2018 "18 Places to Go in Texas" featuring South Padre Island and Sea Turtle, Inc. by Texas Highways
- Ranked \#1 for Best Beach Vacation in "Top American Trips 2018" by Canadian Traveller
- Listed on the 2018 "World's Greatest Kiteboarding Spots" by National Geographic


## CONVERSION

Visitor Guides (Fulfillment House) by Publication


See Texas First Leads (May Only): 9,171

## CONVERSION

## May 2018

## BOOKED BUSINESS

- IDEA Public Schools (115)
- Kangs Martial Arts (182)
- Texas Gulf Youth Ministries (450)
- Region One Fall Media Conference (30)
- Texas Border Coalition (20)
- US Customs Brokers Association (305)
- USMC Delta $126{ }^{\text {th }}$ Det. (30)
() Indicates Number of Room Nights Booked


## CLIENT EVENTS

Several site visits and FAMs were conducted in May, most notably, the National Guard Association of Texas Annual Conference which would take place in March of 2020 and potentially book over 1,025 room nights across the Island.

## SALES MISSIONS

The sales team conducted 4 weeks of RGV mission calls with the Pearl, Isla Grand and Hilton in May. A few clients included the Texas Workforce Solutions upper Valley and Teach for America. The sales team will be bidding on the 2020 Texas Chambers of Commerce Executive Conference in June.


## CONVERSION

## Sales Leads Sent



## CONVERSION

## Convention Sales/ Month-By-Month



## CONVERSION

## 2018 Special Events

## January

Polar Bear Dip \& Penguin Plunge
Market Days
WOWE
Winterfest

## February

SPI Kitefest
W4W Chili Cook Off
Color Me Rad
Market Days

## March

Spring Break Car Jam
UME

## April

National Tropical Weather Conference SPLASH South Padre
Sand Crab Run
Open Water Swim

## May

Jailbreak
Pedal to Padre
Shallow Sport Fishing Tournament
June
Dargel Fishing Tournament Longest Causeway Run/Walk
Great TX Catamaran 300

## July

Security First Credit Union Fishing Tournament

## August

Texas International Fishing Tournament (TIFT) Iron Pigs Motorcycle Club Weekend
Ladies Kingfish Tournament (LKT)
API Fishing Tournament
Fishing For Hope

## September

Shallow Stalker Fishing Tournament
JJ Zapata Fishing Tournament
Wahoo Classic
Zombie Charge
Tailgate Weekend
SPI Triathlon

October
SandCastle Ball
SandCastle Days
SPLASH South Padre
Walk For Women Fishing Tournament
Walk For Women - 5k
Take a Kid Fishing
Elite Redfish Championship
SPI Fishing Days
Hallowings

## November

RAGF
Veteran's Day Weekend
Blacklight Run
SPI Film, Art and Music

## December

Tree Lighting Ceremony
Christmas Street Parade
Children's Wonderland
Lighted Boat Parade
Breakfast w/Santa
Holiday Sandcastle Village

## CONVERSION

## Special Events

| Year | Number of <br> Events |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2017 | 39 |
| 2018 | 56 |
| 2019 | 63 |
| 2020 | 68 |

Special Events per month from 2017 to 2020 (Sponsored Directly by SPICVB)


## Director's Notes

## Subcommittee Ongoing DMAIC \& Project Updates

## Marketing Subcommittee

- Ongoing weekly calls with The Atkins Group
- Website reviews, recommendations and updates
- Held Workshop with Young Strategies to receive Strategic Plan draft
- Continuing reviews of prospective VRM Reservation Systems including follow up with Red Awning and Blizzard
- Engaged in data driven planning process for the 2018-19 Marketing and Events Marketing plans and the overall media plan


## Special Events Subcommittee

- Staff submitted preliminary calendar of Special Events and Festivals to Subcommittee for evaluation and discussion, in preparation for upcoming Subcommittee workshop and CVA Board meeting on $7 / 25$. Final recommendations for the 15 month calendar will go to City Council for final budget approval on $7 / 31$.
- Met with UTRGV principals for the ongoing special events research program to gain an in depth understanding of the methodology they utilized to produce reports. Several clarifications and recommendations resulted and will be implemented.


## Director's Notes

## Subcommittee Ongoing DMAIC \& Project Updates

Airlift Enhancement Initiative

- Presented $\$ 50,000$ budget amendment request to City Council that was approved to support marketing efforts to boost the passenger loads on the new Chicago direct, nonstop flights which begin 11/3. $\$ 25,000$ will be held in reserve to utilize if needed for providing extra push for passenger loads on the UAL flights and/or to be utilized in the event of other new prospective airlift services selecting either of our airports.
- Mayor Stahl and CVB staff have participated in preparation and, in some cases, actual in person presentations to 4 airlines.


## Visitors Center DMAIC

- Approaching final stages for selection of a permanent site
- Subcommittee meeting 6/26 to review the Improvement priorities that have resulted form the Subcommittee work to date. Approximately 15 "Improvements" have resulted from the diligent work of the Subcommittee.
- Subcommittee scheduled to meet one more time to review the entire presentation in preparation for submittal to City Council tentatively in July.


## Sandcastle Subcommittee

- Insurance settlement for damaged VC sculpture has allowed the reconstruction to get underway. Hampered over the past week with the rainstorm inundation.
- There will be a July 7 "Meet The Artist" function at the VC with Andy Hancock.
- Promotion for Sandcastle Days is underway.
- Holiday Sandcastle Village rapidly taking shape, including light and sound features.



## MAY WEB SESSIONS

This month drove over 123k sessions. The third week of May was the most successful in driving sessions for the montr 123,008

Web Sessions


## MAY WEB SESSIONS



## ENGAGEMENTS - SOCIAL MEDIA

FACEBOOK

Likes: 307,930
Followers: 305,251

## TWITTER

Tweets: 2,541
Followers: 1,582

## INSTAGRAM

Posts: 442
Followers: 8,690

May Engagement: 48,223
Video Views: 58,514

## ENGAGEMENT－KEY WEBSITE CONTENT（PAGES）

| Page ？ |  | Pageviews ？ |  | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 386,369 \\ \text { \% of Total: } 100.00 \%(386,369) \end{array}$ |  |  |
| 1．／ | ［込 | 52，121（13．49\％） |  |  |
| 2．／things－to－do／ | ［ | 46，604（12．06\％） |  |  |
| 3．／things－to－do／activities－attractions／ | ［ |  | 27，924 | （7．23\％） |
| 4．／about－us／live－webcams／ | ［ |  | 14，216 | （3．68\％） |
| 5．／things－to－do／beach／ | ［通 |  | 10，583 | （2．74\％） |
| 6．／find－lodging／ | （ $\sqrt{1}$ |  | 10，447 | （2．70\％） |
| 7．／find－lodging／vacation－rentals／ | ［ |  | 10，273 | （2．66\％） |
| 8．／things－to－do／dining－nightlife／ | （ ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 9，386 | （2．43\％） |
| 9．／about－us／live－webcams／north－beach／ | （1） |  | 7，933 | （2．05\％） |
| 10．／about－us／live－webcams／causeway／ | ［込 |  | 6，943 | （1．80\％） |

## 386，369

## Page views

## ENGAGEMENT - CTR - GOOGLE AD WORDS



## CONVERSION - E-MAIL LEADS



## CONVERSION - OUTBOUND PARTNER LINKS

## 14,816

Outbound Links

## TOTAL PAID MEDIA IMPRESSIONS (ALL CAMPAIGNS)

Flight Dates: 5/1/18-5/31/18
\(\left.\begin{array}{|c:c:c:c:c}ADWORDS \& RETARGETIN \& PAID SOCIAL \& \& TEXAS MONTHLY <br>
Imps: 1,003,539 \& G \& Imps: 1,278,517 \& TRIP ADVISOR \& Storytelling Imps: 249,366 <br>
Clicks: 22,715 \& Imps: 778,147 \& Clicks: 32,485 \& PDP Imps: 11,254 \& Engagements: 4,493 <br>
CTR: 0.26 \% \& Clicks: 2,180 \& CTR: 2.54 \% \& PDP Clicks: 244 \& Engagement Rate: 1.8 \% <br>

Display Imps: 217,991\end{array}\right]\)| Clicks: 369 |
| :---: |

## TOTAL PAID MEDIA IMPRESSIONS (ALL CAMPAIGNS)

Flight Dates: 5/1/18-5/31/18

| VRBO <br> Imps: 230,827 | TV EVERYWHERE | CONNECT <br> Imps: 119,593 |  | Traditional Imps: <br> $48,427,496$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clicks: 132 <br> CTR: 0.06\% | Imps: 852,333 <br> Views: 274,056 <br> Clicks: 243 <br> CTR: | Clicks: 539 CTR: 0.45\% | TRAVEL TEXAS <br> Impressions: 799,252 <br> Clicks: 3,485 <br> CTR: 0.44\% | 48,427,496 |
| TOUR TEXAS <br> Imps: 6,727 <br> Clicks: 40 <br> CTR: 0.59\% | EL NORTE <br> Imps: 172,952 <br> Clicks: 356 <br> CTR: 0.21\% | TRADITIONAL <br> TV/Cable: 41,866,850 <br> Radio: 3,272,456 OOH: N/A <br> Print: 3,288,190 |  | Digital Imps: $8,836,415$ <br> Clicks: 76,290 <br> CTR: 0.86\% |

## APRIL HIGHLIGHTS

## Flight Dates: 4/1/18-4/30/18

## Trip Advisor:

o $44 \%$ increase in page views over May 2017, and time spent on the page is up $11 \%$

- Banner Flight: The large Texas cities/Beach content placements continued to falter, reaffirming our decision to end them. We also added in FL beach destinations (which haven't performed well in the past in other markets) to Dallas ONLY, so we'll how these ads perform in the next month. We are geo-targeting the DFW area and ads are placed on hotel/lodging pages within content for Gulf Coast Florid and Alabama destinations.
- All other placements are doing well


## Pandora:

While the Sponsored Listening campaign has been meeting benchmarks in terms of engagement, we are optimizing the campaign to drive clicks to the website which include Mobile and Audio Display. These changes will be made from June-July.

## ATD Partners (Programmatic):

For the month of May our top performing audience for Texas was Non-Family travelers with 2,473 clicks out of 477,460 impressions coming out to an amazing $.51 \%$ CTR. The top placements that we had running were our Device ID targeting with a $.72 \%$ CTR and our Cross-Platform Video with a $.54 \%$ CTR. For the next month, we suggest moving more budget into Non-Family traveler's audience due to summertime as the audience makeup of Non-Family are millennials and generation X who have the discretionary income and time to travel during the Summer.

[^3]
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## South $P_{\text {island }}^{a d r e ~}$

# SPI CVB - May 2018 PR Analysis 

## TOTAL MENTIONS

## 109

## TOTAL REACH

### 123.78 M

## TOTAL PUBLICITY VALUE

## \$46K

SPI CVB - May 2018 - PR Analysis
Custom Data Set

## TOTAL MENTIONS

Mentions
109
109


## REACH

UVPM
123.74M
Reach
38K

30K
60M


## VALUE OF COVERAGE

Publicity Value
46K


SPI CVB - May 2018 - PR Analysis
Custom Data Set

## SENTIMENT OVER TIME



## SHARE OF SENTIMENT



| Positive |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $65.1 \%$ |
| Neutral |  |
| $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $32.1 \%$ |
| Negative <br> $\mathbf{3}$ | $2.8 \%$ |

## TREND OF COVERAGE BY MEDIA TYPE

Online, consumer
95
Online, trade/industry
3

## SHARE OF COVERAGE BY MEDIA TYPE



Online, consumer
95
87.2\%


Online, news and business
6
Blog, consumer
3
2.8\%

Newspaper
2
1.8\%

Online, trade/industry
3

## MINDSHARE OVER TIME

Panama City Beach 42

Corpus Christi 4

Galveston
4
60


## SHARE OF VOICE



Panama City Beach
42
Corpus Christi
4
2.5\%

Galveston
4
2.5\%

## MENTIONS BY LOCATION



## WORD CLOUD

say
ideal destinations trip.city front 2018 break residents wost texas island
vacation land lists an year resorts

## TOP OUTLETS

| Outlet | Number of Clips | Reach | Publicity Value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Valley Morning Star Onlin... | 7 | 432,859 | $\$ 99.56$ |
| The Brownsville Herald ... | 6 | 452,814 | $\$ 208.29$ |
| Facts Online | 3 | 154,641 | $\$ 71.13$ |
| Texas Highways Online | 3 | 148,002 | $\$ 34.04$ |
| KABB-TV Online | 2 | 134,114 | $\$ 61.69$ |
| Elite Daily | $20,339,724$ | $\$ 4,678.14$ |  |
| The Brownsville Herald | 2 | 37,770 | $\$ 1,449.77$ |
| Pittsburgh Post-Gazette $\ldots$ | 1 | $4,767,127$ | $\$ 3,837.54$ |
| San Antonio Express-Ne... | 1 | $1,678,641$ | $\$ 1,351.31$ |
| Chron.com | 1 | $1,183,440$ | $\$ 1,360.96$ |

# CITY OF SOUTH PADRE ISLAND ADVISORY BOARD MEETING AGENDA REQUEST FORM 

MEETING DATE: June 27, 2018
NAME \& TITLE: Wally Jones, SPI CVA Chairman
DEPARTMENT: South Padre Island Convention and Visitors Advisory Board

## ITEM

Discussion and action to approve CVA Board marketing and events marketing workshop on Monday, July 16, 2018 at 9:00 am and regular board meeting for Tuesday, July 24th, 2018 at 9:00am.

ITEM BACKGROUND

BUDGET/FINANCIAL SUMMARY

No financial action.

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN GOAL

LEGAL REVIEW

| Sent to Legal: | YES: | NO: __X |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approved by Legal: | YES: |  |

Comments:

## RECOMMENDATIONS/COMMENTS


[^0]:    Figure 11. Satisfaction with event

[^1]:    Figure 28. Home country

[^2]:    Figure 13. Educational attainment

[^3]:    Sizmek Benchmarks for travel: Mobile CTR 0.13\% | Display 0.08\% | Video Completion rate

